Site Specific Management Plan 003 - [sectors 360/370/380] MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway

01 SEPTEMBER 2014 - CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C



M2PP-121-D-PLNM-0003

SITE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN - WHAREMAUKU BASIN [SSMP 3 - SECTOR 360, 370, 380]

For the purposes of the SSMP certification it is assumed that the consent conditions for the MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway, as determined by the Board of Inquiry under Section 149R of the Resource Management Act (1991) will be read in conjunction.

SSMP Exclusions or omissions:

If there are discrepancies between master plans and the detailed planting plans the detailed plans take precedence.

REVISION NO:	DATE:	STATUS:	ISSUED TO:	
REV A	10.07.2014	Draft for review	KCDC	
REV B	04.08.2014	Issue for certification	KCDC	
REV C	01.09.2014	Certification issue	KCDC	

2.0 SSMP CERTIFICATION DE	TAILS POSITION			
PREPARED BY M2PP ALLIANCE	NAME:	POSITION:	SIGNATURE:	DATE:
	Bron Faulkner	Landscape Architect	Bafalane.	05.08.14
	Frazer Baggaley	Urban Design	Barrot	05.08.14
	Matiu Park	Ecologist	May	05.08.14
	Steve Dunn	Landscape Architect	fremm.	05.08.14
M2PP ALLIANCE APPROVAL	NAME:	POSITION:	SIGNATURE:	DATE:
	Doug Stirrat	Sector Manager	Dear	05.08.14
	Peter Bradshaw	Design Manager	Ollet Pr P. Bradsham	06.08.14
	Dennis Hunt	Technical Director	Dubust	06.08.14
	Malory Osmond	Consents/Compliance Manager	Mar	05.08.14
CERTIFICATION	NAME:	POSITION:	SIGNATURE:	DATE:
Reviewed by Julia Williams, Landscape, KCDC. Deyana Popova, Urban Design, KCDC	Andrew Guerin	RCDC	g.	01.09.14

2.1 POST CERTIFICATION CHANG	ES						
DRAWING/PAGE TITLE:	DRAWING NUMBER:	DRAWINGS STATUS:	REVISION NO:	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE:	ISSUED TO:	CERTIFIED BY:	DATE:
SHEET 26 - CWB sign type summary	M2PP-121-D-DWG-8901	Revision/Update	D	Signs updated to include horse symbol- All CWB signs to be updated as per this sheet	KCDC	NAD	3.5.16
Kapiti Road interchange planting plan SHEFT 1	M2PP-38R-D-DWG-8201	Revision/Update	2	Removed planting in median strip (supersedes all other drawings that may show planting)	KCDC	KAL	3.5.16
Kapiti Road Interchange planting plan SHEET 2	M2PP-38R-D-DWG-8202	Revision/Update	2	Removed planting in median strip (supersedes all other drawings that may show planting)	KCDC	Rettal	3-5-16
Kapiti Road Interchange planting plan SHEET 3	M2PP-38R-D-DWG-8203	Revision/Update	2	Removed planting in median strip (supersedes all other drawings that may show planting)	KCDC	1 Ale	3.5.16
Kapiti Road interchange planting plan SHEET 4	M2PP-38R-D-DWG-8204	Revision/Update	2	Removed planting in median strip (supersedes all other drawings that may show planting)	KCDC	KHAL	3.5.16
SSMP 3 SHEET 28 - Type 1 CWB entrance detail	M2PP-121-D-DWG-8802	New Sheet added	A	CWB entrance structures- design change to precast units. To replace Type 1 on sheet 18	KCDC	'AHA	3.5.16
SSMP 3 SHEET 29 - Te Atlawa Column Design	M2PP-121-D-DWG-8803	New Sheet added	A	Page added to illustrate Te Atlawa design to be applied to Kapiti bridge columns (sand blasted etching)	KCDC	KALA	3.5.16
						1.4.	

MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway-Site Specific Management Plan - Wharemauku Basin (SSMP 3 - Sector 360, 370, 380)

Certified Issue Rev C, 1 September 2014 M2PP-121-D-MPL-0003 2

2. INTRODUCTION	3
A. Purpose	3
B. General Project Description	3
C. SSMP Existing Area Description	3
D. Process	4
E. Conditions of Consent [summary]	5
3. CONSULTATION	6
4. URBAN DESIGN	7
A. Lighting	7
B. CWB	7
C. Retaining Walls and Noise Mitigation Structures	8
D. Local Property Access	8
E. Bridge Abutments	8
F. Other Urban design conditions	9
5. LANDSCAPE + ECOLOGY	9
A. Dunes and Dryland Vegetation	9
B. Streams and Riparian works	10
C. Wetlands	10
D. Salvage	10
E. Vegetation to be Retained	10
F. Vegetation to be Cleared	10
G. Indigenous fauna	10
H. Landforms	11
I. Wetland Creation and Restoration	11
J. Stream Creation and Restoration	11
K. Culvert Installation	11
L. Mitigation Planting	11
M. Planting methods and specifications	12
N. Weed clearance	12
O. Ground Preparation	12
P. Multilling	13
R. Planting Programme / staging	13
S Plant Maintenance	13
T. Pest Plant Management	14
U. pest Animal Management	14
V. Protection Requirements	14
W. Landscape and Ecological Success Monitoring – Post Construction	14
X. Adaptive Management – Post construction	14
6. REFERENCES	14

APPENDICES

Appendix 1:	Plans and drawings	
-------------	--------------------	--

- Consultation, feedback, and responses Appendix 2:
- Appendix 3: Bridge summary (for information)
- Appendix 4: Landscape specifications (not attached)

SITE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN [SSMP 3 – SECTORS 360,370,380] WHAREMAUKU BASIN

1. SSMP CERTIFICATION DETAILS		Signature	Date
DREDARED BY MODD ALLIANCE	Bron Faulkner (Landscape Architect)	Ferfanden.	51.8.2014
TREFARED DT WZITT ALLIANCE.	Frazer Baggaley (Urban Design)	F-Sagar	05/08/2014
	Matiu Park (Ecologist)	M.R.	05/08/2014
	Steve Dunn (Landscape Architect)	Agenn.	05/08/2014
M2PP ALLIANCE APPROVAL	Doug Stirrat (Sector Manager)	Dest	5/8/14
	Peter Bradshaw (Design Manager)	Ollet P. P. Bradshaw	6 August 2014
	Dennis Hunt (Technical Director)	DM Frist	6 August 2014
	Malory Osmond (Consents Manager)	new.	5/8/2014
CERTIFICATION	Andrew Guerin (KCDC) [Reviewed by Julia Williams, Landscape Architect and Deyana Popova, Urban Designer]	er i	1/9/2014

2. INTRODUCTION	
A. PURPOSE	The consent conditions for the MacKays to Peka Expressway, as determined by the Board of Inquiry under Section 149R of the Resource Management Act (1991), set out the matters to be covered in the Site Specific Management Plans (SSMP).
	A total of 11 SSMPs will be prepared that address all the required sectors of the Expressway. The level of detail in the SSMP varies according to whether landscape, ecology or urban design aspects are being addressed and the nature of the environment the Expressway traverses at any particular point.
	The purpose of the SSMP is to assist the implementation of the applicable management plans by providing site specific detailed design and construction responses to address specific context and environmental conditions and circumstances of each applicable sector of the route and in accordance with the staging identified in the programme. Each SSMP must be consistent with, and be implemented in accordance with, the respective Management Plan and consent conditions.
	This document (including Appendix 1 Plans) incorporates interrelated SSMPs, covering landscape, urban design, and cycle, walking and bridleway (CWB). The intention of combining these SSMPs is to ensure integration between all disciplines, maximise the benefits of mitigation works within each sector and to reduce reporting and monitoring requirements. The consent conditions (DC.64) also require the preparation of a Network Integration Plan (NIP). This SSMP shall address the requirements of DC.64 a) and b) ii) as they relate to the details of the CWB.
	SSMPs are to be prepared in consultation with various stakeholders including iwi, interest and residents' groups as directed by conditions. Appendix 2 describes the matters raised in consultation and the responses made.
	The SSMPs have been prepared through an iterative process to allow discussion between the Alliance and certifiers. This has included further advancement of design in response to feedback on the preliminary issue. The aim will be to establish and agree as much of the landscape, ecology, urban design and CWB design through the initial 'confirmation of design' phase (refer to section D below) to give the best possible definition to the Project design elements as early as possible.
	Note: this SSMP does not include any ecological mitigation requirements. <u>The Wharemauku / Drain 7 SSEMP Site mitigation requirements set out in the Ecological Management Plan</u> (Figure 6) are located south of this area in Sector 350 and will be detailed in the appropriate SSEMP.
B. GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION REFER APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	This SSMP covers the area of the Expressway from the southern side of the Wharemauku Stream to the Kāpiti Road interchange including the on/off ramps (800m north of Kāpiti Road). This SSMP addresses the following key elements:
	 Wharemauku Stream Expressway split-deck bridge, with rip rap under bridge deck. Excludes the CWB bridge over the Wharemauku Stream, this will be included in SSMP 2. Timber noise fences on residential property boundaries on the west side of the Expressway between Wharemauku and Kāpiti roads. Full interchange at Kāpiti Road including Expressway ramps, split deck bridge, and abutments Form and finish of concrete noise walls New CWB on the west side of the Expressway. New CWB connection at Kāpiti Road. (the new connection to the existing CWB on the south side of Wharemauku Stream will be designed with the pedestrian stream bridge as part of Sector 350 & SSMP2) Lighting of CWB, under bridge and interchange ramps. Widening of Kāpiti Road in the vicinity of the Expressway, to integrate with future upgrades planned by KCDC. Planting of stormwater treatment wetland 4 and storm water swales. Mass planting of indigenous species along Expressway and cycleway, with more formalised civic planting at interchange.
C. SSMP EXISTING AREA DESCRIPTION REFER APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 2, 3, 4, 5 AND ULDF SECTION 3.10	 The channelised Wharemauku Stream is a significantly modified stream that is characterised by steep banks, lack of riparian vegetation, and a straight alignment (that is maintained by KCDC to manage flood hazards along the stream and upstream). An existing CWB is located on the south of the Stream. The Expressway cuts through an area of dunes just north of Wharemauku Stream. Residential properties bound most of the western side of the Expressway designation between Wharemauku Stream and Kāpiti Road (Midlands Subdivision). The eastern side of the designation is undeveloped land. Kāpiti Road crosses the designation and is subject to current and future upgrade works by KCDC. Industrial development occupies the land both north and south of Kāpiti Road on the west side of the expressway where the interchange will be. On the eastern side residential development extends all the way between Kāpiti and Mazengarb Roads. The Expressway designation between Kāpiti and Mazengarb Roads is relatively narrow (about 100m wide) with residential properties immediately adjacent on both side for much of its length. While there is still an area of undeveloped land at the intersection of Kāpiti Road and the Expressway the area is in the heart of Paraparaumu Commercial and residential areas, and consequently comprises an urban environment. Upstream areas of the Wharemauku Stream are significant flood plain storage that will preclude significant urban development



E. CONDITIONS OF CONSENT	General
	• Requirement to develop Site Specific Management Plans (SSMPs) for landscape and urban design purposes (DC.7), ecological purposes (G.42
	Landscape
	 Condition DC57(f) lists the matters to be provided and in summary includes: Vegetation to be retained; Vegetation protection measures; Proposed Planting (including the stages) Fernbird habitat created; Maintenance standards; Detailed specifications; A maintenance regime; Landscape treatment of any noise barriers; Landscape treatment for pedestrian and cycle facilities. Ecology An SSEMP is not required for SSMP 3 SSEMPs are to be prepared for each ecological mitigation area set out in Condition G42.
	• There are no ecological mitigation areas identified in this SSMP 3 area.
	Urban Design
	• Condition DC.59A e) requires SSUDPs to be prepared for locations where the Expressway interacts with local vehicular and non-vehicular per
	 DC.59A f) lists the matters to be provided and in summary includes detailed design of for the benefit of pedestrians, cyclists and others: Lighting; Footpath and on-road cycle lane design (1.5m on road and 2.0m footpaths); Safe crossing points for CWB; Visual treatment of structures and landscape (retaining walls, noise mitigation structures and landforms); Local property access; Landscape treatment (LMP and SSMLPs); Bridge piers, riprap and abutment design (location of piers, scale and materials); Signage;
	 ConditionDC.59A g) requires preparation of a SSUDP for the Cycleway, Walkway and Bridle (CWB) path network and include: Final alignment and form of CWB. Provision for a 3.0m wide two-way path Connections Boardwalks; Lighting, safety provisions for crossing of local roads CPTED review. Network Integration Plan Condition DC.64 a) in relation to the CWB; Condition DC.64 b) ii) in relation to lighting.

2C), and CWB (DC.59A g).

edestrian/cyclist movement.

	Condition DC. 59A i) requires that the following matters shall be considered as part of the development of the SSUDP:
	(iv) Ihakara extension/Wharemauku Stream
	1. Safety of pedestrian and cycle crossing at the future local road Ihakara Street Extension
	2. Provision for future road connection in relation to the Wharemauku Stream and CWB
	3. Gradient and direction of CWB in relation to the slope up to Milne Drive
	v) Kāpiti Road
	1. Development of a distinctive gateway in terms of the bridge form, and legibility of connections to the future town cent
	2. Wetland and designated land on Kāpiti Road being integrated with this gateway design as a transitional space betwee
	3. Future upgrades to Kāpiti Road and the safety and convenience of the walking and cycling crossings for the upgraded I
	4. Provision of a safe and convenient walking link between Kāpiti Road and Makarini Street (via Makarini Street Reserve)
3. CONSULTATION	
	• The preparation of the SSLMP and SSUDP (under Conditions DC.57 e), DC.57A, G42 d) and DC.59A j)) requires consultation with the following
	- Te Āti Awa ki Whakarongotai;
	- Kāpiti Coast District Council (KCDC).
	- Friends of Wharemauku Stream
	- Kapiti Cycling Incorporated and the Implementation Group of the Kapiti Coast District Council Advisory on Cycleways, Walkways and Bri
	any cycle or pedestrian connections.
	- Relevant Landscape focus areas DC 57A a) Detailed below
	SSMP 3 contains two Landscape Focus Areas
	When developing landscape design solutions as part of preparing the SSLMP's, the Requiring Authority shall undertake consultation with residulation close to the Expressway in the following Landscape Focus Areas (identified for their sensitivity to visual effects):
	ii) Eastern side of the designation between Kāniti. Road and Mazengarh Road including Greenwood Place Elder Grove Cypress Grove. Spack
	Palmer Court St James Court and Chilton Drive
	v) Milne Drive through to Quadrant Heights:

tre development en the Expressway and town centre Kāpiti Road)

ng parties:

idleways in respect of the CWB and

idents whose properties are located

man Crescent, Makarini Street,

4. URBAN DESIGN	CONDITIONS – URBAN DESIGN	RESPONSES – URBAN DESIGN
A. LIGHTING REFER APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 21-24	DC.59 f) i) Lighting for the benefit of pedestrians and cyclists DC.64 a), b), ii) integration with local network	 The CWB will be lit by overhead lights. The light pole, luminaire definition will be finalised for the whole project at the end of detailed design. The Expressway and ramps will be lit over the extent of the interches spacing will enable the Expressway bridge to be lit from either end required on the bridge itself. Kāpiti Road is currently lit and there will be lighting under the Expressration and road user safety. Architectural lighting under the bridge will also highlight the under and abutment faces to enhance the aesthetics and experience of the environment.
B. CWB REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 2-6, 10, 20 45,26 ALSO REFER TO CPTED CONSIDERATIONS SHEET 2&3	 DC.59A f) ii) and iii) and DC59A g), DC.59A i) xi) and DC.57 c) DC.64 a), b), ii). Footpath and on road cycle lane on-road (2.0m and 1.5m) Intersection of the CWB and Local Roads to be safe for crossing Alignment of CWB Provision for a 3.0 m wide two way path that is generally parallel with Expressway Locations for connections (immediate and future) Boardwalks Lighting and safety provisions for local road crossings CPTED review 	 CWB is aligned between the Expressway and residential properties comprised of a formed 3.0 m wide (chip seal) section and where prof up to 1.0m wide for horse riders. The south end of the CWB will connect to the existing CWB that ru of the Wharemauku Stream. The Wharemauku Stream CWB bridge not included in SSMP 3 (see SSMP 2/ Sector 350). A series of low gabion blocks (700mm high) at the intersections of Road, signal the imminent crossing point as CWB users approach the also mark the CWB entry point for people using Kāpiti Road. Detai are shown on Sheets 20. Low planting will be established adjacem Independently operated cycle lights and pedestrian lights will prov crossing across Kāpiti Road for either cyclists or pedestrians. The sac crossings will be provided for the shared path on the south side of crosses the south bound on ramp and northbound off ramp. Planting will generally be kept at low heights adjacent to the CWB along the CWB. At isolated locations, as requested by immediate re taller vegetation will be planted to provide visual screening. In addition to CWB an additional pedestrian link will be constructed Kāpiti Road_on the east of the expressway, linking Kapiti Road and the Makarini Street reserve). (DC.59A e) iv The CWB will be lit but the Makarini pedestrian link will not be lit. I An initial CPTED review of the project identified the key design con . No tall elements that could create 'outside rooms' or place . Clear sight lines at intersections. Ensure clear views to the exits of CWB. Remove tall vegetation from CWB intersections Low planting adjacent to CWB (3-5m wide strip for the majorit bridge abutments.

tail and pole spacing

ange. Light pole with no light poles

essway bridge for

side of the bridge deck he under bridge

s to the west. It is racticable a grass verge

ns along the south side e and connection are

the CWB and Kāpiti he intersection and Is of the intersections t to the gabion blocks.

ide a controlled CWB ame controlled Kāpiti Road where it

to maintain sightlines esidential neighbours,

d on the north side of Makarini Street (via

(see C Lighting)

siderations:

es to hide.

ty of the CWB) and at

		The 'tagability' of surface materials.
		A CPTED assessment of this SSMP has subsequently been complete design meets the CTPTED requirements.
C. RETAINING WALLS AND NOISE MITIGATION STRUCTURES	DC.59A f) iv) Retaining wall structures, in terms of their scale, and materials and noise	The noise mitigation structures in this SSMP.
REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 14-19	mitigation structures and landforms in terms of their fit in the landscape and visual treatment.	 Planted earth noise bund on west side north of Wharemauku 2.0m high timber noise fences for properties between Milne E heights. 3.0 and 2.0m high concrete noise walls are constructed as sep 400mm back from the TL4 barrier. The concrete noise walls comprise concrete panels fixed to H beam approximately 3.0m centres. Design of the concrete noise walls ha aesthetics of both sides, the Expressway and non-Expressway. Get established on the non-Expressway side of the noise walls, particul visually interrupt the mass of the walls for pedestrians and neighbot Each of the residential neighbours where the timber noise fence w visited and the details of the fence discussed.
		addressed elsewhere.
D. LOCAL PROPERTY ACCESS REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEET 3,9 & 11	DC.59A f) v) Local property access to provide for existing and future needs	Access to properties with existing access to Kapiti Road will be main
E. BRIDGE ABUTMENTS REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEET 7,12, AND APPENDIX 3	DC.59A f) iv) Bridge piers and abutments design to address the location of piers and the treatment of abutments to address their scale and materials	Detail of both bridge designs and finishes are in Appendix 3, which changes since NOR /AEE documentation. The Wharemauku Stream bridge has been designed to accommoda local road which will pass under the Expressway beside the Whare bridge consists of two separate decks supported by two columns e the steam. The south abutment, adjacent to the existing CWB, is in and the north abutment is vertical. Exposed aggregate precast pan abutments, reflecting and relating to the materials and texture of the bridge. Riprap to protect the bridge abutments and columns, will be under the bridge decks, extending 1.5m min beyond the bridge dec The Kāpiti Expressway bridge consists of two separate decks each se central columns. The bridge abutments will be faced with precast of batter) with 45 degree diagonal formliner surface texture. The con finished with fair faced concrete with Kiem white wash and graffiti bridge barrier. A narrow concrete vertical boarder between the pa potential space to incorporate surface patterning if required. This bridge is the most urban of all the project's bridges and will has

ed and considers the

. Stream Drive and Quadrant

barate walls located

n posts at

as considered the

nerally, planting will be

larly the taller ones, to

ours.

vill be built has been

abutments which are

ntained.

n also notes design

ate a proposed future emauku Stream. The each, one either side of nclined at a 2:1 batter, nels will face the the riprap under the be installed in all areas ck extent.

supported with two concrete panels (2:1 crete panels will be i guard as for the nels provides a

ave the highest use for dge treatment differs ent of the journey

			along Kāpiti Road. As such, the abutment facing presents a light corefined surface that provides textural interest both day and night. A lighting under the bridge deck will further enhance the under bridge visual amenity by minimizing a potentially dark cavernous space. The 3.0m wide gap between the two bridge decks allows a shaft of 47.0m under-bridge space. The bridge columns for both bridges retain the sculptured form as a on the project.
F.	OTHER URBAN DESIGN CONDITIONS	 Condition 59A i) iv & v): iv) Ihakara extension/Wharemauku Stream: Safety of pedestrian and cycle crossing at the future local road Ihakara Street Extension Provision for future road connection in relation to the Wharemauku Stream and CWB Gradient and direction of CWB in relation to the slope up to Milne Drive v) Kāpiti Road Interchange: Development of a distinctive gateway in terms of the bridge form, and legibility of connections to the future town centre development. Wetland and designated land on Kāpiti Road being integrated with this gateway design as a transitional space between the Expressway and town centre. Future upgrades to Kāpiti Road and the safety and convenience of the walking and cycling crossings for the upgraded Kāpiti Road Provision of a safe and convenient walking link between Kāpiti Road and Makarini Street (via Makarini Street Reserve) 	 S. Refer Sheet 2 the remainder of this intersection will be detailed in 350) Refer Sheet 2 the remainder of this intersection will be detailed in 350) Refer Sheet 2 the remainder of this intersection will be detailed in 350) Potential CWB link identified toward the northern end Milne Drip Formation of this link is currently subject to property agreements. The distinctiveness of the 'gateway' is provided through the plant the detailing of the under bridge space. Both the planting design an finishes are unique to the Kapiti interchange and provide a definite the Expressway. The formalised placement of the trees will set up a users cross the Kapiti bridge or use the on/off ramps. It will be very Expressway users that they are entering a 'different' place. In additional (especially for south bound traffic) through the gaps in the tr the experience. The tree planting either side of the on/off ramps wigateway' approaching or leaving Kapiti Road. For people passing un on Kapiti Road, the under bridge surface finishes present an urban of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment beyond the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of the bridge integrating with the planting on the embankment of t
			 9. See Sheets 3, 4, 11 10. See sheet 10 refer text in B CWB page 7 11. See Sheet 3 and refer Text in B CWB page 7

5. LANDSCAPE + ECOLOGY	CONDITIONS – LANDSCAPE + ECOLOGY	RESPONSES – LANDSCAPE + ECOLOGY
A. DUNES AND DRYLAND VEGETATION REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 2, 3 &	 There are no areas identified as valued indigenous vegetation by Condition G.41 c). Condition DC.57 f) specifies exotic trees to be retained. Re-shaping of dune landforms disturbed by construction of the Expressway. 	 Exotic trees to be retained are identified on the 'Vegetation to be Consultation and site visits to neighbors on the western side of th timber noise fence will be constructed has identified the exotic veretained close to the boundaries. Some vegetation will need to be noise fence to be constructed. Dune landforms are addressed under the Landform section below instruction (M2PP-23R-D-DWG-8904).
		Final contouring of disturbed dunes will be incorporated into eart natural dune forms.

oloured clean and Architectural feature ge environment and

f light into the 27.0m x

for the other bridges

in SSMP 2 (Sector

in SSMP 2 (Sector

rive, gradients suitable.

nting design as well as nd bridge abutment e contrast to the rest of distinctive rhythm as apparent to tion, views to Kapiti ree planting will add to vill create an 'avenue nder the Expressway response, with light t toe walls continue nts (see Sheets 10-13).

e Retained' plan. ne Expressway where a egetation that could be e cleared to enable the

v. Also see dune shaping

thworks to replicate

MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway- Site Specific Management Plan 3 Wharemauku Basin Certified Rev C, 1 September 2014 M2PP-121-D-PLNM-0003 9

		There are no identified valued areas of terrestrial indigenous vege SSMP.
B. STREAMS AND RIPARIAN WORKS	Condition G.42 b) requires specific lengths of stream mitigation. There are no ecological mitigation requirements within this SSEMP.	 Note: no ecological mitigation works are proposed in this SSMP – Drain 7 SSEMP Site set out in the Ecological Management Plan is l area in Sector 350. A temporary stream diversion is required within the Wharemauku construction of the Wharemauku Stream bridge and riprap placer methodology will be developed in conjunction with GWRC for the passage is maintained and effects on water quality are minimized EMP requirements. This will include as a minimum: Maintaining fish passage and consideration of migratory fish re Sediment monitoring via in-stream logger is required at tempo and livening as set out in the EMP. Fish salvage shall be undertaken prior to dewatering (as set out
C. WETLANDS	Condition G.42 b) requires specific areas of wetland mitigation.	
REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEET 11		 Note: Wetland 4 functions as a stormwater treatment wetland an ecological offset wetland. In terms of landscape amenity, wetland 4 located where it is, at a provide a large area of planted green open space. This will contratroad corridor and urban environment that already exists on Kāpit open space visual amenity it will provide in the future as part of the development.
D. SALVAGE	Condition G.34 m) sets out the salvage requirements for vegetation in SSMP 5.	There are no ecological mitigation requirements within this SSMP
E. VEGETATION TO BE RETAINED REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 2, 3 & VEGETATION TO BE RETAINED PLANS M2PP-37R-D- DWG-8701 to 8705	Conditions: DC.57 f) i) and DC.42C c) i) and G.34m) – identification of vegetation to be retained. Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.21 to 8.28 and Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction. Ecological Management Plan, sections 7.1 to 7.18.	 Vegetation to be retained plans have been certified by KCDC. There are no identified areas of valued indigenous vegetation tha within this SSMP. Indigenous and/or exotic vegetation growing close to the resident west between Wharemauku and Kāpiti will be retained with the enable the construction of the noise fence.
F. VEGETATION TO BE CLEARED	Conditions: DC.57 f) i) and DC.42C c) i) identification of vegetation to be removed. Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.21 to 8.28 and Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction. Ecological Management Plan, sections 7.1 to 7.18.	 Project Landscape Architect to provide briefing to Constructors proclearance and protection work commencing; briefing to identify a vegetation clearance process. Vegetation to be mulched and stockpiled shall exclude aggressive could result in potential ongoing management problems (e.g. black <i>Convolvulus</i>, and willows). Stored mulch to be periodically inspected for evidence of aggressive present sprayed with appropriate herbicide.
G. INDIGENOUS FAUNA	Conditions G.34 n) and the EMP (Appendix 3, section 7) - freshwater fish requirements for diversions and culverts in perennial and intermittent waterbodies (including drains).	Immediately prior to any temporary stream diversion in the Whar of bridge construction works), the section of the watercourse sub isolated by bunds (or other method specified), and fish present sh for translocation by accepted methods as provided in the EMP.

etation within this

and the Wharemauku / located south of this

u Stream as part of the ment. A detailed ese works to ensure fish l consistent with the

equirements. rary diversion creation

t in the EMP).

nd is not included as an

busy interchange, will st with the very busy i Road as well as the he planned town centre

at require consideration

tial properties on the exception of removal to

rior to vegetation any hold points during

e weed species that ckberry, gorse,

ive weed species and if

remauku Stream (as part ject to works shall be hall be safely captured

		Prior to livening of the diverted section of the Wharemauku Strea capture and removal will be required as set out on the EMP.
		All fish that are captured shall be transferred upstream to the near to limit their exposure to any increased turbidity that is caused du reclamation process / diversion / installation.
		The Kiwi Pond area south of the Wharemauku Stream (in SSMP 2) potential habitat for the grey duck. Any enabling works associate Stream bridge within this SSMP area shall take into account the greechanisms outlined in the EMP.
H LANDFORMS	Condition DC.57 c) - SSLMPs shall be consistent with the Landscape	The SSMP 3 Designation corridor is relatively narrow with the Expr
REFER TO APPENDIX 1 SHEETS 2-5 SHEET 27 (M2PP-23R-D-DWG-8904)	Management Plan, ULDF (Technical Report 5), the Ecological Management Plan, the relevant Site Specific Urban Design Plan, and the Network Integration Plan as relevant.	footprint occupying much of the space. Where remnants of dunes bunds are constructed, they will be finished to appear as 'natural' integrated with any undisturbed landforms.
		Organic material (i.e. the limited topsoil development on the dunce interdunal hollows) shall be stripped and stockpiled separately for documentation and the Landscape Specifications (Appendix 4) pro- stripping and storage.
		Project Landscape Architect to be involved in design of final shapi ensure 'natural' appearance. A standard detail has been develope illustrates how final shaping of the dunes should be carried out (N
		Where seasonal conditions prevail hydroseeding of exposed sand is completed. Alternative treatment to exposed sand areas where feasible (eg organic mulch, straw / brush).
		All exposed sand areas shall be temporarily protected with straw during re-shaping to limit erosion from wind and rain and also to adjoining properties.
I. WETLAND CREATION AND RESTORATION	Condition G. 41 c) ii)	N/A There are no ecological mitigation requirements within this SS Note: Wetland 4 functions as a stormwater treatment wetland and ecological offset wetland.
J. STREAM CREATION AND RESTORATION	Condition G.42 and G.42C - creation of large areas of new stream south of the Wharemauku Stream	N/A There are no ecological mitigation requirements within this SS
K. CULVERT INSTALLATION	N/A no culverts	N/A – there are no permanent culverts in perennial or intermitten this SSMP.
L. MITIGATION PLANTING	Conditions DC.57 f) - Landscape mitigation requirements -	There are five planting types within this SSMP required for landso ecological mitigation as follows:
		<i>Massed planting:</i> Planting plans illustrate typical planting layor composition. Plant grades will be a mix of 0.5 and 1.0 litre gradentres. In areas subject to enrichment planting (i.e. the section Wharemauku Stream, which will occur in the following planting planting), plant grades shall be PB 18 or equivalent.
		<i>Stormwater wetland species mix</i> : Planting plans illustrate prospecies mix. Plant grades will be a mix of 0.5 and 1.0 litre (or 0.75m centres.

am an extensive fish

arest equivalent habitat uring the stream

) is an identified area of ed with the Wharemauku rey duck protection

ressway earthworks s remain and noise as possible and be

es and peat in the r future use. Contract ovides details on topsoil

ing of dune profiles to ed which explains and M2PP-23R-D-DWG-8904)

l areas once re-shaping e hydroseeding not

or proprietary materials minimise dust issues in

SEMP. d is not included as an

SEMP.

t watercourses within

cape and visual and

out and species des planted at 1.0m ion just north of ing season after mass

oposed layout and equivalent) planted at

		 Specimen trees: The planting plans and details describe this plather Kāpiti interchange and ramps. Specimen trees will be PB 4 specified spacings. These trees will be staked and wind protect provided until well established. The selection of tree species of embankments recognizes the challenging growing environmer limited root run into compacted fill. Median planting: Where the Expressway median is 6.0m or with planted. Over the interchange and north. Knobby club rush - (Planted swales: Stormwater swales will be planted with oioi (
M. PLANTING METHODS AND SPECIFICATIONS REFER TO APPENDIX 4	DC 57 f) - planting methods and specifications Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.41 – 8.59 and Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction and Construction.	 Planting shall be undertaken during 3 month planting window only the end of August). Planting may be carried out during a 2- week side of this but it will depend on environmental conditions. No pla undertaken outside the June-August planting window unless apprent Landscape Architect. Planting substrate shall be a minimum of 300mm deep, consolidation and erosion before mulch placement. Planting on the Kāpiti Road interchange embankments will required into the compacted fill. M2PP-23R-D-DWG-8900 (standard detail). will include a 1.0m long x 65mm diameter perforated plastic pipes rootball with the top projecting 50mm above the mulch finish level No planting shall be undertaken until site is approved by Project Labe free of aggressive pest plant species. Planting shall be delayed aggressive pest plants are detected until these are removed or sufficient supplier to confirm all plants are well hardened off prior to perform the relevant mitter plant selection shall be in accordance with species percentage All indigenous plant set out and groupings to be random, but refle assemblages as directed by Project Landscape for the relevant mitter plant selection shall take into account engineering and service contains and service contains shall be temporarily fenced to assist with plant plant planted areas shall be temporarily fenced to assist with plant plant planted areas shall be tomication success requirements as
N. WEED CLEARANCE	Conditions: DC.57 f) vii) B Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.16 to 8.20 and	All invasive plants shall be controlled in planting areas prior to plan with the GWRC Regional Pest Management Strategy (2002-22) and
	Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction and Construction.	Project Landscape Architect in relation to landscape mitigation are
O. GROUND PREPARATION REFER TO APPENDIX 4	Condition DC.57 f) Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.35 to 8.40 and Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction and Construction.	All areas to be planted shall be sprayed with a certified and appro- All areas to be planted shall be free of actively growing grass, wee material removed.

planting that will occupy 40 grade, located at ction structures on the interchange ent of strong winds and

wider the median will be (Ficinia nodosa)

(Apodasmia similis)

ly (beginning June until shoulder period either lanting shall be roved by Project

ited, and free from rilling

e excavation of tree pits Each specimen tree set vertically beside the el.

Landscape Architect to d in areas where ifficiently controlled.

planting.

iges.

ecting natural itigation requirements.

nstraints.

protection.

the Project Landscape s set out in the LMP.

anting in accordance nd as directed by the eas.

oved herbicide.

eds, and any extraneous

		Any localised rilling or erosion of planted areas shall be remedied approved soil mix.
		Project Landscape Architect to approve all finished earthwork area approved soil mix.
		Approved soil mix comprising salvaged peat, stripped topsoil, sand placed and lightly compacted to a depth of 300mm over all areas t
P. MULCHING REFER TO APPENDIX 4	Condition DC.57 f) Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.41 – 8.59 and Attachment	100mm of organic mulch shall be placed lightly over all areas to be exception of temporarily or permanently inundated areas as outline
	Construction.	Organic mulch shall be placed over the area to be planted at least planting to allow for settlement. Note: organic mulch shall not be used within the areas of wetland swales and streams that are subject to temporary or permanent in areas, alternative plant protection techniques will be used (e.g. sto matting mechanisms).
Q. PLANT SUPPLY	Condition DC.57 f)	All indigenous plants shall be sourced from Manawatu Ecological f
REFER TO APPENDIX 4	 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction and 	the Foxton Ecological District.
	Construction.)	All plants shall be hardened off prior to planting.
R. PLANTING PROGRAMME / STAGING	Condition DC.57 f) Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.41 – 8.59 and Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Pre-construction and Construction.	Planting shall be staged according to completion of construction w No planting shall be carried out in areas where there is a risk of da construction activities.
		Construction Manager shall confirm areas where construction is corready for planting.
		Planting shall be completed only within June-August planting wind approved by Project Landscape Architect.
		All areas to be planted shall be photographed and details recorded baseline information.
S. PLANT MAINTENANCE	Condition DC.57 f)	All planted areas shall be photographed on completion of planting
REFER TO APPENDIX 4	 Refer: Landscape Management Plan, sections 8.60 – 8.62 and Attachment 2: Principles, Methods and Procedures: Post-Construction. 	to be included as part of baseline information.
		Terrestrial planting, both indigenous and exotic shall be maintaine
		Riparian and stormwater wetland planting shall be maintained for
		Planting shall be maintained according to the maintenance plan as Landscape specifications (Appendix 4).
		Monitoring reports on plant survival and establishment and the fr the maintenance regime shall be completed by the Project Landsc consultation with the Project Ecologist in relation to riparian plant • 1 month after planting completed and then • 3 months • 6 months • 12 months

prior to placement of

eas prior to placement of

d and compost shall be to be planted.

e planted (with the ined above).

t 2 weeks prior to

and stormwater nundation. For these aking and proprietary

Region, with a focus on

works.

amage from adjoining

completed and area is

dow unless otherwise

ed to form part of

g and details recorded

ed for 3 years.

r 4 years.

as set out in the

requency and success of cape Architect (in ting) as follows:

		 2 years; and Twice yearly thereafter until the end of the maintenance period.
		Monitoring reports shall include dates of visits, condition of vegetation, condition of fencing, issues arising, actions required, together with photographs.
		Monitoring reports on completion shall be provided to KCDC Landscape Reviewer.
		Monitoring reports shall cease to be prepared for those areas where the performance standards have been met ahead of the maintenance period.
T. PEST PLANT MANAGEMENT	DC.57 f), G.42C c) control of pest plants.	Weed surveys shall be carried out annually in spring to track the introduction of weeds
REFER TO APPENDIX 4		GWRC Regional Pest Management Strategy (2002-22).
U. PEST ANIMAL MANAGEMENT	DC.57 f), G.42C c) control of pest animals.	Pest monitoring shall be carried out annually in spring to track the introduction of browsing animal pests and their spread and to recommend appropriate management in
REFER TO APPENDIX 4		accordance with the GWRC Regional Pest Management Strategy (2002-22).
V. PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS REFER TO APPENDIX 4	Condition DC.57 c) temporary and permanent protection.	Temporary fences shall be erected as part of the protection of valued vegetation to be retained.
		All areas of landscape mitigation planting within the operational designation shall be fenced following planting, maintained and protected in accordance with the consent conditions as outlined in the LMP.
W. LANDSCAPE AND ECOLOGICAL SUCCESS MONITORING – POST CONSTRUCTION	DC. 57 c) - monitoring and adaptive management requirements to confirm landscape mitigation success has been achieved are as follows (as outlined in the LMP):	<u>No ecological mitigation works are proposed in this SSMP – and the Wharemauku / Drain</u> <u>7 SSEMP Site set out in the Ecological Management Plan is located south of this area in</u> <u>Sector 350.</u> In relation to landscape mitigation planting, success measures are as follows:
	DC.53 c), DC.57 f)) - 3 year Defects Liability and Maintenance Period for all terrestrial planting and a 4 year Defects Liability and Maintenance Period for wetland and riparian planting.	 80% canopy closure at the time of Final Completion whereby a sustainable plant community has been established and where plants have grown to create a canopy that shades the ground and suppresses weed growth.
	DC. 57 c) - at the completion of planting, each area of mitigation will be reviewed by the Project Landscape Architect and a report prepared on the parameters above.	 Invasive terrestrial weed species successfully controlled. Shelterbelts and amenity rural tree planting shall require 100% plant survival, with 100% of trees in full leaf at the time of Final Completion.
X. ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT – POST CONSTRUCTION	Condition DC.57 c)	In the event that mitigation planting does not achieve the objectives within the consent timeframes, the Project Landscape Architect will prepare a report, including recommendations for remedial work or additional mitigation, and ongoing monitoring and reporting through the Adaptive Management process.
6. REFERENCES	 Ecological Management Plan (EMP), July 2013. Landscape Management Plan (LMP), July 2013 Lirban and Landscape Design Eramework Technical Report 5, Mach 	Cave to Peka Expressival

6. REFERENCES	Ecological Management Plan (EMP), July 2013.
	 Landscape Management Plan (LMP), July 2013
	Urban and Landscape Design Framework, Technical Report 5, MacKays to Peka Expressway
	 Assessment of Landscape and Visual Effects, including Appendices A and B, Technical Report 7
	 Assessment of Ecological Impacts Report, including Technical Reports 27 – 31 (Terrestrial Vegetation and Habitats, Herpetofauna, Avif- Marine),
	Assessment of Hydrology and Stormwater Effects, Technical Report 22.

auna, Freshwater and

Appendix 1: DRAWING SET Site Specific Management Plan 003 - [sectors 360/370/380] MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway

01 SEPTEMBER 2014 - CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C





M2PP-121-D-PLNM-0003



100-

60

4 SCALE S UCT D ā 20

4

50

40

10

2



DO NOT SCALE



Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, Level 2, 17-21 Whitmore St, WELLINGTON



Ł

2







5

4

DO NOT SCALE



umm–							\neg	Original Scale (A1)	Design Drawn	FB VB	18/07/14 Approved For Construction*		Marken Bala Bala	Project SH1 MACKAYS TO PEKA PEKA	SSMP 3[35
	С	CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C	VB	8		DS 18/	8/07/14	AS SHOWN Reduced Scale (A3)	Dsg Verifier Dwg Check		Date	AGENCY	MacKays to Peka Peka	EXPRESSWAY	WHARE
	No.	Revision	By	Chk C	hk.V A	ppd E	Date	AS SHOWN	* Refer to Revisio	n 1 for Original Signatu	re	MACA RETORIE	the second second second second	RP 1012/0.00 TO 1023/3.00	

40 -

30 -

10N SCALE

NOTE: TO BETTER REPRESENT THE BRIDGE, THE PROPOSED VISUALISATION HAS BEEN DRAWN FROM A VANTAGE POINT THAT IS CLOSER TO THE BRIDGE THAN THE ORIGINAL AEE RENDER

Drawing Plotted:

NOTE: CWB BRIDGE NOT SHOWN

50/370/380] - SHEET 8 EMAUKU STREAM

M2PP-121-D-DWG-8801

Document No.

С



ETAIL DESIGN (DI





Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, Level 2, 17-21 Whitmore St, WELLINGTON

ETAIL DESIGN (D

IF IN DOUBT A





DO NOT SCALE









PE BARRIER		NB4- 3.0M HIGH CONCRETE NOISE BARRIER BESIDE EXPRESSWAY	
1M ABOVE EVEL	•••••	NB5- 2.0M HIGH CONCRETE NOISE BARRIER AWAY FROM EXPRESSWAY	
CONCRETE NOISE E EXPRESSWAY	•••••	NB6- 3M HIGH CONCRETE NOISE BARRIER AWAY FROM EXPRESSWAY	
ACKFILLED 1.OM ABOVE		NB7- 2.0M HIGH TIMBER PROPERTY NOISE FENCE	
CONCRETE NOISE EXPRESSWAY	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EARTH NOISE BUND (TOP) HEIGHT VARIES	1
			24
0/370/380]- SHEET WALL LOCATIONS	15 Drawing No: M2P	P-121-D-DWG-8602	Rev.



Drawing Plotted:



S

5



5


DO NOT SCALE









D SHEET 22 M2PP-121-D-DWG-8702 С LIGHTING PLAN



NO E

131

POLE HEIGHT	POLE SPACING	EXTRAPOLATED
		PROJECT QUANTITY
4.5M	26M	135
5.0M	28M	126
5.5M	30M	117
6.0M	31M	114
6.5M	32M	110

OPTIMUM POLE SPACING - COLUMN HEIGHT RATIO WITH SUGGESTED LUMINR (LEOTEK E-COBRA ECI)

DO NOT SCALE

С

IF IN DOUBT A

9 Z S A



100-

80

60 -

1 REPRODUCTION SCALE

A 0m

50

40

30

Document created for NZT/	A by M2PP	Alliance,	Level 2,	17-21	Whitmore \$	St,	WELLI

DO NOT SCAL

С



Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, Level 2, 17-21 Whitmore St, WELLINGTON

TYPICAL SIGN TYPES:

100-

80

60

SCALE 40

8 20

50

10

AI - ADVANCED INFO SIGNS

AT START OF ROUTE.
INCLUDES:
MAP & INFO

LENGTH & DURATION OF RIDE / WALK

AI - Advance Information Signs are not an essential requirement for public access tracks or cycle routes, nor are they standardised in terms of their design and layout. These signs may, if desired and appropriate, be installed at or near the start point of the route to provide detailed information, such as a map and information about the length and duration to ride etc. These signs should be clearly visible from the road, allowing cyclists and pedestrians a safe place to stop clear of the roadway or cycleway to read the information.

BE - BEGINNING AND ENDING SIGNS



BE - Begins/Ends Signs are used to indicate the start and/or end point of a cycle route. They will include route specific information. Route Begins Signs should be installed on the left hand side of the CWB immediately beyond or adjacent to any advance information sign or at a logical starting point for the cycle route.

ID - INTERSECTION DIRECTION



ID - The Intersection Direction Sign is located at or as near as possible to the actual intersection. Should include both Information about the destination and the distance.

Multiple sighs and destinations to be on one post

AD01 - ADVANCED DIRECTION SIGN - ON LOCAL ROAD APPROACHING CWB



AD - The purpose of the Advance Direction Sign is to give cyclists prior warning, to enable them to make decisions and, if necessary, place themselves in the best position to make any change in direction required before they reach the intersection. These signs should be used in any situation where the cyclist could easily miss making a required turn at an approaching intersection.

To occur 40-60m in advance of an intersection and should only include Information about the destination, not the distance.

CD - CONFIRMATION DIRECTION



CD - The Confirmation Direction Sign is used to confirm the direction/ destination of travel after an intersection it is intended to provide assurance to cyclists. The CD sign features a straight ahead arrow and should include both Information about the destination and the distance.

As a general rule of thumb, these signs should be installed; between 20-50m beyond an intersection where an Advance Direction Sign has been used and should generally be visible from that intersection;

Cyclists should see a CD sign at least every 15-30 minutes of typical cyclist travel, or every 5-10 km.

AD - ADVANCED DIRECTION - ON CWB



AD - The purpose of the Advance Direction Sign is to give cyclists prior warning, to enable them to make decisions and, if necessary, place themselves in the best position to make any change in direction required before they reach the intersection. These signs should be used in any situation where the cyclist could easily miss making a required turn at an approaching intersection.

To occur 40-60m in advance of an intersection and should only include Information about the destination, not the distance.



LOCAL ROAD INTERSECTION SIGNS

LR + GW - Local road (LR) and Giveway (GW) signs should to be used where the CWB crosses a local road. These are to be located at or as near as possible to the actual intersection. Where possible the LR should be kept to one per intersection and be able to be read by people on either side of the intersection. Both the LR and GW should share the same post and or be incorporateted onto an existing post.

								Scale (A1)	Drawn	VB	18/07/14	Construction*	NZTRANSPOR
D	POST CERTIFICATION AMMENDMENT	MP				01/09/15		Reduced	Dsg Verifier				- ACENICY
С	CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C	VB			DS	18/07/14		Scale (A3)	Dwg Check			Date	AGENCT
No.	Revision	By	Chk	Chk.V	Appd	Date	1 L	NTS	* Refer to Revision	1 for Original Signature	2		and a prove

MacKays to Peka Peka

SH1 MACKAYS TO PEKA PEKA EXPRESSWAY RP 1012/0.00 TO 1023/5.00

SHEET 26 CWB SIGN TYPE SUMMARY

M2PP-121-D-DWG-8901

D

	This guidance does not negate the requirement for the landscape architect to sign off these works prior to spreading topsoil.
•	The obligation to round earthwork cuts in the dune country, avoiding a geometric engineered finish, is a requirement of the consent conditions, the UDLF and the LMP (see below).
•	Ideally, this shaping should have been incorporated into the earthworks design model, for implementation on site via the Trimble system. However, inclusion of flowing contours proved unworkable in the MX model so it was agreed that 'on site' instruction by the Design Team with the Construction Team was the best approach.
•	Earthworks in sector 460 have been completed to a standard that meets the consent design requirements. Consequently, the dune shaping in 460 (depicted at right) is the design standard for 'dune rounding' for the entire M2PP project.
	onsent Conditions
set o unde SSLI Conc xi) C	ut in the Urban and Landscape Design Framework (Technical Report 5) in order to achieve the outcomes and standards required r Condition DC.53C, having regard to the local character and context and ecological conditions within each sector or stage of the route. MPs are required for all sectors/stages of the Expressway. A The landforms and character, including streams; A. The landforms and character, including streams;
	UDLF(Urban Design and Landscape Framework)
The d align inevit the d	dunes are the 'signature' landforms encountered along the Expressway corridor. In the first instance the route ment seeks to avoid significant dunes if possible. However, loss or modification of some dunes will be able in places given the confined corridor available and the scale of the Expressway footprint. Integrating the Expressway linear form into une landforms is a key design objective.
Desig The o Exprin	gn Concept sume forms and other natural landform features have been avoided as best they can in the alignment of the assway. However, the Expressway will create change to landforms and the approach will be to 'naturalise' the ges as far as practicable, to integrate those changes with local topographical patterns.
Desig The i	gn Principles bllowing principles will apply to the landform design:
3. De	sign or modify landforms to acknowledge and reflect the local topographical pattern (scale, orientation, profile).
5. Sh an	ape (roll off) the tops of cut/ fill faces so the faces integrate with the existing dune profiles as far as practicable and minimise risk of water d wind erosion.
6. Sh co	ape visual and noise mitigation bunds to appear as 'natural' landform, avoiding engineered appearances unless these forms are a mponent of a designed 'land art' formation.
_	I MD/I andeesne Management Plan)
-	charact 3: Principles Methods and Procedures (co. 6)
Ensu Urba -Sha	ire finished earthworks physically and visually relate to adjoining landforms and that they reflect the Design Principles as set out in the n and Landscape Design Framework. pe noise and visual mitigation bunds to appear as 'natural' landforms where practicable. id unnecessary disturbance to natural landforms.
- Avo	
- Ave	shaping of dunes to achieve a 'natural' appearance is likely to require extending earthworks into surrounding topography.

100

60

BCALE SCALE

TOULCT 50







RP 1012/0.00 TO 1023/5.00

DO NOT SCAL



7

DO NOT SCALE









100-

80

60 -

A1 REPRODUCTION SCALE

0mm

50





SSMP 3 - SHEET 28 TE ATIAWA COLUMN DESIGN

M2PP-121-D-DWG-8803

А



Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, 43 Ihakara Street, PO Box 723, Paraparaumu 5254

Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, 43 Ihakara Street, PO Box 723, Paraparaumu 5254.

DO NOT SCALE

Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, 43 Ihakara Street, PO Box 723, Paraparaumu 5254.

IF IN DOUBT ASK

Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, 43 Ihakara Street, PO Box 723, Paraparaumu 5254.

Drawing Plotted: 09 Jul 2015 04:47 p.m.

			щ		MASSED P	LANDNG					
			SENC		MP C	Aristotelia serrata	Ma k omako	1.0 litre	5%		
			EFER		MP C	Carex tessoniana	Cutty grass	1.0 litre	5%	front	
			N R	-	MP C	Carpodetus serratus	Putaputaweta	1.0 litre	5%		
			[A]	101	MP C	Coprosma propingua	Mingimingi	1.0 litre	7%		
				F ,	- MP C	Coprosma robusta	Karamu	1.D litre	10%	1	
			ARE,	A 28452 m	- MP C	Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.0 litre	5%	+ +	
		AREA ADJ	UJSTED FOR SLOP	E 29875 m ^e	- MP C	Griselinia lucida	Puka Broadleaf	1.0 litre	7%	+	+
	MT MIX = 1.0 M CRS MASSED PLANTING,	ENRICHMENT 10.0M CRS, PLANT C	CENTRES (METRES	5)	- M9 C	Hobo stricto	Koropyko	1.0 litre	04/	front	+
	MULCH TYPE OM = ORGANIC MI	ULCH, GM = GRADED GRAVEL MUL	JLCH, BC = BIOCOI	۹			Kanaka	1.Dine	400/		+
	N = NO_MULCH [IN RIPAR AN / WETLAND ZONE	.S), WM = WOOL MAT SQUARE (R F	PARIAN EROSION	11	- MP C	kunzea ericorces	Kanuka	1.0 ji tre	12%	+	+
LANT PLANT BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	GRADE % MIX NOT	DTES		MP C	Macropiper excelsum	Kawakawa	1.0 litre	5%		+
YPE MIX					MP C	Melicytus ramiflorus	Mahoe	1.0 litre	5%		
					MP C	Melicope ternata	Wharangi	1.0 litre	3%		
MASSED LOW EDGE PLANTING - ADJACEN	CYCLEWAY				MP C	Myoporum la etum	Ngaro	1.0 litre	3%		
AL A Acaena novae-zelandia	Red bidibidi	1.0 litre 10% (ror	ontecge	403 No	MP C	Myrsine australis	Magou, Matipo	1 Distre	7%	1	
AL A Austroderia fulvida	syn Cortaderia, toetoe	1.0 litre 5% bac		196 No		Olaaria colandri	Coortol tree doicy	2.0 1.0	5.16	++	+
Al A Corrections	Treased reday	1.D.bbo 1.0% (ros		1.56 Ma	_ MP C	Oleana solanari	coastar tree barsy	1.0 litre	3%	+	+
	irreaser seuge	1.5 1112 10% 10%	Jineuge	392 ND	_ MP C	Pittosporum tenuilolium	Kohuhu	1.D litre	8%		+
AL A Carex solandri	Forest sedge, Solander's sedge	1.0 htre 10% trop	ontecge	392 NO	C	Pseudopanax arboreus	Whauwhaupako, Frvefinger	1.0 litre	2%		
AL Carex virgata	Swanip sedge	1.0 litre 5% mid	id back	196 No	. MP C	Sophera microphylla	Kowhai	1.0 litre	3%		
AL A Coprosma areolata	Thin leaved Coprosma	1.0 litre 3% bac	ick	118 No	MASSED P	LANTING - ADJOINS A WETLAND					
AL A Coprosina propinqua	Mingimingi	1.0 litre 5% mid	id back	196 No	MP I	Aristotelia serrata	Makomako	1.0 litre	5%		
AL A Cogrosma repens	Taupata	1.0 litre 1.0% mid	id back	392 No	MP I	Austroderia fulvida	syn Cortaderia, toetoe	1.D litre	10%		
	Wiwe Koobby club resb			202 -	- MP I	Carex secta	Pukio, Purei	1.0 litre	5%		
	within Kitoboy Cit of that		on eage	392 40	• MP I	Carex virgata	Swamp sedge	1.D litre	10%		
AL A Hebe stricta	Karomiko	1.0 htre 10% bac	ic k	392 NO	MP[I	Coprosma propingua	Mingimingi	1.0 litre	5%	!	
AL A Melicope simplex		1.0 litre 2% mid	id	78 No	MP I	Coprosma tenuicaulis	Hukihuki, swamp Coprosma	1.0 litre	5%		
AL A Muehlenbeckia.comple	Pohoehue, wire vine	1.0 litre 20% from	ontecge	785 No	MP	Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.0 litre	15%	-	+
IASSED LOW EDGE PLANTING - ADJACEN	EXPRESSWAY				MP	Cyperus ustulatus	Toetoe upokotangata, Giant	1.0 litre	5%	T T	
L 6 Acaena novae-zelandia	Red bidibidi	1.0 litre 1.0% litror	ontecge	432 No			umbrella sedge	<u> </u>	 	l	+
B An strenderin 6 buid-	Eve Contadoria Instao				- MP I	Hebe stricta	Koromiko	1.0 litre	5%		+
a po postroberia tuivida	syn Cortaberra, toetoe	1.0 10 5% bac		216 140	MP I	Leptospermum scoparium	Manuka	1.D litre	10%	1]	+
L B Carex dipsacea	Treasel sedge	1.D litre 10% from	ontesge	432 No	MP I	Myrsine australis	Mapon, Matipo	1.D litre	5%		
IL B Carex solandri	Forest sedge, Solander's sedge	1.0 litre 10% from	onteoge	432 NO	MP I	Phormium tenax	Harakeke, Hax	1.0 litre	10%	<u> </u>	+
L 6 Carex virgata	Swanip sedge	1.0 litre 5% mid	id back	216 NO	MP I	Pittosporum eugenicides	Tarata, lemonwood	1.0 litre	5%	ļ]	+
L B Coprosma acerosa	Sand Coprosma	1.0 litre 10% from	ontrnid	432 No	MP I	Sophora microphylla	Kewhai	1.0 litre	5%		\downarrow
II B Couros ma aveciata	Thus leaved Coprosima	1 Diutre 2% hac	ick.	96 No	MASSED P	LANTING + TREE ENRICHMENT					\downarrow
	Minaiminai	1.0 Etco	al back	30 10	— мт с	Aristotelia serrata	Makomako	1.0 litre	5%		+
NL B Coprosina proprinqua		1.0 /// 3% ////	I DJEK	216 NO	C	Carex Lessoniana	Cutty grass	1.0 litre	5%	front	+
AL B Coprosima repens	laupata	J.U litre 5% mid	d back	216 No	_ <u>mr</u> c	Carpodetus serratus	Putaputaweta	1.D litre	5%		+
AL B Ficinia necesa	Wiwi, Knobby club rush	1.0 litre 10% from	onteoge	432 No	MTC	Coprosma propinqua	Mingimingi	1.0 litre	1%		+
1L 6 Melicope simplex		3% mid	id	130 No	MT C	Coprosma robusta	Karamu	1.D litre	10%	<u> </u>	+-+
L 6 Hebe stricta	Koromiko	1.0 litre 5% bac	ick	216 No	— <u>мт</u> с	Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.0 litre	5%	+	+
ASSED LOW EDGE PLANTING WITH SMA	CREEN TREE - I M. LEO WIDTH FOR VEGETATION B	UEEER OR SCREEN PLANTING ON B	BOUNDARY		– <u>mr</u> c	Griselinia lucida	Puka, Broadleaf	1.0 litre	2%	+	+
u [c:]concorrecturida	Chining karaony	linter les l		0.0 Mo	. <u>мт</u> с	Hebe stricta	Koromiko	1.0 litre	8%	front	+
	Shining karaniu	1.0 mile 3%		89 140	_ <u>mr</u> c	Kunzea ericoittes	Kanuka	1.0 litre	12%	+	+
IL G Coprosina propinqua	Mingimingi	1.0 litre 10%		177 No	C	Macropiper excelsum	Kawakawa	1.D litre	5%		+
IL G Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.D litre 5% gr	roups 3-5 *	89 No	<u>MT</u> _C	Melicytus ramiflorus	Mahoe	1.0 litre	5%		+
1L G Corokia cotoneaster	korokio taranga	1.0 litre 10%		177 NO	MT C	Melicope ternata	Wharangi	1.0 litre	3%	+	+
L G Griselinia lucida	Puka, Broadleaf	1.0 litre 5%		89 No	- <u>mr</u> c	Myoporum laetum	Ngaio	1.0 litre	3%	+	+ $+$
L G Hebe structa	Koromiko	1.0 litre 10%		177 No	– <u>mr</u> c	Myrsine australis	Mapou, Matipo	1.0 litre	7%	+	+
G Macromatorcalium	Kanakana	1.0.000		an Ma	_ <u>MT C</u>	Olearta solandri	Ecastal Tree datsy	1.D litre	5%	+	+
				85 40	_ <u>MI L</u>	Pittosporum tenuilorium	Kenunu	1.0 litre	8%	+	+
L G Myrsine australis	Мароц, Матро	1.0 http://www.		266 NO	– <u>Mit c</u>	Pseudopanax arboreus	Whauwhaupako, Frvelinger	1.0 litre	2%	++	+
L G Phormium tenax	Harakeke, flax	1.0 litre 5% bac	ick	89 No		Sophera niterophyria	Kownai Tix-ki	1.0 10	375		+
IL G Pittosporum tenu ifoliu	Kohuhu	1.0 litre 10%	٠	177 No		Alectivoli excelsos	Kabakaba	0118	20%	enrich	+
IL G Pseudopanax crassifo	Horoeka, Lancewood	1.0 litre 15% gr	groups 3-5 *	266 No	MT C	Zniahtia evcalea	Reliva selva	PDIG	75%	anrich	+
L G Sopheramicrophylla	Kowhai	1.0 litre 5%	•	89 No		Rodos asopus totas a	Tolara	P 010	159	onrich	
ASSED LOW EDGE PLANTING WITH SMA	CREEN TREE - ! M. TEO WIDTH FOR VEGETATION S	CREEN PLANTING OF NO:SE WALL			NTC	Promocrobic taxifalia	Matai	Phia	C4Z	aprich	
I M Janovarmia cimilur	0	LASTING LOW DON'	alisat	70 10	• MT C	Phonal or billy charges	Mikau	P618	159	mich	+
		0.5 ((10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		70 NO			Nikad	FUIA		1 enrice	+
L H Carex dipsacea	Treasel sedge	0.5 litre 15% cwb	/b edge	105 No	— [тс [с	Oleo 'Yerdale'	latina .	L INDER L	1	1	
L H Carex solandri	Forest sedge, Solander's sedge	0.5 litre 10% cwb	/h edge	70 No	TREE SPEC	IMEN GRADE - HOLD SUBJECT TO KODO CONFIRMAT		1.0 1110	<u> </u>		+
L H Coprosma propinqua	Mingimingi	1.D litre 10% bac	ick	70 No	_ TS [Knightja excelsa	Rewarewa	26 40		1 1	
L H Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.0 litre 10% bac	ick	70 No	TS	Metrosideros excelsa 'Maori Princess'	Pohutukawa	Ph 40		++	
H Cyperus ustulatus	Toetoe upokotangata. Giant	10% mid	id back	70 No	WETLAND	PLANTING - 5. OP NG BANK TO PERMANENT WATER	OCCASIONAL INUNDATION			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	un:brella sedge	0.5 htte			WP D	Carex secta	Pukia, Purei	0.5 litre	65%	waters edge	
H Ficinia necesa	Wiwi, Knobby club rush	0.5 litre 20% cwh	/h edge	140 No	WP D	Cyperus ustulatus	Toetoe upokotangata, Giant	0.000	15%	waters edge	
H Pseudopanax crassifol	Horoeka, Lancewood	1.0 litre 15% mid	id back	105 No	· [[umbrella sedge	0.5 litre	<u> </u>	~	
ASSED LOW FORE PLANTING WITH SNAA	CREEN TREE - NARROW WOTH FOR DENSE VECT	ATION SCREEN PLANTING ON POUL	UNDARY		WP 0	Dacrycarpus dacrycioides	Kalvikatea		2%		
		1000-		N	- WP D	taurelia novae zealandiae	Pukatea		3%		
Loprosma areciata	inin teaved Coprosma	1.0 mme 5%		133 NO	— WP 0	Phormium tenax	Harakeke, Nax	0.5 litre	10%		
L I Coprosima propinqua	Mingimingi	1.D litre 5%		133 No	WP D	Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.0 litre	5%	occ groups	
L Cordyline australis	Ti kouka	1.0 litre 10%		266 No	WETLAND	PLANTING - EMERGENT 0.0 - 0.3M WATER DEPTH					
L Corokia cotoneaster	Korokio taranga	1.0 litre 15%		400 No	WP F	Carex virgata	Swamp sedge	0.5 litre	25%		
L I Griselinia lucida	Puka, Broadleaf	1.D htre 5%		133 No	WP F	Cyperus ustulatus	Foetoe upokotangata, Grant	0.5.0	15%	1	
L Hobe stricts	Koromiko	10 litre 10%		225 No			umbrella sedge	0.5 Htre			
a contract so if fall		1.0 mile 10%		266 140	- WP F	Machaerina rubiginosa (syn Baumea)		0.5 litre	20%		
	Kawakawa	1.0 mre 10%		266 NO	- WP F	Machaerina teretifolia (syn Baumea)	Common twig rush, pakihi kecee	0.5 litre	25%	+ +	
Macropiper excelsion		1.0 litre 15%		400 No	WP F	Phormium tenax	Harakeke, Flax	0.5 litre	15%	++	
I Macropiper excelsion I Myrsine australis	Magou, Matipo			266 No	WETLAND	PLANTING - 0.3 TO 0 6M WATER DEPTH					
Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum Myrsine australis I Phormium tenax	Magou, Matipo Harakeke, Hax	1.D htre 10%		Las No.	wp lo	Bolboschoenus fluviablis	Kukuraho, Marsh club rush	0.5 litre	15%	1 1	
I Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum Myrsine australis Phormum tenax Pseucopanax crassifol	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Flax Horoeka, Lancewood	1.0 litre 10%		400100		Carex secta	Pukio, Purei	0.5 litre	40%	1 1	
Macropiper excelsion Macropiper excelsion Myrsine australis Phormum tenax Pseuropanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UNITED SECTION	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Hax Horoeka, Lancewood REES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15%		400 NO	1967 11-			1			
Macropiper excelsion Macropiper excelsion Myrsine australis Phermium tenax Permium tenax Pseutopanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIM Availab benefit	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Slax Horoeka, Lancewood IREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE	1.D litre 10% 1.0 litre 15%		400 NO	- WP G	Eleocharis acuta	Sharp spiked sedae	0.5 litre	25%		+
I Macropiper excelsum I Macropiper excelsum I Phormium tenax I Pseudopanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIM J Astelia banksii	Magou, Matipo Harakeke, Hax Horoeka, Lancewood IREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE	1.D litre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.D litre 100%		317 No	- WP G	Eleocharis acuta Juncus odgariae (son Jerezifelius)	Sharp spiked sedge	0.5 litre	25%		
I Macropiper excelsion I Macropiper excelsion I Myrsine australis I Piermum tenax I Pseudopanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIM J Astelia banksii K Callistemon 'Uitle John	Magou, Matipo Harakeke, Hax Horoeka, Lancewood IREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGS	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 htre 200% Pb5 100%		317 No 710 No	- WP G - WP G - MASSED A	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifelius) MEDIAN PLANTING	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi	0.5 litre 0.5 litre	25% 20%		++
I Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum I Myrsine australis I Phermut tenax I Pseutopanax crassifol SED LOW PLANTING - UN DER SPECIM J Astelia banksii K Caltistemon 'Uttle John L Coprosma 'Hawera'	Magou, Matipo Harakeke, Flax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE Coprosma cy	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 htre 100% 1.0 htre 100% 1.0 htre 100%		317 No 710 No 1243 No	- WP G - WP G - MASSED N - MM A	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia necesa	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre	25%	stone mulch	+
I Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum I Myrsine australis I Phormium tenax I Pseudopanax crassifol Assel to W PLANTING - UN DER SPECIM I Astelia banksii K Calfistemon 'Utile John L Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma 'Hawera'	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Slax Horoeka, Lancewood IREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGS Coprosma cy ghis' coprosma cy	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 htre 100% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 100%		317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No	WP G WP G MASSED M MM A MASSED S	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) AEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia noocsa WALE PLANTING	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsum I Macropiper excelsum I Promium tenax I Pseutopanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UN DER SPECIM J Astelia banksii K Callistemon 'Utile John L Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma 'Hawera' N Lomandra Jenzfolia' 'Poo	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Hax Horoeka, Lancewood IREES AT KAPITI INFRCHANGS coprosma cv ghis* coprosma cv a'	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 litre 100% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 300%		317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G - WP G - MASSED M - MM A - MASSED S	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia nocosa WALE PLANTING Apocasmia similis	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre 0.5 litre	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum I Macropiper excelsum I Piermium tenax I Pseudopanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIM J Astelia banksii K Calfistemon 'Uttle John L Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma repens 'Poo N Lomandra Longrfolia 'f	Magou, Matipo Harakeke, Hax Horoeka, Lancewood IREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ghis* coprosma cv a'	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 litre 100% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 100% 1.0 litre 100%		317 No 317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G WP G - MASSED A - MASSED A . MASSED S . MS A GRASS	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia neocsa WALE PLANTING Apocasmia similus	Sharp sprked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre 0.5 litre	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsum I Marropiper excelsum I Phormum tenas I Phormum tenas I Pseudopanax crassifol Assebility I J Astelia banksii K Calfistemon 'Uttle John L Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma regens 'Poo N Lomandra longifolia 'f	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Flax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ghist coprosma cv a'	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 htre 200% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200%		400 HO 317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No		Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia neocsa WALE PLANTING Apocasmia similis	Sharp sprked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror Sown, close mow to 100mm	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre 0.5 litre	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsum I Macropiper excelsum I Phormium tenax I Pseuropanax crassifol Asset LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIM I Astelia banksii K Callistemon 'Uttle John L Coprosma 'Hawera' N Lomendra longifolia 'f	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Slax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ghis* coprosma cv a' PLANTING SCHEDULE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15%		317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G WP G - WP G - MASSED N - MM A - MASSED S - GRASS GL A	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia noocsa WALE PLANTING Apecasmia similis Grass low grow mix	Sharp sprked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror Sown, close mow to 100mm	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre 0.5 litre sow	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsum Macropiper excelsum I Macropiper excelsum I Phormium tenax I Pseudopanax crassifol SED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIM J Astelia banksii K Callistemon 'Utile John L Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma repens 'Poo N Lomandra longifolia 'f	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Slax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ights' coprosma cv a' PLANTING SCHEDULE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 litre 200% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200%		317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G WP G - WP G - MASSED N - MASSED S - MS A GRASS GL A GR A	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) AEDIAN PLANTING Floinia neocosa WALE PLANTING Apecasmia similis Grass low grow mix Grass low grow mix	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror Sown, close mow to 100mm sown, allowed to grow rank,	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre 0.5 litre sow sow	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsion I Macropiper excelsion I Myrsine australis I Phermium tenax I Pseucopanax crassifol SSED LOW PLANTING - UN DER SPECIN J Astelia banksii K Calfistemon 'Uttle John U Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma repens 'Poo N Lomandra longifolia 'f	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Flax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ights* coprosma cv a' PLANTING SCHEDULE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 htre 100% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 100% 1.0 litre 100%		317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No		Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia neocsa WALE PLANTING Apocasmia similus Grass low grow mix Grass low grow mix	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror Sown, close mow to 100mm sown, allowed to grow rank,	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 1.0 litre 0.5 litre sew sow	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsion Macropiper excelsion I Macropiper excelsion I Phormium tenax I Pseutopanax crassifol SED LOW PLANTING - UN DER SPECIN J Astelia banksii K Caltistemon 'Uttle John L Coprosma 'Hawera' M Coprosma repens 'Poo N Lomandra longifolia 'f	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Flax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ights* coprosma cv a' PLANTING SCHEDULE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 litre 100% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200%	09.06.14 Approved F	317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G WP G - MASSED M - MM A - MASSED S - MS A GRASS GL A GR A	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia nocosa WALE PLANTING Apocasmia similis Grass low grow mix Grass low grow mix	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dior sown, close mow to 100mm sown, allowed to grow rank,	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre sow sow	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
I Macropiper excelsion IL I Macropiper excelsion IL I Phormium tenax IL I Pseudopanax crassiful IASSED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIN IL J Astelia banksii IL K Calfistemon 'Utile John' IL K Coprosma 'Hawera' IL M Coprosma repens'Peo IL N	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Flax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE Coprosma cv Ights* coprosma cv a' PLANTING SCHEDULE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15%	09.06.14 09.06.14	317 No 710 No 1243 No 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G WP G - MASSED N - MMA A - MMS A - GRASS GL A - GR A	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia nocesa WALE PLANTING Apecasmia similis Grass low grow mix Grass rank - (low grow mix)	Sharp sprked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror Sown, close mow to 100mm sown, allowed to grow rank, Project SH1	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre sow sow	25% 20% 100%	stone mulch	
IL I Macropiper excelsion IL I Myrsine australis IL I Phermum tenax IL I Pseudopanax crassifol IASSED LOW PLANTING - UNDER SPECIN IL J Astelia banksii IL K Catfistemon 'Utile John L Coprosma 'Hawera' IL N Coprosma repens 'Poo IL N Lomandra longifolia 'f	Mapou, Matipo Harakeke, Slax Horoeka, Lancewood TREES AT KAPITI INTERCHANGE coprosma cv ights* coprosma cv a* PLANTING SCHEDULE	1.0 htre 10% 1.0 litre 15% 1.0 litre 200% Pb5 100% 1.0 litre 200% 1.0 litre 200%	09.06.14 09.06.14 09.06.14 .08.14	400 HO 317 No 710 No 1243 Mo 2642 No 5378 No	- WP G WP G MASSED N - MASSED N - MASSED S - MS A GRASS GL A GRASS	Eleocharis acuta Juncus edgariae (syn J gregifolius) MEDIAN PLANTING Ficinia neocsa WALE PLANTING Apecasmia similus Grass low grow mix Grass rank - (low grow mix) PORT MacKays to Pe	Sharp spiked sedge Wiwi Wiwi, Knobby club rush Dror sown, close mow to 100mm sown, allowed to grow rank, Project SH1	0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre 0.5 litre sow Sow MACKAYS EXPR	25% 20% 100% 100% S TO PE ESSWA	stone nulch	

Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, 43 Ihakara Street, PO Box 723, Paraparaumu 5254.

Drawing Plotted: 01 Aug 2014 03:38 p.m.

(370) 380 ORIGINAL DRAWING IN COLOUR DETAILED DESIGN NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

APITI ROAD INTERCHANGE PLANTING SCHEDULE

M2PP-38R-D-DWG-8211

А

Document created for NZTA by M2PP Alliance, 43 Ihakara Street, PO Box, 723, Paraparaumu 5254

CTION

Appendix 2: CONSULTATION, FEEDBACK AND RESPONSES Site Specific Management Plan 003 -[SectorS 360-370-380] MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway

01 SEPTEMBER 2014 - CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C

MacKays to Peka Peka Wellington Northern Corridor

The following tables set out the responses to comments raised by reviewers and those parties consulted in regard to the preliminary SSMP. The project responses are either reflected in the certification issue to which this Appendix pertains, or have been directed to other processes for action, or have been considered but for the reasons noted not agreed to. The parties consulted are those identified by the consent conditions and for Wharemauku Basin are:

- Te Āti Awa ki Whakarongotai;
- KCDC; -
- Kāpiti Cycling Incorporated; -
- Implementation Group of the Kāpiti Coast District Council Advisory on Cycleways, Walkways and Bridleways
- Friends of Wharemauku Stream ; and
- Landscape focus groups DC 57A a)
 - ii) Eastern side of the designation between Kāpiti Road and Mazengarb Road including Greenwood Place, Elder Grove, Cypress Grove, Spackman Crescent, Makarini Street, Palmer Court, St James Court and Chilton Drive; (See SSMP 4) v) Milne Drive through to Quadrant Heights;

COMMENTS ON SSMP3: WHAREMAUKU BASIN

KCDC REVIEWERS COMMENTS [JW=Julia Williams- Landscape Architect; DP = Deyana Popova-Urban Designer; Stu Kilmister-CWB Planner; provided as document and also meeting notes

Condition Reference	Condition Detail	Reviewer/ commenter	KCDC Reviewer's comment	reference in SSMP	Management Plan Author's response
	CWB	SK	Indicate tactile pavers, painted cycle lanes and traffic island on plans.		Included on Sheet 10
	AEE Visual and Landscape plans	DP/JW	Changes to planting around Kapiti Road intersection including numbers and location of large grade trees and layout of Wetland 4.		No response required
			Agree with proposed design changes and support the reasons/rationale. Planting covered in 'M2PP Planting Philosophy at Interchanges DRAFT 16 June 2014'.		
	AEE Structural - Bridges	DP	Agree with proposed design changes and support the reasons/rationale stated in the SSMP document (with a special reference to Kapiti Road Crossing -Bridge Development Study M2PP-38R-D-REPG-010/Rev A and (Bridge Summary Wharemauku, M2PP-12-D-MPL).		No response required
			The form of the Kapiti Road bridge and Wharemauku Stream bridge are different from the approved AEE scheme, in terms of column form and dimensions and also in terms of column number in the case of Wharemauku Stream Bridge. Further design detail provided for abutments' treatment. The new design is consistent with the revised approach to bridge design		

-				
			throughout the project and responds well to the key ULDF	
			objectives and relevant consent conditions.	
ULDF	The changes to the bridges' form/design are recorded and assessed against the relevant ULDF	DP/JW	The assessments of the changed bridges' form/design against the relevant principles as set out in Bridge Appendices illustrate	
	principles in the Summary at the end of: - Appendix: Kapiti Road Bridge -		Kapiti Road Bridge - fine tune the detailed treatment of the abutments	See details on SHEET 13
	the Summary of the Kapiti Road Crossing -Bridge Development Study M2PP-38R-D-REPG- 010/Rev A. - Appendix: Bridge Summary		 there is a possibility to treat the base of the abutments with smooth/un-textured finish to differentiate it from the sloping abutment form and break down horizontally the abutment wall surface 	A plain surface at the toe of the abutment will the change in angle of the surfaces (abutment provides visual relief to the abutment wall.
	See notes below against individual principles		 consider narrowing down the dividing strips between the textured abutment panels (to 300mm) and treat them as negative detail in a smooth surface (rather than with patterns as suggested) 	Agree dividing strips already 300mm wide. No
			 consider extending the lines of the dividing strips on the abutment wall to the footpath surface and mark them on the footpath through texture/paving. This will tie up the rhythm of the abutment treatment to the footpath and enhance the urban nature of this junction. 	Agree, surface contrast along these lines will b bridge deck, through use of contrast in colour expose aggregate. See detail Sheet 13
			 The assessments of the changed bridges' form/design against the relevant principles as set out in Bridge Appendices illustrate a general alignment with those principles. 	
			CWB entry details to be resolved and finalised.	 The CWB entrances relevant to this SSMP will shown on Sheet 20
	LMP principles, methodologies and procedures (where appropriate)	DP/JW	These have been well thought through and established under previous SSMPs	No response required
	LMP Attachment 1 Landscape mitigation by character area	DP/JW	Appropriate	 No response required
	Urban Design Conditions			
	Condition DC.59A e) requires SSUDPs to be prepared for locations where the Expressway interacts with local vehicular and non-vehicular pedestrian/cyclist movement. For SSMP4, the locations include: Ihakara extension /Wharemauku	DP	Condition appropriately addressed (subject to clarifying the issues re: gateway significance) Kapiti Gateway specifically noted on DC59A e): need to ensure that changes to large scale planting including using few large trees in the most prominent locations close to the bridge have not lessened the 'distinctive gateway' that was presented to	The Kapiti gateway includes all of the interchan and the local road locality, as this node will be viewing it in all directions. The distinctiveness through the planting design as well as the deta the planting design and bridge abutment finish interchange and provide a definite contrast to

attract tagging. We believe that and vertical toe wall) already
Change proposed.
e added to the footpath under the and texture of plain concrete and
II ha Tuna 1 antranco docigo
ill De Type I entrance design,
age, encompassing the Expressway experienced by users travelling and of the 'gateway' is provided iling of the underbridge space. Both es are unique to the Kapiti the rest of the Expressway.

	Kapiti Road		the BOI and embedded in conditions. Question what is distinctive about this specific gateway in its final form? Consider the use of marker elements and/or enhancing the footpath under the bridge through texture/paving (see also notes under AEE Principles set out in ULDF above)	The specimen tree with low under planting con- interchange design throughout the AEE process design. The formalised placement of the trees of users cross the Kapiti bridge or use the on/off r majority of the Expressway planting, comprisin will have a more homogenous visual appearance It will be very apparent to Expressway users the place. In addition, a view to Kapiti Island (espec- through the gap in the tree planting will add to either side of the on/off ramps will create an 'a approached/departed. For people passing under the Expressway on Ka finishes present an urban response, with light of abutment panels. The abutment toe walls cont with the planting on the embankments. We agree that continuing a surface contrast fro footpath under the bridge would add to the dis Consequently this has been added to the desig In relation to the suggestion to add 'marker elef for these to be incorporated as additional elem some stage. However, the design elements alre- interchange a distinctive gateway within the Ex- additional structures.
DC.59A f)	DC.59A f) lists the matters to be provided and in summary includes detailed design of for the benefit of pedestrians, cyclists and others:	DP	Stuart Kilmister to Comment?	SK comments included above.
	- Lighting;		Condition appropriately addressed subject to providing detail to low level lighting.	The CWB intersections with local road will be li lighting. Low level lighting such as a bollard has potential for vandalism.
	 Footpath and on-road cycle lane design (1.5m on road and 2.0m footpaths); Safe crossing points for CWB; 		Condition appropriately addressed in principle (subject to firming up detail) Fine tune/firm up detail re: intersection with local road treatments for the proposed different type treatments to ensure continuity (refer to e-mailed notes from 14 July summarised on pages 8/9 of this document)	The CWB entrances relevant to this SSMP will a shown on SHEET 20. Finalisation of CWB entrar SSMP are still being finalised.
	- Visual treatment of structures and landscape (retaining walls, noise mitigation structures and landforms);		Condition appropriately addressed in principle (subject to firming up detail and addressing outstanding issues re: Wharemauku bridge) Firm up detail re: proposed density of patterns on (noise wall) wall panels. Also it is important to ensure that the indicated	The Patterning on the noise walls will be gener using 3 different scale of the same pattern.

ncept has been part of the Kapiti is and is reflected in the detailed will set up a distinctive rhythm as ramps. This is in contrast to the ng mixed groupings of species that ce beneficial biodiversity outcomes. at they are entering a 'different' cially for southbound traffic) the experience. The tree planting avenue gateway' as Kapiti Road is	
apiti Road, the underbridge surface coloured and distinctively textured tinue beyond the bridge integrating	
om the abutment toe across the stinctiveness of this area. m (Sheet 13).	
ements' – there is sufficient space nents if the community wishes to at eady incorporated make the xpressway corridor, without	
it with a light pole as for CWB s now been discounted due to	
all be Type 1 entrance design, nces for other locations beyond this	

rally as shown on SHEETS 17 & 18,

			75mm concrete capping over fill material (between TL4 Expressway barrier and noise wall) on Sheet 14 is implemented.	Capping detail is included in the detailed desig implemented.
			There is no detail re: ground treatment under Wharemauku bridge on southern side (10m from top of stream bank to abutment including 3m CWB) and northern side (17m strip for future road corridor). This needs to be addressed.	ground under the Wharemauku bridge (as for continue through the stream bed Provision wil and informal footpath (north bank) across the
Local p	property access;		Condition appropriately addressed	No response required
- Lands SSMLP	lscape treatment (LMP and Ps);		Condition appropriately addressed	No response required
- Bridg (locatio materi	ge piers and abutment design ion of piers, scale and rials);		Condition appropriately addressed (subject to firming up some detailed design elements) Ensure consistency between plan drawings and text. (see also comment under 'AEE Principles set out in ULDE' above)	completed
- Signa	age;		Condition appropriately addressed	No response required
DC.59A g) DC.59A SSUDP and ind - Final - Provis path - Conn - Board - Lighti crossin Roads	A g) requires preparation of a P for the (CWB) path network aclude: I alignment and form of CWB. rision for a 3.0m wide two-way nections rdwalks; ting, safety provisions for ng of local	DP	Conditions appropriately addressed	No response required
- CPTEI	ED review.		CPTED review undertaken	
DC.59A g) In addi followi 59A i) vi) Mal bridge 1. Loca 2. loca vii) Ma retaini Netwo Condit CWB; Condit	dition, SSMP4 shall consider the ving in relation to Condition akarini St area pedestrian e ation and design ation of connections. azengarb Rd1. design of ing walls ork Integration Plan tion DC.64 a) in relation to the tion DC.64 b) ii) in relation to	DP	Makarini St area pedestrian bridge not included in this SSMP and will be developed at a later stage Condition appropriately addressed in principle subject to firming up detail	These Conditions refer to SSMP 4
Condit CWB; Condit lighting	tion DC.64 a) in relation to the tion DC.64 b) ii) in relation to ng.			

n drawings and will be
nension) will be installed on the
Waikanae bridge). The riprap will
l be made for the CWB (south bank)
riprap (see Sheet 7).

DC57(f)	Landscape Conditions Condition	Vegetation to be retained plans progressing through the	No response required
	provided and in summary includes:	certification system.	
	- Vegetation to be retained:	-Liaise with Council Parks directly re type of specimen trees to	Meeting held with Lex Bartlett, KCDC Leisure a
	- Vegetation protection measures;	some to a mutually agreed solution to tree specifier tiers to	August to discuss planting and species selection
	- Proposed Planting (including the	come to a mutually agreed solution re tree species.	species have been amended and a combination
	stages)	-Details of tree planting yet to be provided including tree pits.	(Metrosideros 'Mistral') with shrub underplanti
	- Fernbird habitat created;	screens and irrigation systems	
	- Maintenance standards;	secces and impation systems.	Tree pit and screen details provided on Sheet 4
	- Detailed specifications;	Planting plans M2PP-38R-D-DWG-8201 & 8202 have large	DWG-8900. No irrigation to be installed but in
	- A maintenance regime;	unmarked (blank) areas at the edge of the designation, mainly	long x 65mm diameter perforated plastic pipe s
	- Landscape treatment of any noise	on the eastern side. If existing ground cover has been cleared.	with the top projecting 50mm above the finishe
	barriers;	need some indication of what final treatment will be. IW to	Any disturbed ground outside the planting feet
	pedestrian and cycle facilities.	contact KCDC re: future use and requirements.	grassed. Depending on the final location of the
		Noise fonce datail (NP7) Would prefer to see capping on fance	areas may or may not remain as part of the Exp
		is a secility for a sector (more residential finish	The paice forces will not have capping
		It possible for a heater/more residential finish	The hoise fences will not have capping.
		Suggest plans include additional cross-section around CH5900	Cross section CS8 through 51 Milne drive shows
		(Milne Drive) to show impact of 3m high noise wall plus 2m	
		fence on adjacent residents	
DC 57 e), DC	Consultation	No consultation feedback to date	Record of consultation is detailed in the relevar
57A, and DC 59Ai)	DC 57 e) DC 57A and DC 59Ai)		
556])	requires consultation with the		
	following partice:		
	Tonowing parties.		
	- Te Āti Awa ki Whakarongotai;		
	- Kapiti Coast District Council (KCDC).		
	- Friends of Wharemauku Stream		
	- Kāpiti Cycling Incorporated and the		
	Implementation Group of the Kāpiti		
	Coast District Council Advisory on		
	Cycleways Walkways and		
	Bridleways in respect of the CWB		
	and any cycle or nedestrian		
	connections		
	1. Three landscape focus areas		
	Eastern side of designation		
	Kapiti to Mazengarb Road		
	2. Western side Kapiti to		
	Mazengarb Road incl		
	(Cheltenham Drive and Lincoln		
	Court)		
	Courty		

nd Open Space Manager on 11th n. As a result planting plans and n of rewa rewa and pohutukawa ing will be used.

and standard detail M2PP-23R-Deach specimen tree pit a 1.0m set vertically beside the rootball ed mulch level will be installed.

tprint will be made good and designation boundaries these pressway corridor.

s this

nt tables below.

	3. Milne Drive to Quadrant		
	Heights		
	CPTED Review	CPTED Review undertaken Concern for Cypress Grove properties that back onto the designation re use of 'concealed' space behind back fence. Will this provide a space for unwanted activity or for residents to remove sections of fence to re-establish use of the land?	Low planting is proposed on the Expressway si Grove properties. Low planting adjacent to sol avoid creating hiding places that encourage ar
ULDF 5.11	Planting Design PrinciplesDevelop the planting structure at the Kāpiti and Te Moana interchanges to specifically enhance the visual amenity of the public open space as well as to provide shade and shelter.	Ensure massed planting between Kapiti Road and Wetland 4 allows some viewshafts through/over wetland ie don't screen all views from the road but allow for viewshafts to the wider open space.	Views to the wetland from the Expressway and the specimen trees, with better views availabl Expressway.
	Locate vegetation strategically to provide visual screening to the Expressway and associated structures, noise walls, and bunds.	Ensure that planting that backs onto taller noise barriers alongside the expressway is of sufficient scale to provide a backdrop to noise walls in views from the road, as well as screening views from adjoining residential properties	Taller tree species have been added to the pla taller noise walls. In places this may not be po- immediately adjacent to noise walls, or where infrastructure does not allow such planting.
ULDF 5.12	CWB Design Principles Consider lighting through the urban areas to provide for evening use of the path.	Further work required to address issues of lighting on CWB affecting adjoining residential properties. Cross sections CS1 shows one potential problem area. Need to check cross-section through Milne Drive re elevation of CWB wrt adjoining properties to see if there may be issues with light spillage.	Comment re cross section CS1 refers to SSMP4 The luminaire on the CWB light poles will be spillage with light directed down toward the C residential side of the path and directed towar ensure the downward light is directed away fr Cross section CS8 shows the relationship betw lighting and the adjacent house. These particu
LMP 8.41	Screen views of Expressway and specific elements such noise walls and fences;	Ensure that planting that backs onto taller noise barriers alongside the expressway screens views from adjoining residential properties.	Tow vegetation next to the noise fence. In this Expressway lights will be unavoidable due to t light the road for safety reasons. Taller tree species have been added to the pla taller noise walls. In places this may not be point immediately adjacent to noise walls, or where not allow such planting.

COMMENTS ON SSMP3: WHAREMAUKU BASIN							
KAPITI CYCLI	KAPITI CYCLING INC. (LS) Lynn Sleath						
IMPLEMENT	ATION GROUP OF THE	KAPITI COAST	DISTRICT COUNCIL, advisory on Cycleways, walkways and Bridleway	/s [JN] Jan Nis	bet		
Condition	Condition Detail	Reviewer/	Comment	reference	Management Plan Author's response		
Reference		commenter		in SSMP			
DC59A.g, & DC59A.i) v) 2.	CWB	LS	We note that the drawings suggest that the CWB crossing of Kapiti Road will be controlled by traffic signals incorporating the motor vehicle movements from the northbound off ramp. We	Sheet 10	Independently operated cycle lights and pedestrian lights will provacross Kapiti Road for either cyclists or pedestrians. The same con for the shared path on the south side of Kapiti Road where it cross northbound off ramp.		

rd the CWB and expressway, to rom neighbouring houses.

veen the CWB lighting, Expressway lar residents have requested fairly location, some light spill from the heir height and primary purpose to

nting mix for planting adjacent to ssible where stormwater swales are underground infrastructure does

vide a controlled CWB crossing ntrolled crossings will be provided ses the south bound on ramp and

DC59Ai(xi)			suggest that some thought is required here to providing cyclists with some priority rather than merely incorporating the cycle phase with the motor vehicle off ramp movements, as this will encourage cyclists to await a phase change rather than chancing things and merely proceeding against a red phase.		
	CWB	LS	The choice of alignment for the CWB east of Wharemauku Stream is appreciated, because it provides interest and variation by using the noise bund.	Sheet 2	Noted. However, neighbouring residents to the noise bund reque the slope slightly in order to retain their privacy (ie they prefer tha property). The CWB has been moved off the top of the bund but s alignment along its length.
	CWB	N	 Agrees with comments made by LS and Stuart Kilmister (KCDC). Also: Need to ensure coloured surfaces at CWB entrances are nonslip Confirm that there is space for horses (unclear on plans). Reiterate preference for a pair of steel crash barriers arranged to provide a physical message to cyclists, together with raised surfacing and words to warn of the proximity of traffic. 		Coloured surfaces would be standard textured surface used for on 1.0m wide grass verge provided for horses beside 3.0m path see S NZTA and M2PP traffic safety auditors strongly oppose the use of that can cause harm to cyclists

COMMENTS ON SSMP3: WHAREMAUKU BASIN

- LANDSCAPE FOCUS GROUPS DC 57A A)

ii) Eastern side of the designation between Kāpiti Road and Mazengarb Road including Greenwood Place, Elder Grove, Cypress Grove, Spackman Crescent, Makarini Street, Palmer Court, St James Court and Chilton Drive; v) Milne Drive through to Quadrant Heights;

Condition Reference	Condition Detail	Reviewer/ commenter	Comment	reference in SSMP	Management Plan Author's response
		Tom Reid (29-31 Quadrant Heights),	What will the view be from my section? Street lights? Will sunlight be blocked?		The primary view will be from the back of your section to the east. you will see the tops of the mixed native vegetation, which will eve years). This is extremely unlikely to shade morning sun from your p
		John and Cushla Anderson (39 Quadrant Heights),	What will the view be from my section? Street lights? Will sunlight be blocked?		39 Quadrant: The conifer trees in the expressway designation will b request). This will open up the construction site to the residents. Th top of the bund, and located further to the east
		Tom Reid (29-31 Quadrant Heights)	Request that CWB realigned off the top of the bund to protect their privacy.		CWB has been realigned off the top of the bund to the east.
			How does resident maintain their own fence with noise wall abutted against it?		Fences will only be able to be maintained or constructed from resid
			Planting between CWB and boundary to be 4m min. height and not block the sun.		The CWB has been realigned off the bund for privacy reasons so we planting will range from 3-5 m high.
		John and Cushla Anderson (39 Quadrant Heights)	Want to see the conifers on boundary removed and replaced by olive trees		The conifers on the boundary will be removed at owners' request. planting plan (at owners' request).
		Craig Anderson (17 Datum Way)	Request for dense (5-10m) planting between boundary and CWB		The proposed planting will be dense mixed native shrubs and trees will obscure views to the CWB and limit access to the boundary.

APPENDIX 2: Consultation and Reviewer Comment Responses MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway- Site Specific Management Plan 3: Wharemauku basin Certified Rev C 1 September 2014 M2PP-121-D-MPL-0003

ested that the CWB be located down at CWB users do not overlook their still retains varying horizontal

n-road cycle lanes.

SHEET 20

bollards or barriers on cycleways

Beyond the 2.0m high noise fence entually reach 3-4m height 8-10 property.

be removed (at the owners' he CWB has been moved off the

dential property side.

ould not be visible. The proposed

Olive trees are included in the

, ranging from 3-5 m high, which

	Craig Anderson (17 Datum Way)	Request to keep macrocarpa trees	Agree, this has been noted on 'Vegetation to be retained' plans. Three of the four macrocarpa trees can be retained, subject to final survey and CWB alignment.
	Craig Anderson (17 Datum Way)	Request to bring planting programme forward as much as possible	Wherever possible finished areas will be planted as early as possible. This however is dependent on supply of eco-sourced plant stock, and the construction finish date in relation to the winter planting season (June – August)
	Peter and Mary-Anne Smith (51 Milne Drive)	Construct the grassed link between Milne Drive and CWB at a track access, not against our property	The link to Milne Drive will not be formalised at this stage, pending final property agreements. If a CWB link is formalised it will be toward the north end of this stretch of Milne Drive, not adjacent to 51 Milne Drive property.
	Peter and Mary-Anne Smith (51 Milne Drive)	Request for low (less than 2.0m tall) planting between boundary and CWB	Noted- Detailed planting plans will include this
	Shona Watson (17 Greenwood) Adam Mirartana (18 Greenwood Plc)	Requires cross-section through their property	Cross sections prepared and issued.
	Shona Watson (17 Greenwood)	Requests higher planting between boundary fence and footpath	Tall planting adjacent to tall fences in publicly accessible locations can encourage anti-social behaviour and is avoided where ever possible. This has been highlighted in the 'Crime prevention through environmental design' assessment (CPTED). Given the minimum width available here it is proposed to provide low dense planting between the path and the boundary.
			A 2.0m high timber fence will be provided for security purposes, given there will be a public footpath immediately adjacent to the property. The fence would have vertical palings with the no climb side facing the footpath.
	Adam Mirartana (18 Greenwood Plc)	How will the noise fence look on my boundary?	A 2.0m high timber boundary fence (built to noise wall standard) will be constructed to secure the residential property from the public footpath.
	Adam Mirartana (18 Greenwood Plc)	How long will planting take to establish?	The maintenance period for the planting is for 3 years after construction by then the planting will be well established. The specimen trees will take many (approximately 20) years to reach their mature height
	Adam Mirartana (18 Greenwood Plc)	What will the walkway look like and will there be a fence on my boundary?	A 2m high timber fence will be provided for security purposes, given there will be a public footpath immediately adjacent to the property. The fence would have vertical paling with the no climb side facing the footpath.
	Anita and Jon Haylock (24 Cypress Grove)	Will there be boundary fencing along Makarini Street?	The Alliance is not intending to construct fences along the Expressway/residential property boundaries.
	Anita and Jon Haylock (24 Cypress Grove)	How close will planting be to my property / will there be a fire break?	A 3.0m wide grass maintenance strip will be located on the Expressway side of the boundary at this location.
	Sam Barns (12 Greenwood)	Request for plans showing further detail between Kapiti Road to Mazengarb	Plans sent to Mr Barns
	Mike Cartmer 24 Observation Place	Planting should be done in a manner to minimise noise in high wind Planting should minimise pollen release\ Planting should be done in a manner to dissuade people from approaching the sound fence	 The timber noise fence will provide noise mitigation. While vegetation may assist with this it is not recognised as an effective method of mitigating noise. The plants are a mix of native species already present throughout Kapiti. The variety of species means that they will flower at different times of the year and will not create a mass of pollen at any one time. The dense mass of vegetation between the CWB and the noise fence, once established, will deter people from entering the area.
LANDSCAPE F Summary	FOCUS GROUPS DC 57A b) / feedback from immediately adjoining residential	property owners, following 10 day feedback period on Draf	ft SSMP issued 21 July 2014
	Brian Daw 47 Quadrant Heights	Suggested red flax be planted in designation beside timber noise fence to deter walkers from climbing	2.0m high timber fence will have palings facing the footpath (rather than rails) to discourage climbing from the public side. There is no planting planned adjacent to the noise fence at this location, the existing olive trees within the designation will be retained.

	fence, colour will complement the light green olive trees.	
Adam and Amanda Miratana , 18 Greenwood Place	Questions that noise mitigation proposed (1.1m barriers) is adequate compared to 2m and 3m noise walls and fence proposed south of Kapiti Road, near Milne Drive.	The noise mitigation plan was approved as part of the BOI process; A communications team have provided additional information
	2.0m high fence would not provide our property with privacy, and wouldn't provide any noise mitigation. Increase the height of the fence; possibly have a 2m fence on a retaining wall (500mm).	The 2.0m high timber fence is being provided for security purposes to footpath that passes this property. At 2.0m high it will provide private Vegetation once established on the Expressway and expressway ram some privacy from road users.
	It was advised to us by you that we could plant on our side to mitigate the privacy and visual aspect of the expressway at our expense. It is not financially viable for us to do this.	Planting was suggested as a possibility if visual screening was desired Alliance is not undertaking planting on private property.
	Request confirmation as to what type of fence will be built	The fence will be built to noise fence standard.
Stewart Watson, 17 Greenwood Place	Questions that noise mitigation proposed (1.1m barriers) is adequate compared to 2m and 3m noise walls and fence proposed south of Kapiti Road, near Milne Drive.	The noise mitigation plan was approved as part of the BOI process, A communications team have provided additional information.
	Request that timber fence on boundary be increased in size from 2.0 metres to 2.5 metres to provide greater security against potential trespassers.	2.0m high timber fence will have palings facing the footpath (rather from the public side. 2.0m is the standard fence height being provide

COMMENTS ON PRELIMINARY ISSUE SSMP3: WHAREMAUKU BASIN

TE ATIAWA KI WHAKARONGATAI

Condition Reference	Condition Detail	Reviewer/ commenter	Comment	reference in SSMP	Management Plan Author's response
57 e) i	SSMP to be prepared in consultation with Te Atiawa ki Whakarongatai				SSMP Issued for comment 10/7/14, no formal comments received email reminders requesting feedback on 6/8 and 14/8/14. In addition, the Alliance design team are working with Te Atiawa k of some elements along the CWB corridor. This work considers the stage, currently underway, will identify the particular locations of s locations occur within this SSMP area, landscape elements or featu incorporated into the CWB corridor, in consultation with Te Atiawa

s; Alliance Stakeholder
es because of the new public ivacy from footpath users. ramp embankments will provide
ired higher than the 2.0m fence. The
s, Alliance Stakeholder
er than rails) to discourage climbing vided by the Alliance.
ed as at 27/8/14, despite follow up
i ki Whakarongatai to develop design the whole Expressway route. The first of significance to Te Atiawa. If these atures will be designed and

va.

Condition Reference	Condition Detail	Reviewer/	Comment	reference in SSMP	Management Plan Autho
Rejerence		commenter			
		GC	The Friends are pleased to have been consulted and are positive about		Noted, no response requ
			what is being proposed and that it is consistent with their expectations.		
			The Friends focus is primarily on water quality and planting along the		
			stream to assist in improving water quality.		
			In their experience the Friends have found that planting needs only one		
			season of intense maintenance, after that it becomes fairly self-		
			sustaining and needing only occasional maintenance.		
			Friends are keen to see SSMP2 when it's prepared because of the		
			proximity and relationship to Wharemauku Stream, especially the		
			details of the flood storage area and the proposed planting in this area.		
			One of the aims of the Friends is to see taller trees planted along		
			Wharemauku Stream to increase shading and the subsequent benefits		
			that will accrue to habitat and water quality. Gordon was pleased to		
			see the enrichment planting proposed on the northern side of		
			Wharemauku Stream.		

r's response

ired.

Appendix 3: BRIDGE SUMMARY- WHAREMAUKU Site Specific Management Plan 003 - [SectorS 360-370-380] MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway

01 SEPTEMBER 2014 - CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C

M2PP Bridge Design Objectives



Design Objectives

With reference to the Urban and Landscape Design Framework (Technical Report 5) (ULDF) there are four design objectives for the bridges and their respective contexts. These four objectives are overarching aims for the project and have been extracted from the Design Concept statements in two sections of the ULDF: Local Road Interface Design (section 5.7) and Bridge Design (section 5.8).

The purpose of extracting these objectives is to enable any changes to bridge structures and their context made through the concept and detailed design process to be considered at the highest level of the design intent. There are design principles in each of the sections as noted above and these too form a basis for considering the development of the designs for the bridges and their context.

As is typical in a design evaluation process, any aspects of design that do not align with the design principles would be elevated to consideration against the design objectives.

Design Objectives:

- 1. The public spaces of the roads and streets take primacy over the experience of the Expressway users. Local people will be making slower movements and as a consequence the bridges will be more visually apparent to them than to people travelling along the Expressway.
- 2. As a new element in the landscape, the bridges respect the surrounding landscape and are expressed in terms of their horizontality, fluidity and simplicity because the landscape is relatively low key and low in scale; having several 'feature' bridges would become both visually complex and overwhelming in scale.
- 3. Bridges are formed as a whole from a single kit of parts, which allows the components to be repeated and a similar approach used at the multiple crossings to register as a 'family' of bridges because people will have multiple interactions day to day with the Expressway and this approach promotes simplicity and visual continuity
- 4. Utilise concrete prefabricated parts because this allows fine levels of quality control, cost benefits and significant improvements in construction time at the crossings and reduces disturbance to the area.



AEE Consented to DET Proposed Graphic Comparison







2. PROPOSED ELEVATION - WHAREMAUKU STREAM EAST ELEVATION (LOOKING WEST) - 1:250@A3





Increased structural core based on geotech investigations carried out post AEE, while still providing the sculptural outer.



- 2. More detail provided for abutment treatment
- 3. Cross head form changed
- 4. Column profile developed

- skew. Total column width when combined is reduced
- Lack of resolution in AEE Abutment design developed 2. 3. Simply supported structure requires platform to seat beams
- 4. Increased structural core based on geotech investigations
- 5.

carried out post AEE, while still providing the sculptural outer. Constructability issues because of seismic requirements. Integral connections difficult to build without increasing structural element sizes further.



AEE VISUALISATION - WHAREMAUKU STREAM BRIDGE (SOUTH EAST SIDE OF THE WHAREMAUKU STREAM LOOKING WEST)



PROPOSED VISUALISATION - WHAREMAUKU STREAM BRIDGE (SOUTH EAST SIDE OF THE WHAREMAUKU STREAM LOOKING WEST)

NOTE: TO BETTER REPRESENT THE BRIDGE, THE PROPOSED VISUALISATION HAS BEEN DRAWN FROM A VANTAGE POINT THAT IS CLOSER TO THE BRIDGE THAN THE ORIGINAL AEE RENDER

Bridge Development Matrix



ULDF Principles Why? Please refer to ULDF To provide increased 1. structural core to the principles summary column based on on sheet; 7 of this geotech investigations document. With particular carried out post AEE, reference to principle while still providing the number; 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 11 sculptural outer. and 13 The total width of columns when combined is reduced for 1 column vs 2 column solution Resolves issues with bridge skew. To allow for the changes to the cross head. Integration with existing ground level. Please refer to ULDF 1 To provide increased principles summary structural core to the on sheet; 7 of this column based on document. With particular geotech investigations carried out post AEE, reference to principle while still providing the number 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 11 and 13 sculptural outer. Simply supported structure requires platform to seat beam, and new arrangement helps resolve issues with bridge skew To allow for the changes to the cross head. Integration with existing ground level. Safety requirement Please refer to ULDF 1. Reduced number of principles summary on sheet; 7 of this columns from two columns per crosshead document. With particular to one centrally placed reference to principle column. Helps resolve number 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 and issues with bridge skew. 13 Constructability issues because of seismic requirements. Integral connections difficult to build without increasing structural element sizes further.

ULDF PRINCIPLES SUMMARY

Uldf principle		Assessment of ULDF principles
1.	Make the bridges generally consistent in their form so they register as a 'family' and provide some visual continuity with- in the local environment	Proposed Ihakara/Wharemauku Stream bridge is different from the AEE bridge, but the form remains consistent with other proposed brid The consistency across the bridges overall has become even more consistent as there is less variation in types from that shown in AEE. Accordingly this improves visual continuity.
2.	Express the bridges as simple forms that sit across the chang- es in landscape and are not seen as strong statement in their own right	Proposed bridge form remains a visually simple structure and sits across the landscape as an horizontal element. The bridge is not seen making a statement in its own right. The bridge appears 'heavier' in that the piers have doubled in width. However, it is noted that the r of piers has been halved, albeit that they are larger in width.
3.	Unite the bridge elements of pier, cross head, deck and barri- er as one sculptural form and ensure services are concealed from view	Proposed bridge form is different than the AEE in that the piers have been repositioned to sit beneath the bridge deck. However, the pri of united piers, cross head, deck and barrier remains upheld, albeit in a new pier configuration. The profile from the crease of the barrier sloping cross head end to the shaped pier continues to show the bridge as a united single form.
4.	Ensure the form of the bridges from the underside is visually appealing to recognise the primacy of the local roads user's experience in design consideration.	The space beneath the bridge will be no less visually appealing than the AEE bridge and maybe perceived as better given there is now proto be a reduced number of piers (albeit that those being proposed are larger in size). The openness of the spill through abutment on the side remains.
5.	Design the intersection of the piers with the ground in con- cert with the local road interface design of abutment forms and materials (refer to local road interface design principles)	Proposed bridge piers are located to provide good clearance for local road movements and the abutment to the south where the CWB participate continues to be set at a slope that provides for light penetration. The reduced number of piers (albeit that they are larger) increated openness of the space beneath. The abutments remain as 'spill through' slopes and these will be treated in a consistent way with the ot road abutments.
6.	Light the spaces beneath local road over bridges to enhance the quality of the space including the use of natural light penetration where the local road has a higher frequency of pedestrian cycling and other non-vehicular users	Not relevant
7.	Use architectural lighting to emphasise the sculptural forms of the bridges and light units that are readily serviceable from the ground	Not relevant
8.	Utilise the opportunity provided by multiple bridges to make a system of parts that can be repeated at each location and improve efficiency of construction	Proposed bridge, as in the AEE, remains of the same systematic approach to allow repetition of parts at other locations and improves the efficiency of construction.
9.	Use textured finishes within the bridge elements surfaces' to provide a crafted finish – avoid printed forms	The proposed finish on the Ihakara/Wharemauku Bridge barriers will be fair faced concrete with a white wash, applied concrete coating t colour and tonal uniformity between panels. The bridge abutment will be constructed with precast concrete panels with an inlaid Otaki p finish. The other elements – columns, cross head and deck will be simple, fair faced concrete without the applied white wash coating to h make these elements visually recessive relative to the barrier. Matt graffiti protection to be applied to all bridge elements surfaces. Refer SSMP for further detail on the proposed finishes.
10	Repeat the bridge design concepts within the design of pedestrians bridges recognising that these may be able to utilise lighter weight materials	Not relevant
11	Develop each bridge crossing design considering the piers types best suited to the location	The piers are located out of the stream and do not require armouring to the stream edge.
12	 Locate bridge piers associated with bridge watercourse cross- ings away from riparian edges to prevent need to armour stream edges 	Proposed bridge form at Wharemauku Stream has addressed all the contributing factors of visual amenity, safe CWB crossing, structural of high seismic zone, and constructability. Rip-rap required under the footprint of the bridge/Wharemauku stream edges irrespective of the of the pier location.
13	Ensure that the integrity and significance of the bridge forms as important to the amenity of the community is not accord- ed any less priority than the other design requirements of the project	The design of the bridge forms at Ihakara/Wharemauku River has addressed all the contributing factors of visual amenity, CWB crossing, structural design in high seismic zone, river hydrology and constructability

dges. as number inciple er to the

oposed ne CWB ath is

ases the other local

to ensure pebble help r to the

design in e location

WHAREMAUKU STREAM CROSSING - VISUALISATION



MacKays to Peka Peka Wellington Northern Corridor



Appendix 4: BRIDGE SUMMARY- KAPITI Site Specific Management Plan 003 - [SectorS 360-370-380] MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway

01 SEPTEMBER 2014 - CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C







M2PP Bridge Design Objectives



Design Objectives

With reference to the Urban and Landscape Design Framework (Technical Report 5) (ULDF) there are four design objectives for the bridges and their respective contexts. These four objectives are overarching aims for the project and have been extracted from the Design Concept statements in two sections of the ULDF: Local Road Interface Design (section 5.7) and Bridge Design (section 5.8).

The purpose of extracting these objectives is to enable any changes to bridge structures and their context made through the concept and detailed design process to be considered at the highest level of the design intent. There are design principles in each of the sections as noted above and these too form a basis for considering the development of the designs for the bridges and their context.

As is typical in a design evaluation process, any aspects of design that do not align with the design principles would be elevated to consideration against the design objectives.

Design Objectives:

- 1. The public spaces of the roads and streets take primacy over the experience of the Expressway users. Local people will be making slower movements and as a consequence the bridges will be more visually apparent to them than to people travelling along the Expressway.
- 2. As a new element in the landscape, the bridges respect the surrounding landscape and are expressed in terms of their horizontality, fluidity and simplicity because the landscape is relatively low key and low in scale; having several 'feature' bridges would become both visually complex and overwhelming in scale.
- 3. Bridges are formed as a whole from a single kit of parts, which allows the components to be repeated and a similar approach used at the multiple crossings to register as a 'family' of bridges because people will have multiple interactions day to day with the Expressway and this approach promotes simplicity and visual continuity
- 4. Utilise concrete prefabricated parts because this allows fine levels of quality control, cost benefits and significant improvements in construction time at the crossings and reduces disturbance to the area.



AEE Consented to DET Proposed Graphic Comparison



1. AEE ELEVATION - KAPITI BRIDGE EAST ELEVATION - 1:250@A3

Note			
REFER TO SSMP FOR THE TREATMENT AND EXTENT OF ALL LANDSCAPE, ECOLOGY AND URBAN DESIGN ELEMENTS			
	47000	mm	
BRIDGE STRUCTURAL CONC		CROSS VERSI REAN	
		COMC BARRIER BY OTHERS	
	KAPITUROAD WESTBOUND	KAPITUROAD EASTBOLIND	CONC. SPUL
			BRIDGE ABUT
			EUSESSISSES FINISHES
2 PROPOSED ELEVATION - KAPITI BRIDGE EAST ELEVATION - 1:250@A3			

Design development

- 1. Column shape developed
- 2. Cross head lower by approx 200mm Change to simply supported system. Revised relationship between column, crosshead and barrier
- 3. Further detail provided for spill through abutment design and interface with embankments

Rationale

3.

- Increased structural core based on geotech investigations carried out post AEE, while still providing the sculptural outer.
 Simply supported structure requires platform to seat beam,
 - and new arrangement helps resolve issues with bridge skew



Lack of info in AEE. Embankment developed to better integrate the level difference of the embankment and precast conc. spill through abutments.



Design development

1. Column shape developed

- 2. Cross head lower by approx 200mm Change to simply supported system. Revised relationship between column, crosshead and barrier
- Inside barriers straight profile 3.
- 4. Further detail provided for the spill through abutment design

Rationale

- 1. Increased structural core based on geotech investigations
- carried out post AEE, while still providing the sculptural outer. Simply supported structure requires platform to seat beam, 2.
 - and new arrangement helps resolve issues with bridge skew

3. 4.

Increase width of light shaft. Lack of information provided in AEE



AEE VISUALISATION - KAPITI ROAD BRIDGE CROSSING (LOOKING WEST)



PROPOSED VISUALISATION - KAPITI ROAD BRIDGE CROSSING (NORTH SIDE OF KAPITI LOOKING WEST)

Bridge Development Matrix



ULDF PRINCIPLES SUMMARY

ULDF principle		Assessment of ULDF principles	
1. M as en	ake the bridges generally consistent in their form so they register a 'family' and provide some visual continuity within the local avironment	Proposed Kapiti Road bridge is different from the AEE bridge, but the form remains consistent with other proposed bridges, including Road, Raumati Road. The consistency across the bridges overall has become even more consistent as there is less variation in types from shown in AEE. Accordingly there is enhanced consistency in the local environment.	
2. Ex lai	press the bridges as simple forms that sit across the changes in ndscape and are not seen as strong statement in their own right	Proposed bridge form remains a visually simple structure as far as it can be, given the on and off ramps and other structure such as ref walls. The bridge is not seen as making a statement in its own right. The bridge appears 'heavier' in that the piers have become wider now (different than the AEE) just beneath the bridge.	
3. Ur or	nite the bridge elements of pier, cross head, deck and barrier as ne sculptural form and ensure services are concealed from view	Proposed bridge form is different than the AEE in that the piers have been repositioned to sit beneath the bridge deck. However, the pof united piers, cross head, deck and barrier remains upheld, albeit in a new pier configuration. The profile from the crease of the bar the sloping cross head end to the shaped pier continues to show the bridge as a united single form.	
4. En pe en	nsure the form of the bridges from the underside is visually ap- caling to recognise the primacy of the local roads user's experi- nce in design consideration	Proposed Kapiti Road bridge interchange will be no less visually appealing than the AEE bridge. The spill through abutments continue provide an open space and centralising the piers (consistent with the AEE) enables the space at either side of Kapiti Road to be maxim the public benefit of walking and cycling movements.	
5. De th (re	esign the intersection of the piers with the ground in concert with e local road interface design of abutment forms and materials efer to local road interface design principles)	Proposed bridge piers are located to provide good clearance for local road movements and enables the space at either side of Kapiti R be maximised for the public benefit of walking and cycling movements.	
6. Lig qu wl an	ght the spaces beneath local road over bridges to enhance the ality of the space including the use of natural light penetration here the local road has a higher frequency of pedestrian cycling ad other non-vehicular users	Proposed bridge is continues with the split as in the AEE to allow some natural light penetration to the local road and space below. The lighting to be provided under the bridge to recognise the relatively high level of usage by cyclists, walkers and others. This lighting can to enhance the architectural forms.	
7. Us br	se architectural lighting to emphasise the sculptural forms of the idges and light units that are readily serviceable from the ground	Proposed bridge will be lit from beneath and objective will be to light the external barrier and pier shapes architecturally.	
8. Ut sy eff	tilise the opportunity provided by multiple bridges to make a stem of parts that can be repeated at each location and improve ficiency of construction	Proposed bridge, as in the AEE, remains of the same systematised approach to allow repetition of parts at other locations and improve efficiency of construction.	
9. Us via	se textured finishes within the bridge elements surfaces' to pro- de a crafted finish – avoid printed forms	The proposed finish on the Kapiti Road Bridge barriers will be fair faced concrete with a white wash, applied concrete coating to ensur and tonal uniformity between panels. The bridge abutment will be constructed with precast concrete panels with a formed concrete p finish. The underside of the deck will be fair faced concrete without the applied white wash coating to help make these elements visual recessive relative to the barrier. Matt graffiti protection to be applied to all bridge elements surfaces. Refer to the SSMP for further det the proposed finishes.	
10. Re br ma	epeat the bridge design concepts within the design of pedestrians idges recognising that these may be able to utilise lighter weight aterials	Not relevant	
11. De be	evelop each bridge crossing design considering the piers types est suited to the location	Proposed Kapiti Road bridge piers are different than those in AEE design. The AEE design did have bridge types where piers were local beneath the bridge and others where the piers were co-planar to the barrier and on the outside edge. Piers under the bridges were a response to the location. At Kapiti Road the piers proposed are on the outward edge of the bridge but are no long co-planar with the The piers now proposed provide more consistency with other bridge types which satisfies principle 1 above and assists with expedient construction on this busy road.	
12. Lo av	cate bridge piers associated with bridge watercourse crossings vay from riparian edges to prevent need to armour stream edges	Not relevant	
13. En pc pr	sure that the integrity and significance of the bridge forms as im- ortant to the amenity of the community is not accorded any less iority than the other design requirements of the project	Proposed bridge form at Kapiti Road has seen the consideration of all the contributing factors of visual amenity, safe CWB crossing, str design in high seismic zone, and constructibility. At this location the bridge is one element in a complex context that must accommoda and off ramps, multiple local road traffic lanes, safe crossing points for pedestrians and cyclists and noise mitigation structures.	

ng Poplar from that		
s from that		

s retaining ider, but sit

the principle barrier to

nue to aximised for

iti Road to

. There is can be used

roves the

nsure colour ete pattern visually r detail on

located ere a n the barrier. diency of

, structural nodate on



Appendix 5: LANDSCAPE SPECIFICATION Site Specific Management Plan 003 - [SectorS 360-370-380] MacKays to Peka Peka Expressway

01 SEPTEMBER 2014 - CERTIFIED ISSUE - REV C



SEE SEPARATE A4 BOUND DOCUMENT.