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A note to the audience

While Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency provided investment, the research was undertaken independently, and the resulting findings should not be regarded as being the opinion, responsibility or policy of Waka Kotahi or indeed of any NZ Government agency.

Waka Kotahi is established under the Land Transport Management Act 2003. The objective of Waka Kotahi is to undertake its functions in a way that contributes to an efficient, effective and safe land transport system in the public interest. Waka Kotahi funds innovative and relevant research that contributes to this objective.

People using this research should apply and rely on their own skill and judgement and, if necessary, they should seek appropriate legal or other expertise regarding its use.
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Sector Research Programme – overview

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency works with the sector to identify and address gaps in the sector’s knowledge and understanding of the development, management and operation of the land transport system.

Waka Kotahi works alongside subject matter experts to:

- develop a programme of research projects to address knowledge gaps, in consultation with the wider sector (including the Ministry of Transport and Local Government);
- procure research providers to undertake and deliver the work;
- provide project oversight and guidance, and
- publish, promote and support the implementation of the resulting research outputs.
Executive summary

When compared to pre-COVID (2019) periods, available data shows lower total patronage during the half price fares period. There is evidence of long-term adjustments in travel patterns that could continue to suppress PT patronage going forward, even if fares are reduced.

Patronage *has* increased since the HPF introduction from the lows of the Delta and Omicron outbreak periods and there are indications that more *users* are on the networks.

Around 7%-8% of New Zealanders made PT journeys in this period that they otherwise wouldn't have taken.

For the most part, new journeys were for work purposes, reflecting usual journey purposes recorded for PT. However, a higher share of new PT journeys were to access discretionary travel, such as social and shopping journeys.

Awareness of HPFs has tailed off somewhat since May, particularly among some groups who are reliant on, or benefit greatly from public transport and this might limit the benefits that they could deliver.

Journeys are primarily being added where public transport usage was already high, which impacts *who benefits*. Groups who are likely to live in CBDs and suburbs of major metro areas normally travel by PT more often, and it was these groups who were most likely to add new PT journeys or switch modes more.

However, many with a high level of PT need (like New Zealanders with disabilities or those with no access to a car) have been better positioned to take advantage of half price fares as they often live near to existing networks.
Executive summary – continued

High fuel prices and general cost of living concerns have been significant push factors for those making new journeys, particularly those switching from private vehicles.

There is evidence that HPF helped mitigate their worries about travel costs and that those accessing PT networks are currently less likely to miss journeys due to expense.

However, expense is not the only factor impacting mode choice. Those switching from active modes weren’t as influenced by cost and there is evidence that, before HPF and increases in fuel prices, private vehicles were being chosen in cases where they were more expensive in practice.

For those still not trialling PT services, price hasn’t been the main barrier since 2019. Non-users think of PT services as unrealistic alternatives for travel, since they are not available in their area, aren’t realistic for the distance that they need to travel or are going to take too long to travel the distance.

With these barriers unresolved, half price fares won’t be sufficient to make them trial services, limiting the impact HPFs can have.

Fuel prices in tandem with HPF encouraged some mode switching to PT, but should fuel prices drop again, there may be a threshold at which these travellers would switch back to driving, even if the true cost is higher than a PT fare.
Has public transport usage increased?

PT patronage and active network users in Auckland, Christchurch and Wellington
Summary of mitigating factors

**Fuel prices**

Increased fuel costs during this period has incentivised some drivers to take advantage of half price fares.

However, where records are available, light vehicle traffic has continued to increase from March and in some areas has been higher than in 2019. Private vehicles are still a favoured travel solution in many cases.

**COVID-19**

There are far more COVID cases in the community than the April-July period of 2021, somewhat reducing who is able to travel and access benefits of HPFs.

The greater impact is likely in longer term adjustments COVID brought, with PT much more impacted by increases in working from home. Commuters won’t take advantage of HPFs if they no longer need to commute.

**Weather conditions**

Where data for both weather conditions and service usage is available, temperatures or rainfall during this period does not appear to have impacted patronage differently relative to comparable periods in previous years.

Where possible, analysis has controlled for seasonality by comparing against April-July periods from 2019, 2020 and 2021.

**School holidays**

Patronage in major metro areas has tended to peak in May and reduce during the winter school holiday in July, when there are fewer students and many families are out of town and away from their usual services.

2022 has been no different in this regard, so there is a limit to the new users that Half Price Fares could add to the service this winter.
Bus patronage – total monthly volume

Changes in bus patronage since the introduction of half price fares followed a similar pattern to previous years, with a May peak and a decline corresponding with school winter holidays.

Actual rates of paid PT patronage, based on daily patronage up to and including July 24 2022

- Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport, Environment Canterbury and GWRC.
Bus patronage – total monthly volume

Recorded bus patronage under half price fares in these areas has not matched comparable pre-COVID activity as seen in 2019.

Actual rates of paid PT patronage, as reported on 28 July 2022:
*Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport, Environment Canterbury and GWRC.
Train patronage – total monthly volume, AKL

For Auckland trains, there was a similar increase in patronage in May, but as with buses, patronage has not increased to the levels recorded pre-COVID in 2019, or during the same period in 2021.

Actual rates of paid PT patronage, based on daily patronage up to and including July 24 2022
‘ - Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport
Train patronage – total weekly volume, AKL

For June and July, Auckland train patronage has remained lower than in any of the preceding three years, despite the presence of half price fares.

Actual rates of paid PT patronage, based on daily patronage up to and including July 24 2022

* Weekly data is based on information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport.
Patronage and travellers – year on year

The volume of active transit cards in Wellington and Christchurch is actually above 90% of what it was in July last year, with Auckland at 80% and has been steadily climbing since the introduction of half price fares. Whilst the number of users hasn’t dropped that significantly since 2021, they may make fewer trips.

Total volume and relative volume (Vs July 2021) of unique customer cards observed across network in Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch
Count of card users includes ferries for all cities. Total customer volumes will not include paper based tickets or cash fares in Wellington and Christchurch, but all fare types counted on Auckland services.
Number of days travelled

Reported national travel from survey data shows a similar pattern: the proportion of weekly PT users has not declined significantly from comparable periods, but the number of days travel reported is consistently lower.

QAF1 - On how many days in the last week have you travelled each of these ways? % selecting 1-7 days for each / average number of days selected

Base: Journey Monitor data, all adults Apr-Jul 2020 (n=4,031); 2021 (n=4,037); 2022 (n=6,093)

Users of each mode, Apr-Jul 2020: bus (n=715), Train (n=380), Ferry (n=289); 2021 bus (n=916), Train (n=425), Ferry (n=326); 2022 bus (n=1,303), Train (n=612), Ferry (n=429)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2020</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2021</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Bus users</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># days Bus travel (among users)</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Train users</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># days Train travel (among users)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ferry users</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># days Ferry travel (among users)</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Working from home

Year on year, there have been significant increases in the proportion of commuters staying home and those doing so are doing so for more of the week. Throughout the COVID impact tracking, it has been shown that PT commuters stay home at larger volumes than those commuting by other modes.

QAF1 - On how many days in the last week have you travelled each of these ways? % selecting 1-7 days for each/ average number of days selected
Base: Journey Monitor data, all working adults Apr-Jul 2020 (n=2,351); 2021 (n=2,379); 2022 (n=3,922)
Adults working from home each week, Apr-Jul 2020 (n=1006); 2021 (n=1,125); 2022 (n=1,958)
QWORK1A/QWORK2A: And prior to any public health alert or lockdown, where did you mainly work?/ And where do you currently work? By QMODE1_1
How would you normally make each of the following types of journeys listed below? – travelling to work
Base: COVID-19 impact tracking, all who normally commute by each mode (bases for all modes, all periods available in original report)
Section summary

Changes in patronage and active network users

- Short term, Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch show increased patronage since the introduction of half price fares in April, with the number of active users recorded also increasing in this period.

- Year-on-year patronage during the April – July period is lower in 2022 than in 2021 or 2019. However, this may be impacted by longer term changes in travel patterns:
  - The number of active users on Wellington and Christchurch networks is above 90% of the pre-Delta outbreak levels with Auckland at 85%. Therefore, whilst the number of network users is similar, the journeys recorded and days that they report travelling may be reduced.
  - One contributing factor may be our adjusted working patterns: workers report working from home more than in 2021. Since PT commuters work from home at higher volumes than other commuters, HPFs were unlikely to restore patronage to 2019 or even 2021 levels.

- With this in mind, we can see that users have returned to networks in three of our major cities, so it is important to understand what proportion of additional users is attributable to half price fares.

- In addition, HPFs have the capacity to open the PT network to new users, reduce more polluting private vehicle journeys and open up additional transport options to many, so it is valuable to understand who has begun to use the service and why.
What new trips are being generated?

Stated impact of half-price fares
Among those travelling by public transport in June and July, a third said they were making additional PT journeys as a result of half price fares, but there are indications that awareness is falling.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…/ You mentioned that you travelled by bus, train, or ferry in the past week. Half price fares were in place during this time. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)…

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Reported PT journeys added May – July

Between May and June, the proportion of New Zealanders making at least one new public transport journey doubled. The proportion of new travellers remained high, despite lower PT travel in July around school holidays.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…?

- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
How has awareness changed?

At an overall level, awareness of half price fares among New Zealanders declined steadily from May to July, though just under four in five stated that they were aware.

Q6 Are you aware that on 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were temporarily introduced nationwide?

Base: All adults 15+ in New Zealand, Journey Monitor and COVID Impact Tracking

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Aware of HPF</th>
<th>Not Aware of HPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whilst not a statistically significant decrease from month to month, the 4-point drop in awareness from May-July is statistically significant.
Where is awareness low?

In places with more extensive multi-modal PT networks, like Auckland and Wellington, there are very few who don’t know about half price fares. Awareness is lowest among younger people and those from lower income and shared households.

Q6 Are you aware that on 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were temporarily introduced nationwide?
*Base: All adults 15+ in New Zealand, Journey Monitor and COVID Impact Tracking*

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Where is awareness low?

Awareness is significantly lower among New Zealanders with disabilities, particularly among those with visual and some cognitive impairments. These are groups that are often more reliant on public transport.

Q6 Are you aware that on 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were temporarily introduced nationwide?
Base: All adults 15+ in New Zealand, Journey Monitor and COVID Impact Tracking

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
On which modes are journeys being added?

During the May – July period, journeys have been added across buses, trains and ferries at a relatively similar rate. Ferry users were least likely to know about HPFs before travelling.

NB: past week public transport users were asked about the impact of half price fares on all of their public transport usage, so whilst a significantly higher percentage of ferry users switched from active modes, this switch may not have been for their ferry journeys, but for other parts of their travel (eg to and from the ferry terminal, or other non-ferry trips).

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…?

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
What types of journeys are we adding?

Public transport tends to be used more for non-discretionary travel (like commuting and appointments), but the new journeys added in this period included a slightly higher share of discretionary activities in total.

### Purpose of most recent journey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>All journeys, incl. Non PT (n=6093)</th>
<th>NETT PT travellers Not aware of HPF / would have used PT anyway (n=396)</th>
<th>NETT PT travellers making a new journey /journey they would not use PT for (n=186)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social visit/entertainment</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>▲ 7%</td>
<td>▲ 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A trip for work</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completing study/education</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical/dental appointments</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal appointments/services</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>▲ 3%</td>
<td>▲ 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropping someone off/picking someone up</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
<td>▲ 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picking up/dropping off something</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport and exercise</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q21/ Q53d2: What was the main purpose of this journey? / On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey...?

Base: All using public transport as main mode in most recent journey, Journey Monitor survey Apr-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Many of those travelling in this period indicate that they are making new PT trips as a result of half price fares, with around 7% of those travelling in July making a new trip.

However, awareness has declined, with a fifth of those travelling in July not aware before travelling.

- There are deficits among younger people, low income and shared households, but also among New Zealanders with disabilities, who often benefit from PT to get around.

Public Transport is generally used more for non-discretionary journeys, such as journeys to, from and for work, but a greater share of the new journeys are for discretionary purposes.

- This could indicate some expanded access to leisure, social and shopping options that wouldn’t have previously been undertaken, or which might have been walked or driven instead.
What mode switching is occurring and who is impacted?

Analysis of demographics within patronage and stated impact of half-price fares
Where are journeys being added?

There are a handful of less populous and more rural regions where no new PT traveller was reported during this period. Auckland was the only region where more than 1% of residents made at least one new PT journey.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…/ You mentioned that you travelled by bus, train, or ferry in the past week. Half price fares were in place during this time. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)…

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

*low base interpret with caution
Where are journeys being added?

This is reflected in the proportion of travellers making new journeys or switching modes in city centres, where public transport journeys are already more common.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…?

- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
What types of people are adding journeys?

Among under 25s, 1-in-8 claimed to have added a new PT journey, either as an entirely new trip, or due to switching modes. Age groups and households that already used public transport at greater volume added more new PT journeys in general.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)…

- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Concession cards – Auckland

Since the introduction of HPFs, active accessible concessions cards in Auckland have increased to match 2019 levels, exceeding the growth of other card types, including non-concession adults. However, growth has not been the same for other concession types, like SuperGold, secondary and tertiary students.

AT Hop Card data count of active monthly customers by concession card type

Relative volume of active card users against comparable period 2019

Total number of Tertiary Student Visa holders as of May 2022 is roughly 51,100 below comparable period in 2019, which may contribute to a lower volume of tertiary students and associated card holding.

* Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport, Environment Canterbury and GWRC.
What types of people are adding journeys?

Among those with a more severe disability, who tend to use PT more, 3% took a completely new journey. However, it is notable that awareness of half-price fares is much lower across multiple disability types.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…?

- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
What types of people are adding journeys?

Higher income households appear to be travelling more by PT by default than many others and added more journeys. Middle income New Zealanders travelled by PT less before HPFs and have added the least new journeys.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…? You mentioned that you travelled by bus, train, or ferry in the past week. Half price fares were in place during this time. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)…

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Impact of half price fares - within HOUSEHOLD Income (annual)

- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey
How does normal PT usage vary across income groups?

Weekly rates of reported public transport usage have consistently been highest in New Zealand’s lowest and highest income groups, with those earning $30-$50K using services at 3-5 points below the average.

Weekly PT usage among HH Income groups
Rolling 12 month average

QAF1 - On how many days in the last week have you travelled each of these ways? % selecting 1-7 days for each more
Base:Journey Monitor data, 12 month rolling average

HPF in place

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
What types of people are adding journeys?

For the most part, there is little variance in impact across ethnic groups. Whilst there is some variance, it should be noted that much of the NZ Asian population lives in more developed urban areas, where PT usage is more common.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…? You mentioned that you travelled by bus, train, or ferry in the past week. Half price fares were in place during this time. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)…

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
How does normal PT usage vary across ethnic groups?

Reported weekly PT travel has consistently been higher among New Zealanders of Asian and of Pacific Island backgrounds, however, even in these groups, reported PT usage is lower than the same period a year ago.

Weekly PT usage among HH NZ Ethnic groups
Rolling 12 month average

QAF1 - On how many days in the last week have you travelled each of these ways? % selecting 1-7 days for each more
Base: Journey Monitor data, 12 month rolling average

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Where do high patronage groups live?

Many of the groups with a high share of both existing and new PT travellers have above average proportions living in city centres and suburbs, or a very low share living in less dense rural areas and towns.

Q5b / QAREA Do you live in:

- A city centre
- A suburban area
- A town
- A rural area (within 5km of a town)
- A rural area (more than 5km from a town)

Groups with high rates of public transport usage

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

**Q5b / QAREA Do you live in:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>A city centre</th>
<th>A suburban area</th>
<th>A town</th>
<th>A rural area (within 5km of a town)</th>
<th>A rural area (more than 5km from a town)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=6309)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24 (n=450)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34 (n=1179)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44 (n=1160)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54 (n=1264)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64 (n=930)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ (n=1326)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European (n=4704)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori (n=681)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islands (n=205)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (n=770)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (n=154)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=5465)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to $30,000 NETT (n=802)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,001-$50,000 NETT (n=863)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001-$100,000 NETT (n=1896)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $100,000 NETT (n=1904)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent

**Q5b / QAREA Do you live in:**

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022
How does normal PT usage vary within areas of the country?

Weekly PT usage is significantly higher among Asian groups in all regions and much higher for Māori in cities. Variations according to income, however, are much more limited in suburbs and rural areas, with wealthier households in cities and towns using PT much more than lower income groups.

QAF1 - On how many days in the last week have you travelled each of these ways? % selecting 1-7 days for any public transport mode
Within Q5b. / QAREA Do you live in, Q63 Finally, what is your annual household income (before tax)?, Q3. Which ethnic groups do you belong to?
Base: Journey Monitor data, 2021-2022 Financial Year

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
What role does location play?

In city centres, reported PT usage remained low in middle income groups, but they were twice as likely to add entirely new journeys as those from higher income households. In rural areas, PT usage remained low across all groups, even with half price fares.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…?

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
What role does location play?

Within New Zealand’s city centres, there is limited variation in reported PT usage according to ethnicity, with some variation in awareness, types of mode switching and the scale of journeys added. However, in rural areas, even with new journeys, European and Māori groups did not use PT as much as Asian respondents.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey…?
You mentioned that you travelled by bus, train, or ferry in the past week. Half price fares were in place during this time. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)…

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Are half price fares improving access to travel?

HPFs appear to have added journeys for New Zealanders who are unable to access non-PT modes, in particular for those who cannot drive themselves, for whom PT tends to be used more relied upon at all times.

Q53d2 / Q53d3 On 1 April 2022 half price public transport fares were introduced nationwide. Which statement best applies to your journey...? You mentioned that you travelled by bus, train, or ferry in the past week. Half price fares were in place during this time. Which statement best applies to your journey(s)...

Base: All travelling by public transport in past week, who say each of the above modes is not available to them. Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022

- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares
- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Section summary

Who is impacted?

- Journeys are primarily being added where public transport usage was already high, in major metropolitan areas like Auckland and Wellington and in downtown CBD areas across the country.
- This has a knock on impact on which groups of New Zealanders have made the most of half price fares:
  - Younger people, New Zealanders of Asian backgrounds and those from some of the highest income households claim to have added the most new journeys or switched from cars, walking and cycling.
  - However, all three of these groups have greater presence in NZ CBDs and suburbs, and less in towns and rural areas and tended to be higher incidence PT users before half price fares are introduced.
  - Within the 2021/22 financial year, high income households in rural areas have been no more likely to use public transport than lower income households and they were only a little more likely to add journeys due to half price fares. However, within city centres, the proportion of lower income households (specifically those earning $30K-$50K per year) reporting completely new journeys by PT was twice that of the highest income households.
  - However, survey respondents from Asian backgrounds report being significantly more likely to use PT in a typical week, even if they live in rural areas and the impact of HPFs in rural areas was much more pronounced for this group. However, in city centres they did not report adding journeys at a higher rate than other groups.
  - This indicates that Half Price Fares have firstly benefitted those groups for whom PT was already practical and accessible in their every day life, or where there may be sufficient existing knowledge to take advantage in areas where the network is less advanced or accessible.

- HPFs appear to also serve those for whom PT is more of a necessity, including New Zealanders with disabilities and those without access to a car of their own.
- There are indications from Auckland of steady increases in all types of traveller relative to 2019, with accessible concessions card holders about as active on the network in July ‘22 as in July ‘19. Growth in other areas may have been offset by school holidays and decreases in tertiary student populations.
How important is price in choosing public transport?

Stated value and impact of affordability and value, journey experience ratings
What factors influenced half price journeys?

For most of those making new PT journeys due to half price fares there were other reasons to choose PT, 3 in 5 were encouraged by cost concerns that may have made driving less feasible, while nearly 2 in 5 were in some way feeling more positive about using public transport.

Q54E4 Did anything else impact on your decision to take half price bus, train or ferry journey?

Base: All using public transport as main mode in most recent journey having switched from active modes or private vehicles or as an entirely new journey, Journey monitor Apr-22 to Jul-22 (n=111)

- Increased fuel prices: 43%
- Increased cost of living: 48%
- Returning to place of work/study rather than work/study from home: 32%
- A change in where you live or work or study: 21%
- Improved public transport services: 21%
- Feeling more confident about travel on Public Transport after Covid peak: 24%
- No access to preferred transport mode e.g. don’t have, someone using, needing repair: 3%
- Other (please specify): 4%
- Half price fares was the only reason: 7%

Prices/cost of living: 60%
Change of/return to regular destination: 43%
More confidence/Improvement in PT: 38%
What factors influenced half price journeys?

Those switching from private vehicles were much more likely to select increased fuel prices as a factor influencing their choice, with almost two thirds saying so. Comparatively, this had little influence on those switching from walking and cycling, who had a more even mix in factors that encouraged them.

Q54E4 Did anything else impact on your decision to take half price bus, train or ferry journey?

Base: All using public transport as main mode in most recent journey having switched from active modes or private vehicles or as an entirely new journey, Journey monitor Apr-22 to Jul-22 (n=111)

- Increased fuel prices
- Increased cost of living
- Returning to place of work / study rather than work / study from home
- Improved public transport services
- A change in where you live or work or study
- Feeling more confident about travel on Public Transport after Covid peak
- No access to preferred transport mode e.g. don’t have, someone using, needing repair
- Other (please specify): Half price fares was the only reason

- ▲ Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
- ▼ Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
How has fuel pricing impacted choice?

Motorists are experiencing higher prices at the pump than at comparable periods in previous years, with regular petrol prices around a dollar higher than the same period in 2019 or 2020.

Discounted retail price of fuel – ¢ per litre

Discounted retail price of fuel, sourced from https://www.mbie.govt.nz
Data reported to MBIE weekly, analysed as average price within given month, data is sourced on 01/08 *prices for July are provisional

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period

Discounted retail price of fuel, sourced from https://www.mbie.govt.nz
Data reported to MBIE weekly, analysed as average price within given month, data is sourced on 01/08 *prices for July are provisional

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
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Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period

Discounted retail price of fuel, sourced from https://www.mbie.govt.nz
Data reported to MBIE weekly, analysed as average price within given month, data is sourced on 01/08 *prices for July are provisional

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
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Indic
How has fuel pricing impacted choice?

Motorists are experiencing higher prices at the pump than at comparable periods in previous years, with regular petrol prices around a dollar higher than the same period in 2019 or 2020.

Discounted retail price of fuel – ¢ per litre

[Graph showing discounted retail price of fuel from April 2019 to July 2022 for Diesel, Regular Petrol, and Premium Petrol.]

Discounted retail price of fuel, sourced from https://www.mbie.govt.nz

Data reported to MBIE weekly, analysed as average price within given month, data is sourced on 01/08 and prices for July 2022 are provisional.

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period

Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Traffic volumes

However, despite higher fuel expenses during this period, areas like Christchurch and Dunedin have seen comparable traffic to winter 2019. In Auckland and Wellington, whilst light vehicle traffic has mostly been less than 2019, the difference has been less since April, when HPFs were in place and fuel prices highest.

NET DIFF in Light Vehicle rolling 7 day average: 2022 to 2019

TMS Data – NET Difference in 7 day rolling average of Light Vehicle travel in each city, note dates are aligned with comparable weekday/weekend dates for each year, not an exact match of date (e.g. 5 Jan 2019 aligns with 1 Jan 2019) to ensure more comparable travel conditions

Base: AKL data combined from SH20 Hillsborough Rd On Ramp to Dominion Rd Off Ramp NB and - SH20 Hillsborough Rd On Ramp to Dominion Rd Off Ramp SB, Wellington data from NGAURANGA SH2 - Telemetry Site 4 – SB and 01N11068 - NGAURANGA SH1 - Telemetry Site 4 – SB, Christchurch data from Sthern Motorway West of Wrights Rd Underpass-Inc and - Sthern Motorway West of Wrights Rd Underpass-Dec, Dunedin data from BURNSIDE - Telemetry Site 63 – NB

Where data is missing in one of the given years, no NET calculation applied

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Did HPF reduce travel cost concerns?

Almost half of those making new PT journeys, are in some way concerned about the cost of daily travel. Comparatively, regular users who would have used PT anyway express much less concern about travel costs.

QAF3 How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Base: Journey Monitor Public Transport users, May – July 2022

"Cost of daily travel is a big issue for me"
- Agree (8-10)
- 3-7
- Disagree (0-2)
- Unsure/don't know

- I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey (n=141)
- No change - I would have taken public transport anyway (n=529)
- I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares (n=120)
- I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares (n=128)
- This is a new trip for me because of half price fares (n=56)
- NETT Not aware/no change (n=670)
- NETT mode switch to PT (n=248)
- NETT Any increase in PT usage as a result of half price fares (n=304)

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Cost comparisons – before half price fares

Prior to the introduction of half price fares, a comparable commuting trip to the CBD in five major cities was always more expensive than the average PT equivalent once parking was included, but private vehicle remained the preferred choice for commuters in most cities, even if COVID were not a consideration.

*Benchmarking Sustainable Urban Mobility, Waka Kotahi report supported by TRA and WSP*  

And how would you make each of these journeys today if COVID-19 did not exist?

Base: COVID tracking Mar-22 and May-22; all travelling to CBD for work during past week in Auckland (n=70); Wellington (n=72); Christchurch (n=36) – note base for Hamilton (n=28) and Tauranga (n=17) too small for reliable analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journey to Auckland CBD*</th>
<th>Hamilton CBD*</th>
<th>Tauranga CBD*</th>
<th>Wellington CBD*</th>
<th>Christchurch CBD*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicle, petrol, parking</td>
<td>$29.00</td>
<td>$15.81</td>
<td>$12.66</td>
<td>$25.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicle, early bird all-day parking cost</td>
<td>$18.78</td>
<td>$12.51</td>
<td>$7.12</td>
<td>$21.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Vehicle, Petrol only</td>
<td>$2.78</td>
<td>$0.84</td>
<td>$1.45</td>
<td>$1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transport</td>
<td>$4.82</td>
<td>$1.97</td>
<td>$2.72</td>
<td>$3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>$46.50</td>
<td>$20.23</td>
<td>$21.23</td>
<td>$38.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would choose PT for commute | 21% | - | - | 47% | 19% |
Would drive for commute | 64% | - | - | 26% | 72%

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Did HPF reduce journeys missed due to cost?

Looking at comparable periods in preceding years, weekly PT users have become less and less likely to miss journeys due to cost, but those not using PT have become significantly more likely to do so.

Q14 What was the reason you didn’t take the journey?
Base: Journey Monitor, past week public transport users (n=RANGE per time period), non public transport users (n=RANGE per time period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2020</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2021</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All New Zealanders</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past week PT users</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past week non PT users</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

▲ Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
▼ Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Did HPF reduce journeys missed overall?

However, weekly PT users are still more likely to claim that they have been unable to take a journey that would have been beneficial and this has increased significantly since last year.

Q11 Were there any journeys within the last week which would have been beneficial to undertake, but you couldn’t?

Base: Journey Monitor, past week public transport users (n=RANGE per time period), non public transport users (n=RANGE per time period)

% missing a beneficial journey in the past week

- All New Zealanders
- Past week PT users
- Past week non-PT users

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
What other factors prevent travel among PT users?

Like journey cost, most barriers relating to the transport network are much less prevalent this year, including bad weather and journey length, although traffic and driving conditions are about as common as a year ago.

Q14 What was the reason you didn’t take the journey?
Base: Journey Monitor, past week public transport users (n=RANGE per time period), non public transport users (n=RANGE per time period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2020 (n=373)</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2021 (n=345)</th>
<th>Apr-Jul 2022 (n=505)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journey would have been too expensive</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>▼ 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey would have taken too long</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>▼ 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No suitable transport option available</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>▼ 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad weather</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>▼ 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor driving conditions (e.g. could be unsafe)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>▼ 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic would have been too bad (e.g. roadworks, heavy traffic)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>▼ 14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What other factors prevent travel among PT users?

Instead, there has been a directional increase in COVID concerns, health conditions and security concerns as a barrier. Caring responsibilities may have peaked a year ago, when Auckland experienced level 3 and 2 lockdowns.

Q14: What was the reason you didn’t take the journey?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason missed a necessary journey in the past week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Health, care and safety reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Family/caring responsibilities got in the way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Health condition/disability prevented it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- COVID-19 (Coronavirus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Risk to personal security</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: Journey Monitor, past week public transport users (n=RANGE per time period), non public transport users (n=RANGE per time period)
COVID-19 impact on travel

Whilst the volume of active cases peaked in March this year, the volume of community cases remained high. In this context, the proportion missing journeys due to COVID is higher than a year ago, but has been declining as community cases go down, reducing the impact of COVID on travel.

Ministry of Health data, COVID case counts by day, by location
https://github.com/minhealthnz/nz-covid-data/blob/main/cases/covid-cases-counts-location.xlsx

Data for total number of active cases in the community only (cases detected at border excluded)

Q14 What was the reason you didn’t take the journey?
All adults 15+ in New Zealand, Journey monitor, base per wave Mar-20, Dec-20, Jan-20 (n=c.500); Jun-22, Jul-22 (n=c.2,000) all other months (n=c.1,000)

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
COVID-19 impact on travel

As community cases declined through April to June, more New Zealanders reported weekly PT usage. This fell away as cases climbed again in July, but with school winter holidays also taking place in this period, COVID-19 was not the only factor that could suppress PT patronage.
Did HPF increase perceptions of value & affordability?

The perception that PT is affordable and offers good value for money is at the highest level recorded, with around two thirds strongly associating PT with each quality.

Q30b. How affordable would you say this [IF MORE THAN ONE TRANSPORT USED AT Q24 ADD “part of your”] journey was for you…?

Base: All using public transport as main mode in most recent journey, Journey monitor

NB respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = “Barely affordable – I had to scrimp and save or make sacrifices to pay for it” and 10 = “Totally affordable – It had no noticeable impact on my available funds”

Half price fares in effect

NB respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = “extremely poor value for money” and 10 = “extremely good value for money”

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Did HPF increase perceptions of value & affordability?

When controlling for seasonal variation, it is clear that both affordability and value are significantly better perceived than they normally would at this time of year.

**Affordability**

- **Pre HPF (Jan-Mar '22) (n=176)**
  - 0-2: 11%
  - 3-4: 19%
  - 5: 5%
  - 6-7: 6%
  - 8-10: 5%

- **Post HPF (Apr '22 - present) (n=580)**
  - 0-2: 6%
  - 3-4: 19%
  - 5: 5%
  - 6-7: 6%
  - 8-10: 5%

**Value for money**

- **Pre HPF (Jan-Mar '22) (n=176)**
  - 0-2: 48%
  - 3-4: 26%
  - 5: 26%
  - 6-7: 25%
  - 8-10: 24%

- **Post HPF (Apr '22 - present) (n=580)**
  - 0-2: 47%
  - 3-4: 29%
  - 5: 29%
  - 6-7: 26%
  - 8-10: 24%

**NB** respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = “Barely affordable – I had to scrimp and save or make sacrifices to pay for it” and 10 = “Totally affordable – It had no noticeable impact on my available funds”

**NB** respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = “extremely poor value for money” and 10 = “extremely good value for money”

Q30b. How affordable would you say this [IF MORE THAN ONE TRANSPORT USED AT Q24 ADD “part of your”] journey was for you…?

Base: All using public transport as main mode in most recent journey, Journey monitor
Did HPF increase perceptions of value & affordability?

This perceived increase in affordability seems to be felt slightly more by those who were already travelling by public transport, with new users somewhat less effusive in scoring PT as affordable.

Q30b. How affordable would you say this [IF MORE THAN ONE TRANSPORT USED AT Q24 ADD “part of your”] journey was for you…?

Base: All using public transport as main mode in most recent journey, Journey monitor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affordability</th>
<th>Value for money</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change - I would have taken public transport anyway</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETT Already travelling by PT</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'NETT' new users/ new journeys by PT</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (n=580)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-2</th>
<th>3-4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6-7</th>
<th>8-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NETT Already travelling by PT (n=395)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'NETT' new users/ new journeys (n=185)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (n=580)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-2</th>
<th>3-4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6-7</th>
<th>8-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I was not aware of half price fares prior to the journey</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change - I would have taken public transport anyway</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETT Already travelling by PT</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'NETT' new users/ new journeys by PT</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = “Barely affordable – I had to scrimp and save or make sacrifices to pay for it” and 10 = “Totally affordable – It had no noticeable impact on my available funds”

NB respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = “extremely poor value for money” and 10 = “extremely good value for money”

Q30b. How affordable would you say this [IF MORE THAN ONE TRANSPORT USED AT Q24 ADD “part of your”] journey was for you…?

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Factors impacting journey experience

Previous analysis of factors influencing journey experience has shown value and affordability to be of lower importance than access and ease. Price may have a bigger role in mode selection, but it is not a big cause of variation in experience ratings.

The dominant ease of access factors are likely to be influenced by infrastructure considerations like location of stations and stops relative to destinations.

Whilst only experienced by a minority (36%) of PT users, if a customer has to make a transfer, that part of the journey will have a significant impact on how they view their experience overall. This can be impacted for example by timetabling of services and the ability to pay easily for two journey stages.

Factors relating to the environment and a pleasant/comfortable experience during the journey aren’t as significant to journey ratings, suggesting that (for example) crowding can occur on buses, trains and ferries during journeys that are otherwise rated positively.

Whilst value and affordability had a low impact on experience during the past 12 months, increases or decreases in these areas are likely to impact their importance. For example, the period modelled in this analysis does not include April and May 2022, where half-price fares might have increased the impact of value and affordability as drivers.
Journey experience

Nonetheless, overall PT journey ratings were significantly improved from a comparable period in previous years.

Q15new Overall, how would you rate your overall experience of this IF MORE THAN ONE TRANSPORT USED AT Q24 ADD “part of your” journey?
Base: All who used Public Transport in their main mode in their last journey, Journey Monitor;

NB respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = extremely bad and 10 = excellent
Journey experience

New users of public transport may find it to be good value for money and affordable, but they are much less likely to give top scores for their overall experience.

Q15new Overall, how would you rate your overall experience of this IF MORE THAN ONE TRANSPORT USED AT Q24 ADD “part of your” journey?

Base: All who used Public Transport in their main mode in their last journey, Journey Monitor, May-22 to Jul-22

NB respondents answer on 0-10 scale where 0 = extremely bad and 10 = excellent

- Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
- Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Impact of disruptions and cancellations

Disruptions increased in Auckland since the start of the year, but patronage trended upwards too. Here, service frequencies can be higher on some routes, so where a cancellation or delay occurs, a later bus or train is often taken and patronage counted. However, outside of major cities with more frequent services the impact may differ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Trips not sighted</th>
<th>Auckland bus patronage</th>
<th>Auckland Train Patronage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Patronage/week

Week commencing

Half Price Fares in place

Actual rates of paid PT patronage, based on daily patronage up to and including July 24 2022
Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport
Proportion of scheduled trips not sighted in Auckland per week – not sighted includes any cancellations and other missed trip, including cases where cancellation is processed late by the operator and equipment areas
Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from Auckland Transport. Note, week of 21-Feb 2022 is first week of full timetable data for calendar year, data unavailable for 2-Jul 2022

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Impact of disruptions and cancellations

In Wellington, May saw bus service cancellation consistently around 5%, a similar share of services were delayed at this time, which is often the time of a patronage peak. Fewer cancellations at the beginning and end of June may have allowed more people to take advantage of half price fares.

Actual rates of paid PT patronage, based on daily patronage up to end June 2022 against comparable April-June period from '19/'20/'21
Weekly data is based off information from daily data supplied from GWRC
“Services cancelled” inverts bus reliability metric (percentage of scheduled services that actually ran, as tracked in RTI and Snapper systems), showing % scheduled services that do not meet these conditions
“Services delayed” inverts bus punctuality (bus departure from trip origin, leaving between one minute early and five minutes late), showing % scheduled services that do not meet these conditions

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Impact of disruptions and cancellations

However, bus service cancellation in Wellington was less common than comparable periods of 2019, when patronage was much higher. There was a higher share of late arriving services though, which may have created situations where new and additional journeys took longer than anticipated or seemed less practical to new users.
Public transport feasibility

For those still not using public transport, their perceived feasibility of PT modes as an alternative is not significantly improved during the HPF period, with bus infeasibility still 5 points higher than in 2019. The majority of those not using buses, trains and ferries believe these modes to not be a realistic option.

Q53. To what extent were each of these alternatives an option for you for that part of your journey.
Base: all not using that mode as main mode for most recent journey bus range (n=2,072- 4,815); train range (n=2,100-n=4,879); Ferry range (n=2,110 – 4,902) Journey Monitor survey Apr-2019 to Jul-2022

NB modes are rated on a 0 to 10 scale where 0= simply was not an option and 10 = a very realistic option. Realistic is analysed as all giving an 8-10 score, with 0-2 used for not realistic.

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Reasons for bus infeasibility

Since 2019, journey cost has not been a particularly prominent reason for ruling out bus travel at this time of year. Instead, lack of access and not having bus services in the area continue to be the biggest barriers to bus usage.

Q54 What were the primary reasons for the bus not being a realistic option?
Base: all not using bus as main mode for most recent journey and considering it infeasible, bases in axes

Journey Monitor Apr-19 to Jul-22

NB reasons hidden from analysis if never mentioned by more than 10%.
Hidden reasons include “Health issues prevent it from being an option”, “Lack of physical comfort”, “Bad/no paths/routes” and “Too stressful”

- Don’t have access to that form of transport
- Not available where I live
- Distance / too far
- Time taken
- Not easily accessible
- Not available when I needed to travel
- Carrying goods
- Safety issues
- Cost of journey
- Transporting other people (e.g. kids)
- Predictability of journey time not as good

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
Reasons for bus infeasibility

When those without buses in their area are excluded, practical considerations in distance and time taken remain the biggest issue preventing travel. Availability remains an issue in another sense, a quarter of those who rate buses as not a realistic mode for their journey say they are not available when they need to travel.

Q54 What were the primary reasons for the bus not being an realistic option?
Base: all not using bus as main mode for most recent journey and considering it infeasible, but who do not say it is unavailable where they live or that they have no access to that mode, bases in axes Journey Monitor Apr-19 to Jul-22

NB reasons hidden from analysis if never mentioned by more than 10%. Hidden reasons include “Health issues prevent it from being an option”, “Lack of physical comfort”, “Bad/no paths/routes” and “Too stressful”
Reasons for train infeasibility

For trains, when lack of availability is excluded, distance and time taken are the most significant barriers as well. As with buses, costs have not decreased as a perceived barrier during the HFP period.

Q54 What were the primary reasons for the bus not being a realistic option?
Base: all not using train as main mode for most recent journey and considering it infeasible, but who do not say it is unavailable where they live or that they do not have access to that mode, bases in axes Journey Monitor Apr-19 to Jul-22

NB reasons hidden from analysis if never mentioned by more than 10%. Hidden reasons include "Health issues prevent it from being an option", "Lack of physical comfort", "Bad/no paths/routes" and "Too stressful"
Section summary

How important is price?

- Half price fares appear to have acted in tandem with increasing fuel prices to drive mode switching from cars, vans and taxis. However, highways in some areas were as busy as in comparable periods of 2019.

- Nonetheless, with higher fuel prices switching to PT under half price fares could help with travel costs: half of those switching from private vehicles indicated that travel costs were a big issue for them at the time.

- Recent analysis from before the half price fares rollout indicates that many common driving journeys were more expensive when non-fuel factors were taken into account. Despite this, private vehicles were chosen more often.

- As fuel prices have increased and half price fares have been in effect, there has been a change in how price impacts travel: current PT users are less likely to report missing a necessary journey due to expense than those not using PT. The inverse was true in comparable periods of 2020.
  - However, cost considerations aren’t the only factors impacting freedom to travel: PT users are still more likely to miss out on journeys overall, with health, safety and security concerns more prevalent as barriers.
  - COVID-19 is a more prevalent barrier than before for PT travellers, even as the impact on travel lessens overall.

- With new trips on PT services, perceived value and affordability of journeys have improved. However, new travellers not as likely to give high ratings as those who were using the service anyway. They are also less positive about their overall experience which, according to previous analysis, is not significantly impacted by affordability.

- Whilst a combination of price factors may have provided the impetus for some PT trialism, particularly switching from cars, cost alone has not historically been sufficient to drive patronage. This does raise the question of whether HPFs will drive up patronage long term and whether the trialists that they brought in will continue to use under differing conditions.

- For those still not trialling PT services, price is not and never was the primary barrier, at least not since 2019. The majority of non-users think of PT services as unrealistic alternatives for travel, primarily because such services are not available in their area or they do not have access.

- Even among those for whom availability and access is less of an issue, the services aren’t considered realistic for the distance that they need to travel or are going to take too long to travel the distance.
Will additional public transport patronage be sustained?

Perceptions of value, affordability and journey ratings
Do new PT users intend to keep using?

Most of those making new PT journeys intend to use it again next month and this is highest among those who have switched from private vehicles. However, whilst new users intend to stick with PT, there may be other factors that prevent them from following through on these intentions.

Q54E5 Would you take train, bus or ferry again in the next month?
Base: All taking new trips on PT during the past week, Journey Monitor, May-22 to Jul-22

- 88% yes, 12% no
- 98% yes, 2% no
- 81% yes, 19% no
- 93% yes, 7% no
- 91% yes, 9% no

I switched to public transport from walking or cycling because of half price fares (n=80)
I switched to public transport from car or taxi because of half price fares (n=96)
This is a new trip for me because of half price fares (n=41)
NETT mode switch to PT (n=176)
NETT Any increase in PT usage as a result of half price fares (n=217)

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Perceptions of buses among users and non-users

Analysis from COVID impact tracking in May showed significant differences in bus perceptions between habitual bus users (i.e., those who used before the pandemic) and non-users in important practical areas.

The perceived difference in ability to distance, though significant, is only 5 points, with normal bus users not particularly likely to associate services with this quality either.

Habitual bus users are twice as likely to perceive buses as an affordable option right now, at a rate of 66% against just a third of non-users. Even with half-price fares in place, there is some deficit in perceived affordability of this option that may keep non-users from considering the service.

Non-users are significantly less likely to say buses can get them where they need to go at a rate of 58% to 21% and this impracticality may keep them off services, even if transmission risks are lessened.

The perceived difference in hygiene is only 4pts (17% among users, 14% among non-users).

QPTIMAGE. Image Statements - And which transportation methods would you currently associate with each of the following qualities?

Base: New Zealanders in May ‘22 who travel by bus normally (n=273); who do not travel by bus normally, but use other modes (n=921), COVID Impact tracking, May ‘22

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Perceptions of trains among users and non-users

The same sorts of differences are apparent when comparing habitual users and non-users of trains, who do not see trains as convenient or practical for their needs.

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent

QPTIMAGE. Image Statements - And which transportation methods would you currently associate with each of the following qualities?

Base: New Zealanders in May ‘22 who travel by train normally (n=107); who do not travel by train normally, but use other modes (n=1,073) COVID Impact tracking, May'22

With more limitations in rail service reach, normal train users are over four times more likely to see trains as a mode that lets them travel how they want and get them where they need. Where the network has no reach, it remains outside of consideration on these factors.

Whilst they are 12 points less likely to associate trains with this value, safety is the most associated value by non-users and improving safety perceptions may not bring that many more users to services.
How have perceptions of modes changed?

When comparing with the same period a year ago, affordability and value for money are the only public transport qualities to have improved significantly.

Driving is much more negatively perceived compared to a year ago, with only traffic conditions improving significantly.

Drivers are much more worried about their personal security and safety from accidents as well as the quality of information about their journey, but these are not areas where public transport is notably stronger.
How have perceptions of modes changed?

As perceived affordability and value of public transport has increased significantly from the same period a year ago, private vehicles (cars, vans and motorcycles) have declined significantly. Being unaffected by inflation, living costs or fares, walking and cycling remain as highly affordable as ever.

Most recent journey aspects of experience
Base: All using each mode as main mode in last journey, Private road vehicle (n=3,430); Public Transport (n=582); Bicycle (n=109); Walking (n=921), Journey monitor Apr-22 to Jul-22

Indicates a statistically significant increase from same period 2021
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from same period 2021
How have perceptions of PT changed?

Looking longer term, PT user perceptions have been improving year on year and, whilst only cost values have significantly improved since last year, a number of qualities have improved somewhat.

Even with new users unfamiliar with the service, overall ease of journey ratings have not weakened since 2021.

Most recent journey aspects of experience
Base: All using Public Transport as main mode in last journey, Apr-Jul ’19 (n=183) Apr-Jul’20 (n=189) Apr-Jul ’21(n=235) Apr-Jul’22 (n=403)

Personal security, comfort and calmness all improved significantly between 2020 and 2021, although it should be noted that Apr-Jul 2020 included the initial lockdowns from the first COVID outbreak.

Acceptability of time taken has increased by 6 points since the same period a year ago, so new users aren’t finding their journeys to be too long.

Indicates a statistically significant increase from preceding time period
Indicates a statistically significant decrease from preceding time period
How do new travellers feel about PT journeys?

However, those who made new journeys on the service during the HPF period are much less positive in their ratings. If journeys prove difficult and take too long, they may not persist with PT as much as they say.

New users were significantly less likely to rate their journey well for overall easy or acceptability of time taken, but these qualities have not gone down year on year. This suggests that habitual PT users are feeling much more positive about these aspects of their journey than they have in previous years.

Most recent journey aspects of experience
Base: All using Public Transport as main mode in last journey Apr-Jul’22 making a new journey/mode switch (n=186) who would have travelled by PT anyway (n=396)
How do those switching from private vehicles differ?

In part this may be driven by some sub-groups of switchers. Those switching from active modes gave significantly lower ratings on multiple qualities. PV switchers feel cost benefits much more strongly.

Most recent journey aspects of experience
Base: All using Public Transport as main mode in last journey Apr-Jul'22 who switched from walking or cycling (n=76) who switched from car or taxi(n=84)

During a period where fuel prices are a significant concern, around three quarters of those switching from cars or taxis rated their PT journey highly on cost qualities.

Switching from active modes would have meant going from some cost to paying a fare, even if it is half price, so the journey is less affordable in practice to the way it would otherwise have been conducted.

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
How do those switching from private vehicles differ?

Those switching from private vehicles are also more likely to perceive value for money compared to habitual PT users, however they are not as positive about other aspects of the experience.

Most recent journey aspects of experience
Base: All using Public Transport as main mode in last journey Apr-Jul'22 who would have travelled by PT anyway (n=396) who switched from car or taxi(n=84)

Indicates proportion is higher than total sample to a statistically significant extent

Indicates proportion is lower than total sample to a statistically significant extent
Section summary

Could additional PT travel be sustained?

• There is a clear stated intent to continue using public transport among those making new trips. However, stated intent does not always lead to consistent action, so it is valuable to understand the extent to which the conditions are in place to turn those trialling public transport into long term adopters.
  • Stated intent to continue using PT is highest among those switching from Private Vehicles. This is one group that has indicated greater impact from fuel prices and cost of living in general and their continued patronage might be influenced by how long this factor persists.
  • This group is currently among the most positive about the affordability and value for money of their journey, however, they are no more likely to rate their PT journeys highly for practical considerations, like overall ease and time taken.

• Analysis from COVID-19 tracking in May showed that people who don’t tend to use public transport do not associate it with practical considerations, like convenience and the ability to get them where they need to go.

• Whilst perceptions of affordability and value have been lifted during this time, those on new PT journeys aren’t as positive about other aspects of the journey as habitual PT users.

• Were fuel prices to drop substantially and cost of living become a less salient, it is not clear how many trialists have experienced sufficient other benefits from public transport usage to make the switch in the long term.
Data sources and analysis
## Half price fares
### Impact on public transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of Total Adult Population</th>
<th>New Journey</th>
<th>Switch from Car / Taxi</th>
<th>Switch from Walking / Cycling</th>
<th>Existing PT users - aware HPF</th>
<th>Existing PT users – not aware HPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7% New PT Users</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13% Existing PT users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**New Users:**
- **Purpose:** Public Transport (PT) continues to be mostly used for work journeys, but more new journeys are discretionary, e.g., shopping/social = expanded access.
- **Where:** New trips are where PT use is already high, e.g., CBD, suburbs, major metropolitan areas.
- **Who:** New users are more likely to be younger people, NZers of Asian backgrounds, higher income households, and those with more severe disability. However, all of these groups have greater presence in NZ CBDs and suburbs, and less in towns and rural areas and tended to be higher incidence PT users before half price fares. This indicates that Half Price Fares have firstly benefited those groups for whom PT was already practical and accessible.
- **Why:** Fuel price and cost of living as well as greater confidence in PT were key reasons for switching or new journeys.
- **Travel Cost:** Half of those taking new PT journeys are concerned about travel cost, existing PT users are not as concerned. New users are giving PT lower value and affordability ratings than existing PT users.

**Existing Users:**
- **COVID-19:** Is still a concern among PT users, even as its impact on travel lessens overall.
- **Affordability and Value:** PT users are more likely to agree that PT is affordable and offers value compared to new users.
- **Journey Experience:** Value and affordability are of significantly lower importance than access and ease, may have a bigger role in mode selection but not in experience. Nonetheless, PT journey experience has significantly improved, especially amongst existing PT users. New users are not as satisfied.
- **Accessibility:** Fewer PT users missed ‘beneficial’ trips due to expense since HPF, but overall more beneficial trips are still missed by PT users (compared to non PT users). Reasons are more likely to be health, safety or security rather than cost.

**80% PT Non-users in past week:** Price is not the main barrier. Often unrealistic to use PT in their area, for distance needed to travel, time taken or PT not available when needed to travel. Half price fares are not sufficient incentive to trial. Overall monitoring has not picked up any change in perceived feasibility of PT as an alternative mode for non-users.

**Patronage:** With the onset of Omicron and half price fares the number of public transport users has not dropped significantly since 2021, but individuals make fewer trips as more PT commuters are working from home.

**Fuel Prices:** In 2022 fuel is a dollar a litre more than the same period over the previous three years.
Summary of primary data sources

All primary data in this analysis is sourced from two existing surveys:

**Waka Kotahi Customer Journey Monitor**: a nationally representative monthly online survey of NZ adults 15+, usual sample $n = c. 1,000$. June and July surveys boosted to $n = c. 2,000$ adults to facilitate deeper half price fares analysis. Data are weighted each month to match the known sample universe for age and gender based on the 2018 census. Within analysis of most recent journey only, data are also weighted according to mode usage based on data from the New Zealand Household Travel survey. Targets are supplied by Waka Kotahi and weighting is processed by field and data supplier Dynata.

**Waka Kotahi COVID Transport Impacts Survey**: a nationally representative ad-hoc survey of NZ adults 15+, with boosts to major urban areas. Usual sample of $n = c. 1,259$. Data are weighted each wave to match the known sample universe for age, gender, region and ethnicity, based on the 2018 census. Targets are supplied and weighting processed by Ipsos. Note: targets for region weighting group the country into six regions, rather than the full 16 administrative regions. This is designed to mitigate the impact of over-sampling in Tauranga, Wellington city, Dunedin, Hamilton and Christchurch, which are down-weighted to normal population distributions.

Awareness and impact of half price fares have been collected in both surveys in different ways:

- In relation to **most recent journey, main mode used** within the Customer Journey Monitor in April, May, June and July of 2022.
- In relation to **past week travel and mode usage** within the COVID transport impacts survey in May and the Customer Journey Monitor in May, June and July of 2022.

Sources are analysed either in isolation, or in a merged data set consisting of common variables (including demographic questions) from both surveys. Where datasets are merged, responses from each source retain their original weighting, with each source treated as a separate ‘wave’ of the research. Within this report, slide footers will clearly indicate the project source, sample description and (where not contained within graph axes) the bases for the relevant audiences.

Data sources are combined for analysis by Ipsos New Zealand.
Summary of non-survey data sources

- **Patronage data**: Actual rates of paid PT patronage, based on daily patronage up to and including July 24 2022, data supplied by Auckland Transport, Ecan and GWRC
- **Counts of active travel cards**: AT Hop Card data count of active monthly customers by concession card type.
- **Ongoing retail fuel price data**: Discounted retail price of fuel, sourced from [https://www.mbie.govt.nz](https://www.mbie.govt.nz), data reported to MBIE weekly, sourced on 01/08 with provisional pricing for July 2022.
- **Traffic Data**: TMS data – from seven day rolling average of Light Vehicle travel in each city, with:
  - AKL data combined from SH20 Hillsborough Rd On Ramp to Dominion Rd Off Ramp NB and - SH20 Hillsborough Rd On Ramp to Dominion Rd Off Ramp SB
  - Wellington data from NGAURANGA SH2 - Telemetry Site 4 – SB and 01N11068 - NGAURANGA SH1 - Telemetry Site 4 – SB
  - Christchurch data from Sthern Motorway West of Wrights Rd Underpass-Inc and - Sthern Motorway West of Wrights Rd Underpass-Dec
  - Dunedin data from BURNSIDE - Telemetry Site 63 – NB.
- **PT Disruptions**: Proportion of scheduled trips not sighted in Auckland per week – not sighted includes any cancellations and other missed trips, including cases where cancellation is processed late by the operator and equipment areas. Original source data at daily frequency, supplied by Auckland Transport.
Analysis of survey samples

Whilst both the customer journey monitor and COVID-19 impact tracking use 2018 census data to set weighting targets, age and region groupings for these targets are set slightly differently. For example, regions are aggregated into six groups for COVID-19 tracking to mitigate the oversampling and subsequent down-weighting of major urban areas and allow for ethnicity to be included within weighting targets. Customer Journey Monitor age targets are set to a different profile to the age distribution displayed below. In addition, COVID-19 impact data includes field quotas and weighting targets for ethnicity, which are not included within the Customer Journey Monitor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighted Region Distribution</th>
<th>Weighted Gender Distribution</th>
<th>Weighted Age Distribution</th>
<th>Weighted Ethnicity Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northland 4% 4% 4% 4%</td>
<td>Male 49% 49% 49%</td>
<td>15-24 65% 65% 65%</td>
<td>European 65% 65% 65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland 7% 7% 7% 7%</td>
<td>Female 51% 51% 51%</td>
<td>25-34 66% 66% 66%</td>
<td>Maori 76% 76% 76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waikato 9% 9% 9% 9%</td>
<td>Another Gender* 33% 33% 33%</td>
<td>35-44 65% 65% 65%</td>
<td>Pacific Islands 12% 12% 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay of Plenty 7% 6% 6% 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>45-54 76% 76% 76%</td>
<td>Asian 18% 18% 18% 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gisborne 4% 2% 3% 3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>55-64 11% 11% 11%</td>
<td>Other 4% 4% 4% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawke's Bay 3% 2% 3% 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>65+ 6% 6% 6%</td>
<td>DK/REF/No response 0% 1% 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranaki 3% 5% 4% 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manawatu-Wanganui 5% 3% 6% 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington 11% 1% 1% 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasman 1% 1% 1% 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson 1% 0% 1% 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marlborough 1% 1% 1% 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Coast 1% 1% 1% 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury 13% 12% 14% 13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otago 5% 5% 6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southland 2% 4% 2% 3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample Profiles
Base: COVID-19 impact tracking May 2022, Journey Monitor survey May-July 2022
Census data from source: Age and sex by ethnic group (grouped total responses), for census usually resident population counts, 2006, 2013, and 2018 Censuses (RC, TA, SA2, DHB) – stats NZ
*Responses are collected from New Zealanders who indicate they are Another Gender/Gender Diverse, accounting for 0.3% if the aggregate sample analysed (n=19 across all samples). No data is given from 2018 census for this group
For further information

Waka Kotahi Sector Research Programme

WakaKotahiresearch@nzta.govt.nz

Research note author

Tom.Magill@ipsos.com (principal author)
https://www.ipsos.com/en-nz