## State Highway 58 strategic study

A long-term strategic plan for State Highway 58 to 2029


## State Highway 58 Strategic Study

The State Highway 58 Strategic Study is a technical report, outlining potential long-term transport solutions for State highway 58. The public release of the document means it is now available as an input into the Hutt and Western Corridor Plan reviews, which are scheduled to be undertaken by Greater Wellington Regional Council over the coming year.

As a technical report, the study has not been presented to the NZTA Board for its support, endorsement or approval. Accordingly, publication of the report does not constitute any form of commitment by NZTA to the recommendations contained in this report.
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## Executive Summary

The NZTA has developed a long-term strategic plan along State Highway 58 (SH58) over the 20 -year period 2009 to 2029. This SH58 Strategic Study relates to the entire 15.1 km length of SH58, from the junction with SH2 at Manor Park in the Hutt Valley, to the junction with SH1 at Paremata. SH58 is a regional highway that joins the Hutt Valley with Porirua and the Kapiti Coast. It provides for travel between these three areas whilst also providing access to local communities such as Whitby and Pauatahanui. SH58 is also used by heavy vehicle traffic travelling between the industrial port area of Gracefield/Seaview and destinations to the north via SH1.

The current highway predominantly provides a single two-way carriageway with roundabouts and priority controlled intersections. The width of the highway is constrained in many locations due to the terrain. Traffic volumes vary from 13,800 vehicles per day (vpd) west of SH2 to 9,200 vpd east of James Cook Drive at the end of the Pauatahinui Inlet, and to 16,700 vpd east of the Paremata Roundabout. The highway is predominantly rural from SH2 to Pauatahanui, with the urban density increasing from Pauatahanui to SH1 at Paremata.

Increasing traffic volumes will place some sections of the highway under pressure within the 20-year period, depending on whether or not Transmission Gully and Grenada to Gracefield projects are completed. The current strategy assumes the Grenada to Gracefield projects are constructed within the 10 year period and Transmission Gully soon after the ten year period.

The Grenada to Gracefield projects will result in a decrease in traffic volumes on SH58, as traffic transfers to the new east-west route. However, when Transmission Gully is constructed traffic volumes on SH58 increase again, but these will only be greater than existing volumes east of the new gully route as alterative routes will be available into Porirua.

Analysis of the crash data for the 5 -year period from 2004 to 2008 indicates that there are currently an average of about 16 injury crashes and 52 total crashes per year and crash costs of about $\$ 5.3$ million per annum along the SH5 8 Corridor study length. Accordingly, there is scope for improving the safety along this highway.

Based on the background information, the capacity analysis and the crash statistics, a longterm strategic plan has been developed for SH58. This can be summarised as follows:

- The strategy assumes the Grenada to Gracefield projects will proceed within 10 years and Transmission Gully will be complete soon after the 10 year period.
- Based on these assumptions, SH58 will be retained as a two-lane two-way highway with the current passing lanes.
- All intersections will be at-grade, with the exception of the intersections with SH 2 and Transmission Gully, which will both be grade separated.
- The section between Manor Park and Moonshine Road will be managed as an 80 $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural environment with a median barrier (and some provision for turning movements) considered in the long term.
- The section between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui will also be managed as an $80-100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural environment with minor safety upgrades in the short term. Long term, this section could become a peri-urban environment and roundabouts for safety will be considered at the Moonshine Road and Flightys Road / Murphys Road intersections in conjunction with reducing the speed limit.
- The section between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive will be managed as a $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ peri-urban section and the section from Postgate Drive to Paremata will be managed as a $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ urban highway with controlled access in the short term. The long term status of SH58 from Transmission Gully to Paremata will be determined as part of the Transmission Gully project.
- Minor safety works will continue to be undertaken to address specific crash issues that arise during the study period.


## 1 Introduction

The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) is a Crown entity providing an integrated approach to transport planning, funding and delivery.

The NZTA has the statutory objective to undertake its functions (which include managing New Zealand's State highway system) in a way that contributes to an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive and sustainable land transport system, pursuant to the Land Transport Management Act 2003 (the LTMA), as amended by the Land Transport Management Amendment Act 2004 and the Land Transport Management Amendment Act 2008.

Accordingly, the NZTA has developed a long-term strategic plan to address significant issues along State Highway 58 (SH58) over the 20-year period 2009 to 2029. This SH58 Strategic Study relates to the entire 15.1 km length of SH58, from the junction with SH2 at Manor Park in the Hutt Valley, to the junction with SH1 at Paremata. The study length is illustrated (in red) in Figure 1.


Figure 1: SH58 Corridor Study Length
(Map Source: Greater Wellington Regional Council Website)

### 1.1 Function of State Highway 58

SH58 is a regional highway that joins the Hutt Valley with Porirua and the Kapiti Coast. It provides for travel between these three areas whilst also providing access to local communities such as Whitby and Pauatahanui. SH58 is also used by heavy vehicle traffic travelling between the industrial port area of Gracefield/Seaview in the Hutt Valley and destinations to the north via SH1. Traffic volumes on SH58 vary from 13,800 vehicles per day (vpd) west of SH2 to 9,200 east of James Cook Drive at the end of the Pauatahanui Inlet, and to 16,700 east of the Paremata Roundabout on SH1. Heavy vehicle volumes vary along the route from 450vpd to 830 vpd . This highway is also part of the Regional Strategic Cycling Network.

## 2 Strategic Context

### 2.1 Strategic Consistency

Under the enabling legislation the NZTA has five primary functions:

- Promoting an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive and sustainable land transport system.
- Managing the allocation of funding to transport activities.
- Planning, building, maintaining and operating the state highway network.
- Investigating and reviewing accidents and incidents involving accidents on land.
- Regulating and managing access to the land transport system.

Neither a specific mission nor strategic goals have yet been formulated for the newly established NZTA. Nevertheless five strategic priorities have been developed which represent the best prospects for the NZTA to advance the government's objectives for transport sector performance in the next three to five years. These are:

- Priority 1: Plan for and deliver corridors and roads of national significance
- Priority 2: Improve road safety
- Priority 3: Improve the efficiency of freight movements
- Priority 4: Improve the effectiveness of public transport
- Priority 5: Improve customer service and reduce compliance costs

The purpose of the land transport system is to move people and freight within New Zealand and to enable connections to the rest of the world. The New Zealand transport strategy 2008 outlines the objectives for the transport system as:

- ensuring environmental sustainability
- assisting economic development
- assisting safety and personal security
- improving access and mobility
- protecting and promoting public health.

The Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding (GPS) details the government's desired outcomes and funding priorities for the use of the National Land

Transport Fund. The GPS covers the impacts the government wishes to achieve from its investment in land transport, how it will achieve these impacts through funding certain activity classes, how much funding will be provided, and how this funding will be raised. The funding policies in the GPS reinforce the government's main priority of national economic growth and productivity.

This strategic plan for the SH58 Corridor is consistent with the New Zealand Transport Strategy, the GPS as well as NZTA's current Statement of Intent and National State Highway Strategy.

### 2.2 National State Highway Strategy

The National State Highway Strategy (NSHS) 2007 responded to the original New Zealand Transport Strategy (NZTS) as it related to the development of New Zealand's State highways. The NSHS set out how the former Transit would move towards and manage the State highway network as an integral part of a multi-modal transport system. It set out how the State highway network would support the Government's priority theme of economic transformation and the original NZTS objectives of improving access and mobility for all New Zealanders; ensuring the safety, security and health of New Zealanders; and improving the environmental sustainability of transport in New Zealand. In this way the NSHS provided a link between the NZTS and relevant legislation, the government funding allocated to State highways, and the detailed programme of works plans and policies.

The NSHS's proposed State highway 30-year concept is illustrated in Figure 2. It should be noted that the NSHS has not yet been reviewed by the newly formed NZTA and therefore does not yet respond to recent changes in government strategy.

For Wellington, the NSHS states that:
'A range of strategies developed in partnership with the Wellington region's territorial local authorities and stakeholders is shaping an integrated highway concept. The Inner City Bypass will strengthen north-south links through the city. The Ngauranga to Wellington Airport Strategic Study will identify solutions to meet current and future land use, access and transport needs in and around the city. The Western Corridor Study, considering the links between Wellington and the Kapiti Coast, includes proposals for public transport, travel demand management and highway improvements such as the Transmission Gully Motorway and improved east-to-west connections. Strategic studies for SH 2 and SH58 will provide a short-term programme of high priority projects within a longer-term strategic plan.'


Figure 2: Proposed State Highway 30-year Concept
(Source: National State Highway Strategy, Figure 9)

### 2.3 Regional Planning Priorities

### 2.3.1 Wellington Regional Strategy

The Wellington Regional Strategy (WRS) has been jointly developed by the Greater Wellington Regional Council (Greater Wellington) and the territorial local authorities of the region. The WRS is intended to be a sustainable economic growth strategy, with an outlook to 2050 . The WRS aims to make greater Wellington an 'internationally competitive' region a region that offers the competitive package of a great lifestyle and job opportunities, supported by a strong economy. The WRS was adopted by Greater Wellington in 2007.

The three key focus areas of the WRS are:

- Investment in leadership and partnership,
- Investment in growing the regional economy, especially regional exports, and
- Investment in good regional 'form'.

The part of the WRS that is particularly relevant to the SH58 Strategic Study is the focus on 'investment in good regional form', within which the WRS lists two priority areas.

The first is 'a strong Wellington CBD and sub-regional centres'. The WRS notes that transport decisions may affect the ability of the Wellington CBD and sub-regional centres to be properly supported, but the converse point is equally true, that future development impacts on the operation and sustainability of the state highway network and the rest of the land transport system.

The second is 'change areas'. The WRS suggests that the region has the following eight 'change areas', which are said to be particularly important to the successful implementation of the strategy:

- Northern Waikanae edge,
- Pauatahanui,
- Grenada to Gracefield,
- Johnsonville to the airport - the growth spine,
- Paraparaumu town to Paraparaumu beach,
- Porirua to Linden,
- SH2 / SH58 Interchange to Upper Hutt City centre, and
- Waingawa, west of Masterton

Several of the 'change areas' will have direct and potentially significant impacts on the future demand for travel along SH58.

### 2.3.2 Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy

The Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy (RLTS) 2007 - 2016 was adopted in July 2007.

The RLTS records that its completion was delayed to allow for the completion of the Wellington Regional Strategy.

The vision of the Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy 2007-2016 is:
'To deliver, through significant achievements in each period, an integrated land transport system that supports the region's people and prosperity in a way that is economically, environmentally and socially sustainable.'

The RLTS seeks to address the following key issues and pressures faced by the region:

- Access to goods and services, employment and amenities,
- Transport related greenhouse gas emissions,
- Public transport capacity and mode share,
- Reliability of the transport network,
- Severe traffic congestion, particularly at peak times, and
- East-west connections between key transport corridors and regional centres.

The vision, objectives and outcomes of the draft RLTS are translated into the following action programmes for each transport mode:

- Road Safety Plan,
- Cycling Plan,
- Pedestrian Plan,
- Travel Demand Management (TDM) Strategy,
- Passenger Transport Plan, and
- Freight Plan.

In addition to these region-wide, mode-related action programmes, specific action plans are provided for each of the following four major transport corridors:

- Western Corridor - Otaki to Ngauranga Merge,
- Hutt Corridor - Upper Hutt to Ngauranga Merge,
- Wairarapa Corridor - Masterton to Upper Hutt, and
- Ngauranga to Wellington Airport Corridor.

The SH58 Corridor is referred to under both the Western Corridor Plan and the Hutt Corridor Plan in relation to the following projects:

- Design and construct SH2/SH58 grade separation
- Upgrade SH58 between Transmission Gully and SH2
- Review District Plan land use controls to align with the outcomes of the Wellington Regional Strategy, particularly in the vicinity of the junction of Transmission Gully and SH58
- Develop a corridor management plan for SH58 east of Pauatahanui consistent with the RLTS


### 2.4 Wellington Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12

The Wellington Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12 (RLTP) is a three year programme that contains all the land transport activities proposed to be undertaken
throughout the region for the next 3 financial years (2009-12), indicative activities over the following 3 financial years, plus a 10 year financial forecast. The approved programme was released in June 2009.

The Regional Transport Committee adopted a prioritisaiton process to ensure the programme contributes to the region's desired outcomes. First-priority activities are required to maintain the existing level of service or are necessary to meet statutory obligations. Second-priority activities are relatively low cost studies, demand management activities and improvement works that are expected to help the region move quickly toward achieving RLTS outcomes. Third-priority activities are the high cost new works or services. Table 2.1 lists the proposed projects that are included in the Third-priority activities along the SH58 Corridor.

Table 2.1: 2009-12 RLTP and 10-Year Programme - Projects affecting SH58 Corridor

| Project Name | Indicative Construction <br> Timing |
| :--- | :---: |
| Proposed Large Projects: |  |
| - SH2 / SH58 Grade-Separation construction | $2009 / 10$ to $2011 / 12$ |
| - SH58 Long-term Safety Upgrades | $2013 / 14$ to $2014 / 15$ |
| - Transmission Gully | $2016^{+}$ |
| - Grenada-Gracefield Western | $2014 / 15+$ |
| - Grenada-Gracefield Eastern | $2016 / 17+$ |

+ Subject to consents being obtained and funding issues being resolved


### 2.5 Draft Wellington Regional ITS Strategy

The Wellington Regional ITS Strategy outlines how Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) can contribute towards the objectives of the New Zealand Transport Strategy for the Wellington regional State highway network.

The strategy recommends expanding the current ITS infrastructure to cover the majority of the region over a 10 to 20 year timeframe.

In relation to the SH58 Corridor, the draft strategy suggests that ITS infrastructure be installed along the route, and at the intersections at either end within 5 years.

The ITS strategy also recommends that any improvement projects on the state highway network should include enabling works for ITS infrastructure where possible. This could include installing ducting, fibre pits, power supply upgrades or cabinets.

### 2.6 Towards Safer Highways

The NZTA Wellington Towards Safer Highways document identifies and documents existing road safety issues on the Wellington State Highway Network and provides an indicative prioritisation for future safety works programmes. This document is updated annually.

This document is used to identify safety issues on SH58 as they appear and assists in programming remedial measures to reduce the crash risk. Large capital works identified by
this strategy are required to take the issues identified in the Towards Safer Highways document into consideration when developing the final design.

### 2.7 Summary of Strategic Context and Need for SH58 Strategic Study

The Wellington Regional Strategy (WRS) has direct and potentially significant implications for future demand for travel along SH58, as well as along other state highway corridors within the region, that are only partially addressed in the RLTS. While the RLTP details projects that have already been programmed, it does not contain the longer term strategic direction for the corridor.

This Strategic Study is being undertaken to determine the long term safety and efficiency trends on the SH58 corridor and to help identify, manage and/or mitigate the adverse impacts that could develop over the 20-year life of this strategy.

This Strategic Study for SH58 takes account of the overarching documents detailed above, and describes a long-term strategy that will enable SH 58 to contribute to an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive and sustainable transport system, without undermining the known regional planning priorities.

## 3 Corridor Management - Background and Issues

The SH58 Corridor provides the major arterial route that joins Porirua and Kapiti Coast with the Hutt Valley. In hierarchical terms, as defined in the National State Highway Strategy, it operates as a regional route. The following sub-sections provide background information and describe the identified existing and future issues within the SH58 Corridor study length that influences the strategic plan for the corridor.

### 3.1 Existing Route Characteristics

The SH58 Corridor is 15.1 km in length. It contains noticeable variation in both carriageway standards and traffic volume throughout the length. SH58 is predominantly declared a limited access road (LAR between RP $0 / 0.44$ and RP $0 / 13.86$ ) and provides a single two-way carriageway with roundabouts and priority controlled intersections. The width of the highway is constrained in many locations due to the terrain. Traffic volumes vary from 13,800 vehicles per day (vpd) west of SH 2 to 9,200 vpd east of James Cook Drive at the end of the Pauatahinui Inlet, and to 16,700 vpd east of the Paremata Roundabout. The existing characteristics of the individual highway sections within the corridor study length are described in the following sub-sections.

### 3.1.1 Manor Park to Moonshine Road (RP 0/0.00-0/6.28)

The existing characteristics of the "Haywards Hill" section of SH58 are as follows:

- A single carriageway, two-lane, two-way, $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ highway section, with one passing lane in the westbound direction and two passing lanes in the eastbound direction. The eastbound and westbound lanes are separated by a wire rope median barrier from approximately RP 0/1.5 to 0/2.3 and double yellow no overtaking lines for the remainder of the section.
- The $\mathrm{SH} 2 / 58$ intersection is currently traffic signal controlled with left turn slip lanes. The SH58 intersection with Hebden Crescent is located immediately west of the traffic signals and this priority controlled intersection allows all turning movements.
- This section has at-grade T-intersections with McDougall Grove, Hugh Duncan Street, Kaitawa Street, Old Haywards Road, Mt Cecil Road, Harris Road and Moonshine Road. The Old Haywards Road and Harris Road intersections are uncontrolled, while the remainder are priority controlled intersections with conventional intersection layouts (i.e. none of the intersections have 'seagull' T-intersection layouts).
- Haywards Hill has moderately tight horizontal curves in both directions. The curves can be described as below:

For eastbound traffic:

- RP 0/0.930 - horizontal curve with $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/2.160 - horizontal curve with $65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/3.860 - horizontal curve with $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/4.392 - horizontal curve with $85 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed

For westbound traffic:

- RP 0/0.490 - horizontal curve with $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/1.870 - horizontal curve with $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/3.430 - horizontal curve with $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- This section of SH58 has an upward gradient of $7 \%$ travelling from Manor Park towards Haywards Summit and a downward gradient of about 5\% from Haywards Summit to Moonshine Road.
- The only recorded annual average daily traffic (AADT) volume along this section of SH58 in 2007 was 13,847 vpd west of SH2 (Haywards counts site). The historical traffic growth rate is $2.1 \%$ per annum, relative to the recorded 2007 AADT volumes.
- Hutt City Council and Porirua City Council records indicate that recorded 7-day average daily traffic (ADT) volumes on the local roads that intersect with the Haywards Hill section of SH58 are as follows:
- Hebden Crescent, 498 vpd (September 2002)
- McDougall Grove, 149 vpd (March 2005)
- Hugh Duncan Street, no counts available
- Kaitawa Street, no counts available
- Old Haywards Road, no counts available
- Mt Cecil Road, no counts available
- Harris Roa, 36 vpd (August 2007)
- Moonshine Road, 598 vpd (June 2007)
- The land use along this section is predominantly rural with a small amount of residential and industrial at the eastern end in the form of the Haywards electricity substation and the Dry Creek Quarry.


### 3.1.2 Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui (RP 0/6.28-0/10.00)

The existing characteristics of this section of SH58 are as follows:

- A single carriageway, two-lane, two-way, $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ highway section.
- SH58 has at-grade T-intersections with Mulhern Road, Belmont Road, Bradey Road, at-grade cross-intersection at Murphys/Flightys Road and a roundabout at Paremata Haywards Road (Pauatahanui Roundabout). All the T- and cross-intersections except for Mulhern Road are priority controlled intersections with conventional intersection
layouts (i.e. none of the intersections have 'seagull' T-intersection layouts). The Tintersection with Mulhern Road is uncontrolled.
- The section is moderately windy with some tight horizontal curves. The curves can be described as below:
For eastbound traffic:
- RP 0/7.022 - horizontal curve with $85 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed

For westbound traffic:

- RP 0/6.604 - horizontal curve with $85 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- The section between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui is flat.
- The only recorded annual average daily traffic (AADT) volume along this section of SH58 in 2007 was 13,980 vpd east of Pauatahanui (RP 0/9.14) which is consistent with the traffic volumes east of Moonshine Road. The historical traffic growth rate at the count site is $2.0 \%$ per annum, relative to the recorded 2007 AADT volumes.
- Porirua City Council records indicate that the recorded 7-day ADT volumes on the local roads that intersect with SH58 are as follows:
- Mulhern Road, 219 vpd (June 2007)
- Murphys Road, 272 vpd (June 2007)
- Flightys Road, 357 vpd (June 2007)
- Belmont Road, 123 vpd (July 2007)
- Bradey Road, 124 vpd (June 2007)
- Paremata Haywards Road, no counts available
- The rural land continues along this section although there are also more frequent lifestyle blocks and industrial activities with direct access onto the highway. The Judgeford Golf Course is also located within this section.


### 3.1.3 Pauatahanui to Paremata (RP 0/10.00-0/15.10)

The existing characteristics of this section of SH58 are as follows:

- A single carriageway, two-lane, two-way highway section with $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit between Pauatahanui Roundabout and north of Postgate Drive and $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit from north of Postgate Drive to Paremata.
- SH58 currently has at-grade T-intersections at Joseph Banks Drive, James Cook Drive, Spinnaker Drive, Postgate Drive, Oak Avenue, an at-grade cross-intersection at Seaview/Bayview Road and a roundabout at Paremata Crescent/SH1.
- All the T- and cross-intersections are priority controlled intersections with conventional intersection layouts.
- The section is moderately windy as it traverses the edge of the estuary with some tight horizontal curves. The curves can be described as below:
For eastbound traffic:
- RP $0 / 11.400$ - horizontal curve with $55 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/11.729 - horizontal curve with $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/12.516 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/13.002 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/13.847 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/14.170 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed For westbound traffic:
- RP 0/11.348 - horizontal curve with $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP $0 / 11.765$ - horizontal curve with $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/12.215 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/12.514 - horizontal curve with $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/12.650 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- RP 0/14.050 - horizontal curve with $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ advisory speed
- Majority of the section between Pauatahanui and Paremata is flat except for the section between Bay View Road and SH1 which has an upward gradient of 5\% for about 300 m and then a downward gradient of about $4.4 \%$ for 300 m when travelling towards SHI.
- The traffic volumes between Pauatahanui Roundabout and SH1 increase dramatically from east to west. The recorded AADTs in 2007 increase from 9,236 vpd west of James Cook Drive to 16,703 vpd east of the Paremata Roundabout. The weighted average of the historical traffic growth rates at the count sites between Pauatahanui and Paremata is $1.8 \%$ per annum, relative to the 2007 AADT volumes.
- Porirua City Council records indicate that recorded 7-day ADT volumes on the local roads that intersect with SH58 are as follows:
- Joseph Bank Drive, 1,719 vpd (June 2006)
- James Cook Drive, 4,184 vpd (February 2007)
- Spinnaker Drive, 2,919 vpd (May 2007)
- Postgate Drive, 6,612 vpd(February 2009)
- Oak Avenue, 1,743 vpd (July 2004)
- Seaview Road, no counts available
- Bayview Road, no counts available
- Paremata Crescent, 3,654 vpd (June 2008)
- From Pauatahanui to Postgate Drive the highway traverses the edge of the Pauatahanui Inlet with cliffs on the other side of the road and therefore there is little in the way of land use adjoining the highway. However, residential properties line the majority of the route on both sides of the highway west of Postgate Drive.


### 3.2 Traffic Volumes and Highway Capacity

Recent 7-day traffic counts at each of the count sites along the study length have been analysed and factored to reflect the published 2007 AADT volumes to determine typical weekday commuter peak traffic volumes along the study length.

The forecast traffic volumes were determined primarily though the Greater Wellington Transport Strategy EMME2 model and the NZTA SATURN model. A full description of how the forecast traffic volumes were determined is included in Appendix A.

The timing of those large projects which are included in the RLTP have also been taken into account in the determination of the forecast traffic volumes. Two future years have been
investigated, 2019 and 2029, and the following projects are assumed to be included in these scenarios over and above the current network:

2019 SH2/SH58 Interchange and the Grenada to Gracefield projects
2029 The above projects plus Transmission Gully
The detailed traffic analysis spreadsheets are presented in Appendix C.
Figure 3 to Figure 14 show the variations in the traffic volumes and the highway capacity along the study length, for the typical weekday commuter peaks in 2009 and the predicted weekday peaks in 2019 and 2029 in both the eastbound and westbound directions. The individual figures are as follows:

- Figure 3: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 4: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 5: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 6: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 7: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 8: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 9: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 10: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 11: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 12: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 13: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 14: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak

The details of the current traffic volumes, predicted future traffic demands and current and future levels of service (LOS) for the individual highway sections within the corridor study length are described in the following sub-sections.

Level of Service (LOS) is an index of the operational performance of traffic on a given traffic lane, accommodating various traffic volumes under different combinations of operating conditions. Table 2.1 below outlines the Austroads' Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice Roadway Capacity definitions of LOS.

NZ TRANSPORT AGENCY
WAKA KOTAHI

Table 3.1: Austroads' LOS description

| Level of <br> Service | Austroads' Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | General free flow conditions with operating speeds usually about $90 \%$ of <br> the free flow travel speed for the particular class of arterial. Vehicles are <br> unimpeded in manoeuvring in the traffic stream and stopped delay at <br> intersections is minimal. |
| B | Relatively unimpeded operation with average travel speeds about 70\% of <br> the free flow speed for the particular arterial class. Manoeuvring in the <br> traffic stream is only slightly restricted and stopped delays are low. |
| C | Stable operating conditions but with manoeuvring becoming more <br> restricted and motorist experiencing appreciable tension in driving, <br> longer queues and/or adverse signal coordination may contribute to <br> lower average travel speeds of about 50\% of the free flow speed for the <br> arterial class. |
| D | Conditions border on a range in which small increases in flow can <br> significantly increase intersection delay and reduce travel speed. Travel <br> speeds are about 40\% of the free flow speed. |
| E | Conditions are characterised by significant intersection delays and travel <br> speeds of 33\% of free flow speed or lower. Contributing factors may be: <br> adverse signal progression, closely spaced signals, extensive queuing at <br> critical intersections (ie. saturated intersection conditions). |
| F | Traffic flow at this level is very low speed - below 25\% to 33\% of the free <br> flow speed for the arterial class. Signalised intersections would be <br> severely congested (over-saturated) with extensive queuing and delay. |

The link Level of Service calculations are based on a range of variables. These include:

- Environment (i.e. rural or urban)
- Lane widths
- Shoulder widths
- Terrain
- Percent of heavy vehicles
- Directional distribution
- Passing opportunities

In the graphs below, the upper limit of LOS E has been chosen to reflect the capacity of the highway. Ideally, highway upgrades should occur prior to the traffic volumes in peak periods meeting this capacity figure; however, that this often does not happen. The primary reason for this is affordability. The NZTA National State Highway Strategy states:
"...in some areas the demand will continue to exceed the capacity of the network. It is recognised at a national level that we can't afford to build our way out of congestion, and state highways will not be able to meet peak demand in all instances. So, we must learn to act smarter to fulfil New Zealand's transportation needs. A combination of road building, smart land use planning and measures that manage travel demand is needed."

Whilst this strategy promotes infrastructure upgrades prior to traffic volumes exceeding the capacity of high way sections, it is noted that there are many other factors need to be taken into account in the prioritisation and timing of land transport funding. These are outlined in the NZTA Planning Policy Manual and include the state highway category, scale and frequency of traffic delays, the extent and nature of economic, environmental and social effects, cost effectiveness and consistency with the RLTS. Accordingly, each project will be considered by the NZTA on a case by case basis.

In addition to the above, it is noted that the WTSM and SATURN models include growth information from Statistics New Zealand which is based on historical trends and therefore may not accurately reflect the probable level of future growth in specific areas. However, the total growth over the region is likely to be correct and it is not the purpose of this study to re-examine these growth projections. Nevertheless, this study does recognise that there is a need to monitor the growth around the region to ensure that the State Highway network is being developed in accordance with the actual demand. To this end, it is proposed to monitor this area and update this strategy if necessary. Further discussion on the monitoring strategy is contained in Section 6.


Figure 3: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday AM Peak


Figure 4: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday PM Peak


Figure 5: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday AM Peak


Figure 6: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday PM Peak


Figure 7: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak


Figure 8: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak


Figure 9: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak


Figure 10: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak


Figure 11: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak


Figure 12: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak


Figure 13: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak


Figure 14: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak
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### 3.2.1 Manor Park to Moonshine Road

The section of SH58 between Manor Park and Moonshine Road is currently operating below its capacity, with traffic volumes of 950 vehicles per hour (vph) eastbound and 800 westbound in the weekday morning commuter peak and 750 vph eastbound and 900 vph westbound in the weekday evening commuter peak. This section of SH 58 is currently operating at LOS E during the weekday commuter peak periods, except for the sections with passing lanes which operate at LOS D.

Predicted future traffic demands and highway operating characteristics are as follows:

- In 2019, once the Grenada to Gracefield projects are completed, traffic volumes will have reduced in both directions and in both time periods as some traffic transfers to the new east-west route. This reduction, which is in the order of 50 to 200 vph , results in improvements to the level of service experienced along this corridor.
- The introduction of Transmission Gully results in 2029 traffic volumes increasing again due to the attractiveness of the new highway. In the AM peak westbound and the PM peak eastbound traffic volumes are predicted to be equal to or less than those currently experienced, but in the AM peak eastbound and the PM peak westbound traffic volumes will be greater than 2009 flows. In the AM peak eastbound, the traffic volumes of approximately $1,250 \mathrm{vph}$ will mean that some sections of the route will be operating at capacity. However, in all other situations LOS D or E can be expected.


### 3.2.2 Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui

The section of SH58 between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui is currently operating below its capacity (operating at LOS D or E), with traffic volumes of approximately 950 vph eastbound and 700 vph westbound in the weekday morning commuter peak and 700 vph eastbound and 900 vph westbound in the weekday evening commuter peak.

Predicted future traffic demands and highway operating characteristics are as follows:

- Traffic volumes in 2019 show similar characteristics to the eastern section of SH58, with lower traffic volumes due to the introduction of the Grenada to Gracefield projects.
- By 2029, the commissioning of Transmission Gully sees volumes again increasing. However, this section of SH58 has slightly better capacity which results in this section of highway operating at LOS D or well within LOS E.


### 3.2.3 Pauatahanui to Paremata

The section of SH58 between Pauatahanui and Paremata is currently approaching its capacity, with maximum traffic volumes of approximately $1,350 \mathrm{vph}$ westbound and 400 vph eastbound in the weekday morning commuter peak and $1,350 \mathrm{vph}$ eastbound and 600 vph westbound in the weekday evening commuter peak. This section of SH58 is currently operating at LOS D or E during the weekday commuter peak period.

Predicted future traffic demands and highway operating characteristics are as follows:

- In 2019, once the Grenada to Gracefield projects are completed, traffic volumes reduce slightly but the level of service remains within the same band.
- By 2029, traffic volumes decrease significantly with the introduction of Transmission Gully as drivers travelling to/from Porirua and other destinations on the western coast would use different links to the new highway, including the Kenepuru Link which will be provided with Transmission Gully. The reduced traffic volumes would
result in Level of Service D east of Postgate Drive and between Postgate Drive and SH1 the urban section would be operating at Level of Service C.

One of the other influencing factors for this section is the impact of Greys Road, as this carries a significant amount of traffic between SH58 east of Pauatahanui and SH1 around the northern side of the Pauatahanui inlet. The traffic volumes forecast on SH58 do not include an allowance for any major changes on Greys Road. However, Porirua City Council are considering undertaking traffic calming measures on this link, which may result in additional traffic using this section of SH58. NZTA and Porirua City Council will continue to have ongoing discussions in regards to any work undertaken on these links and the possible impact that any works will have on both roads.

### 3.3 Historic Highway Safety Performance

The NZTA Crash Analysis System (CAS) crash database has been interrogated to identify and analyse crashes and crash trends that have occurred along the SH58 Corridor length.

The reported crashes along SH58 are summarised in the following sub-sections.
Section 0 outlines the projects that are proposed to mitigate a number of the crash problems that are reported in this strategy. In addition NZTA's network managers also continuously review crash data and work to solve emerging crash trends through implementation of minor safety improvements and identification of larger capital projects.

### 3.3.1 Overall Crash Figures

Analysis of the crash data for the 5 -year period from 2004 to 2008 indicates that there are currently an average of about 16 injury crashes, 52 total crashes and crash costs of about $\$ 5.3$ million per annum along the SH58 Corridor.

These annual crash numbers and costs illustrate that there is scope for achieving one of the objectives of the Land Transport Management Act (2003), to improve safety and personal security, along the SH58 Corridor length.

A detailed analysis of crash trends and costs for intersections and mid-block sections is provided in Appendix D. However, a number of observations can be made in regards to the 5 -year crash history over the entire SH58 length.

Over half of all crashes on this stretch of SH 2 were loss-of-control or head-on crashes on bends. This reflects the winding nature of much of the route and the often narrow carriageway.

Less than $30 \%$ of crashes occurred at intersections, with the remainder occurring along midblock sections.

Around a third of all crashes occurred during dark or twilight conditions, and just over a third occurred during wet or icy conditions. These are not inconsistent with national statistics; however a couple of sections do have dark or wet crash rates which are deemed to be high.

Approximately $30 \%$ of all crashes included poor handling and $28 \%$ included poor observation as factors in the crash. $24 \%$ of all crashes involved inappropriate speed.

### 3.3.2 Intersection Crashes

During the 5 -year period from 2004 to 2008 , there have been a total of 95 reported intersection crashes along the SH58 Corridor length, comprising:

- five serious injury crashes,
- 23 minor injury crashes, and
- 67 non-injury crashes.

The reported crashes and total crash costs at the individual intersections along the SH58 Corridor length are illustrated in Figure 15 and Figure 16 below respectively.

Figure 15 shows that the intersection injury crash rates on SH58 near SH2/SH58 interchange and between Spinnaker Drive and Paremata are higher than the other sections of the highway. Figure 15 also illustrates the crash rate west of Pauatahanui roundabout is generally higher than the crash rate east of the roundabout.


Figure 15: SH58 Corridor Intersections - All Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure 16: SH58 Corridor Intersections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)

### 3.3.3 Mid-Block Crashes

During the 5 -year period from 2004 to 2008 , there have been a total of 166 reported mid-block crashes along the SH58 Corridor length, comprising:

- one fatal crash (one fatality),
- eight serious injury crashes,
- 42 minor injury crashes, and
- 115 non-injury crashes.

The reported injury crashes, total crashes, total crash costs and injury crash rates for the mid-block sections along the SH58 Corridor length are illustrated in Figure 17, Figure 18, Figure 19 and Figure 20 below respectively.

In addition to showing the injury crash rates for the individual mid-block sections along the SH58 Corridor length, Figure 20 also illustrates the typical injury crash rates (calculated in accordance with NZTA's (formerly LTNZ's) 'Economic Evaluation Manual, Appendix A6.5) for the following mid-block highway section types:

- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.5 m lanes, 1.0 m shoulders, though rolling/mountainous terrain and occasional passing lanes).
- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.25 m lanes, 2.0 m shoulders).
- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 2.75 m lanes, 0.5 m shoulders), and
- 2-Lane Urban Arterial Highway, with Other Roadside Land-use.


Figure 17: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Injury Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure 18: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - All Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure 19: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)


Figure 20: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Injury Crash Rates (per $10^{8}$ veh-km)

Discussion in regards to the safety issues raised in this section and how they are proposed to be addressed by improvements is presented later in the report.

### 3.4 Route Security

SH58 is subject to a number of different hazard events including extreme weather events (including ice and snow), flooding, spring tides, landslides, and earthquakes.

Flood events can impact on the highway at various locations causing localised impacts. Historically these have occurred around the Pauatahanui Stream and at Duck Creek, amongst others. Spring tides within the Pauatahanui Inlet can also result in the highway needing to be closed.

Landslides can be triggered by high rainfall events or earthquakes. The rolling and mountainous nature of the majority of the route means that landslides and slope failures can result in debris landing on or even blocking the highway.

The Wellington area is highly seismic and subject to occasional intense earthquakes. Earthquake related events can include landslides, soil liquefaction, tsunamis as well as infrastructure collapse due to seismic forces. These events could result in the highway needing to be closed.

The alternative routes for when SH 58 is closed include using SH 1 and SH 2 via Ngauranga, Akatarawa Road (from Upper Hutt to Waikanae) or SH2, 3 and 57 via Palmerston North. However, in times of seismic event these routes may also be closed as they also are at risk of geological hazard. The Petone to Grenada link, once constructed, would also provide another viable alternative route.

## 4 SH58 Corridor Strategic Plan

An internal Transit New Zealand multi-division charette was held to determine the direction of the SH58 Corridor strategic plan. The charette considered the background information and issues and defined the broad strategic plan for the SH58 Corridor.

Consultation has been undertaken with Upper Hutt City Council, Hutt City Council, Porirua City Council, Kapiti Coast District Council and Greater Wellington Regional Council. Information obtained during this consultation, along with specific suggestions that have been received previously from the Councils and the findings of previous studies, have been considered in the development of the final strategic plan.

### 4.1 Discussion on the Strategic Plan

In general, the long-term SH58 Corridor strategic plan can be summarised as follows:

- The strategy assumes the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully will proceed within 10 years.
- Based on these assumptions, SH58 will be retained as a two-lane two-way highway with the current passing lanes.
- All intersections will be at-grade, with the exception of the intersections with SH2 and Transmission Gully which will be grade separated.
- The section between Manor Park and Moonshine Road will be managed as an 80 $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural environment with consideration being given to carriageway widening and a median barrier (with some provision for turning movements) in the long term.
- The section between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui will also be managed as an $80-100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ rural environment with minor safety upgrades in the short term. Long term, this section could become a peri-urban environment and roundabouts for safety will be considered at the Moonshine Road and Flightys Road / Murphys Road intersections in conjunction with reducing the speed limit.
- In the short term, the section between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive will be managed as a $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ peri-urban section and the section from Postgate Drive to Paremata will be managed as a $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ urban highway with controlled access. The long term status of SH58 from Transmission Gully to Paremata will be determined as part of the Transmission Gully project.
- Minor safety works will continue to be undertaken to address specific crash issues that arise during the study period.

The rationale for the form and programme of the proposed improvement works within the strategic plan are discussed for the individual highway sections in the following sub-sections. The details of the proposed short term (within 5 years), medium term (5 to 10 years) and long term (10 to 20 years) implementation plans for the strategic plan are provided in Section 4.2 below, with a map of the proposed improvements attached in Appendix E.

### 4.1.1 Manor Park to Moonshine Road

The section of SH58 between Manor Park and Moonshine Road is currently operating below capacity. In 2019, and assuming the Grenada to Gracefield projects are constructed, traffic volumes will decrease in comparison to the current situation. However, by 2029 and the completion of Transmission Gully, the traffic volumes will again increase but will remain well below the theoretical capacity in all time periods, except eastbound in the AM peak, and therefore some capacity improvements will need to be considered at this location.

The $\mathrm{SH} 2 / \mathrm{SH} 58$ intersection is a capacity issue that is programmed to be addressed. A grade separated interchange is currently proposed at this location to replace the traffic signals. This will comprise ramps from SH 2 to an elevated roundabout structure which will connect into SH58, Hebden Crescent, McDougall Grove and Manor Park Road. This interchange is currently programmed for construction from 2010/11.

In addition to the interchange, a couple of capacity improvements are proposed to ensure that this route continues to operate efficiently. The narrow road width which, in some locations, is reducing the theoretical capacity of the route will be increased to provide wider shoulders. This will also assist in improving the safety of those narrow sections. Furthermore, the two westbound lanes proposed as part of the $\mathrm{SH} 2 / 58$ interchange will be extended to join into the current Haywards Hill passing lane to provide two continuous lanes to the summit

The section from Manor Park to Moonshine Road has a relatively low crash rate overall. However, the curves around the Dry Creek Quarry and the Hayward substation have crash rates higher than expected. Due to the curvilinear nature of the highway and the potential for cross-centreline and head-on crashes, some long term improvements are proposed to extend the existing Wire Rope Median Barrier to Hugh Duncan Drive in the east and to Moonshine Road in the west. Turning movements would be rationalised to upgraded facilities at Haywards Substation, Mt Cecil Road and Harris Road. Realignments for safety at the Hayward substation will be considered as part of the median barrier extension, while the
curves at Dry Creek Quarry will be addressed as part of the $\mathrm{SH} 2 / \mathrm{SH} 58$ Grade Separated Interchange works.

### 4.1.2 Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui

The Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui section of the route is currently operating well below capacity and is not expected to reach capacity for at least 20 years.

However, the mid block crash rates on this section are higher than the typical rates for this type of highway, in part due to the higher level of access which this section affords. Accordingly, the short term strategy is to undertake minor safety improvements such as seal widening and intersection upgrades to address specific crash issues.

The long term strategy for this section of SH58 is to give consideration to constructing roundabouts at key intersections to appropriately manage turning movements and driver speeds. Depending on future crash trends and the level of turning movements, rural roundabouts will be considered at Moonshine Road and Murphys Road / Flightys Road in addition to the existing roundabout at Pauatahanui. This section will continue to be monitored in relation to the level of access and the number of turning movements. Should these significantly increase, a flush median between the roundabouts will be considered in conjunction with reducing the speed limit.

The Transmission Gully route crosses SH58 between Bradey Road and the Pauatahanui Roundabout. As part of the Transmission Gully project, a roundabout is proposed at this location to connect SH58 to the Transmission Gully on- and off-ramps.

### 4.1.3 Pauatahanui to Paremata

Some sections of SH58 between the Pauatahanui roundabout and the Paremata roundabout on SH 1 are currently approaching capacity. However, the forecast traffic volumes assuming increased development but also the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully are well within the capacity of the highway. Nevertheless, the longer term strategy for this section is being investigated as part of the Transmission Gully project.

While the mid-block crash rates are not inconsistent with what could be expected for this type of highway, there has been a significant number of crashes occurring at many of the intersections along this route.

The constrained environment through which this highway traverses means that large scale improvements for capacity and safety would come at considerable expense. Accordingly, the short to medium term strategy seeks to make best use of the existing highway. This will primarily involve rationalising turning movements at the Spinnaker Drive intersection and installation of a roundabout at the Postgate Drive intersection to improve safety. These improvements will result in a safer and more efficient stretch of highway.

### 4.2 Details of the Strategic Plan

The proposed implementation plan for the SH58 Corridor is presented in Table 4.1 and Figure 21 to Figure 23 below.

The rationale for the form and programme of the proposed improvement works within the strategic plan are discussed in Section 4.1 (and sub-sections) above.

Table 4.1: SH58 Corridor -Implementation Plan

| Improvement Works | Indicative Timing <br> for Construction |
| :--- | :---: |
| Proposed Large Projects <br> - SH2 / SH58 Grade Separated Interchange |  |
| Proposed Small and Medium Projects: |  |
| - Extension of uphill passing lane | $0-5$ years |
| - Haywards to Moonshine Seal Widening | $10-15$ years |
| - Hayward Substation Curves Realignment | $10-15$ years |
| - Haywards Summit to Moonshine Road Median Barrier | $15-20$ years |
| - Moonshine Road Roundabout | $15-20$ years |
| - Moonshine to Pauatahanui Minor Safety Improvements | $0-5$ years |
| - Flightys Road / Murphys Road Roundabout | $15-20$ years |
| - Postgate Drive Roundabout | 2010 |
| - Spinnaker Drive intersection treatment | $2010 / 11$ |

It is recommended that the investigation stages of the above projects be undertaken as early as possible to more accurately determine the appropriate construction timing.

In addition to the proposed projects above, minor safety works will be undertaken throughout the strategy period to continuously improve the safety of road users on this highway.


Aerial Photography: LINZ 2004/2005
Figure 21: Proposed Improvements - Manor Park to Moonshine Road


Aerial Photography: LINZ 2004/2005
Figure 22: Proposed Improvements -Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui


Aerial Photography: LINZ 2004/2005
Figure 23: Proposed Improvements -Pauatahanui to Paremata

## 5 SH58 Corridor Improvements - Project Feasibility

Project Feasibility Reports (PFRs) have been undertaken for each of the proposed future improvement works within the SH58 Corridor strategic plan.

The indicative cost and the NZTA "Funding Assessment Profile" for each of the proposed improvement works are summarised in Table 5.1 below.

Table 5.1: SH58 Corridor - Project Feasibility for Proposed Works

| Improvement Works | Indicative Cost | Funding Assessment Profile* ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I Investigate <br> $\$$ $<5 \mathrm{M}$ <br> $\$ \$$ $5-20 \mathrm{M}$ <br> \$\$\$ $20-100 \mathrm{M}$ <br> \$\$\$ $100+\mathrm{M}$ |  | y d d U U ¢ |  |
| Proposed Large Projects: <br> - SH2 / SH58 Grade Separated Interchange | \$\$\$ | H | M | M |
| Proposed Small and Medium Projects: <br> - Extension of uphill passing lane <br> - Haywards to Moonshine Seal Widening <br> - Hayward Substation Curves Realignment <br> - Haywards Summit to Moonshine Road Median Barrier (including turn around facilities) <br> - Moonshine Road Roundabout <br> - Flightys Road / Murphys Road Roundabout <br> - Postgate Drive Roundabout <br> - Spinnaker Drive intersection treatment | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \$ \$ \\ \$ \\ \$ \$ \\ \$ \\ \$ \\ \$ \\ \$ \end{gathered}$ | $M$ $M$ $M$ $M$ $M$ $M$ $M$ | M $M$ $M$ $M$ $M$ | L L M L L L L H H |

*Where a funding assessment profile for a project has not been approved by NZTA, the generic funding profile from the draft amendment to NZTA's Planning Programming and Funding Manual (dated 3 June 2009) has been used.

## 6 Monitoring

It is acknowledged that there are a number of assumptions in this strategy which have helped determine the types and timings of projects to be undertaken. If one or more of these assumptions do not eventuate then the strategy may need to be revisited to ensure the recommendations are still appropriate and robust.

In order to ensure that these reviews are undertaken, a monitoring strategy is proposed with a number of trigger points that will initiate consideration of whether the strategy needs to be reviewed. For the SH58 Strategic Study, these trigger points are as follows:

- Once the 2011 update of the Wellington Transport Strategy Model has been undertaken, which will include updated growth information around the region;
- Once construction timelines have been confirmed for the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully;
- Once the Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy has been updated; and
- If significant changes in traffic volumes are experienced on the State Highway or intersecting local roads in comparison to the forecast traffic volumes used in this strategy. This is particularly important if significant changes are made to Greys Road and need to be revisited once the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully are constructed.


## Appendix A: Traffic Analysis File Note

This appendix includes a file note outlining how the forecast traffic volumes were determined for both the SH2 and SH58 corridors.
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## 1 Introduction

This document outlines how the forecast traffic volumes in the SH2 and SH58 Strategic Studies were determined.

When the SH2 Strategic Study was first prepared, neither the Wellington Transport Strategy Model (WTSM) or the SATURN model were developed sufficiently to enable traffic forecasts from these to be used to predict future traffic volumes on SH 2 or SH 58 . Accordingly, the future demands on these routes were predicted by determining the historical traffic growth from NZTA count sites along the study lengths, then reducing these slightly to account for additional uptake in public transport and implementation of travel demand management measures in future years.

With the recent push to complete the strategic studies, this approach was retained. However, Greater Wellington, in reviewing the documents, requested that the future demand be based on WTSM forecasts, as this takes account of the changing land use, population and employment projections, public transport, fuel price and the capacity of the transport network.

MWH therefore requested WTSM model outputs from Greater Wellington to assist in the development of the strategy. Subsequently, SATURN model outputs were also obtained. It is important to note that no new trip matrices or networks were created as part of this project. Instead, the most appropriate existing matrices and networks were used to forecast traffic volumes,

## 2 WTSM Model Outputs

Four different model outputs were obtained from Greater Wellington; these were:
2006 Base. The committed projects in this run included the Inner City Bypass.
2016 Committed projects only (i.e. 2006 network above plus Dowse to Petone). This assumed the GW medium growth option (equivalent to around $1.8 \%$ p.a.), a $20 \%$ increase in public transport fares (from 2006) and a 20\% increase in fuel price (from 2006).
2016 Committed projects plus Petone to Grenada and Transmission Gully. This also assumed medium growth, a 20\% increase in public transport fares (from 2006) and a $20 \%$ increase in fuel price (from 2006).
2026 Committed projects plus Petone to Grenada and Transmission Gully. This assumed medium growth, a 20\% increase in public transport fares (from 2006) and a $20 \%$ increase in fuel price (from 2006).

It is noted that the 20\% increase in PT fares and fuel prices has not been altered between the 2016 and 2026 model runs. This means that no further increases in public transport fares or fuel prices have been assumed in this ten year period. It should also be noted that there are no network changes in any of these scenarios to SH1 through Ngauranga Gorge, SH2 Petone-Ngauranga or SH1 Ngauranga to Aotea Quay.

The outputs of these scenarios were provided by means of pdf network plans for the AM, IP and PM periods with traffic volumes adjacent to the links. These outputs are available on request, but a summary of the traffic volumes are presented in Attachment 1.

The model outputs show that there are a number of locations were traffic volumes are forecast to decrease over time. While some of these are due to network changes (e.g. Dowse to Petone and Grenada to Gracefield), at many locations, network changes cannot explain the decrease. This is especially true for the period 2016 to 2026. Static traffic volumes could be explained by the network being at capacity, such as a bottle neck at Ngauranga Interchange. As mentioned above, the tidal flow lanes proposed between Ngauranga and Aotea have not been included in the modelling runs that we received. GW's comments on this subject are as follows:

> In terms of the tidal flow lane south of Ngauranga, we have modelled the impact of this, but not to any level of detail. For example, the strategic model does not model merge delay (although we are working on this). The merge is represented by a reduction in lane capacity upstream and downstream of the bottleneck. We have added the extra lane south, and this has shown to move traffic from the Old Hutt Road to SH1, thus allowing a bus lane on the Old Hutt Road. The Ngauranga-Airport work that was undertaken did not show significant increases in traffic upstream when the merge was removed - however this is probably more due to the limitations of the model with regards to merges modelling, which is typically why a traffic model would be used.

However, this does not explain the reduction in traffic volumes in future years. Other variables which could result in reduced traffic volumes are demographic forecasts in the Hutt Valley, fuel price assumptions and public transport improvements. These are discussed in turn below.

In the medium growth scenario adopted, the population in the Hutt Valley is expected to grow by around 3\% from 2006 to 2016, households will grow by a faster rate due to the ongoing trend of fewer people per household, but employment is expected to increase by $15 \%$. This additional employment keeps some trips internal to the area meaning fewer trips on SH 2 .

The assumed $20 \%$ increase in fuel price will have a significant impact on the traffic volumes. According to Greater Wellington, they believe this could reduce the demand on the state highway corridor by around 6-8\%.

The proposed improvements to public transport also draw trips away from the state highway network. Between 2006 and 2016 base networks, although road volumes remain constant, southbound public transport trips increase by about 9\%.

Nevertheless, while these assumptions may be valid, we still felt uncomfortable with a decrease in traffic volumes on State Highway 2. Accordingly, we requested model runs from the SATURN model which was recently expanded to model the effect of likely SH2 interchange upgrades at Melling and Kennedy Good.

## 3 SATURN Model Outputs

The SATURN model is based on WTSM trip matrices and therefore the GW strategic assumptions will still be inherent in any outputs. However, SATURN enables better modelling of the localised network, specifically in regards to network improvements and hence outputs should be more accurate. Furthermore, the scenarios in terms of which projects are included in the future years are more aligned in the SATURN runs than in the WTSM runs.

Another key point of difference is the fuel price assumptions in future years; while the WTSM outputs assume a 20\% increase in fuel price between 2006 and 2016 and no further increase between 2016 and 2026, the SATURN model assumes a $10 \%$ increase in the first ten year periods and an additional $10 \%$ increase in the second ten year period.

SKM provided us with a number of different network scenarios to assist us in requesting model outputs. These are included in Attachment 2, The network options basically vary in respect to the timing of Melling, KGB, Grenada to Gracefield, Transmission Gully and the SH58 roundabouts. SKM also gave us the option of applying a different trip matrix on a specified network.

The previous process used to forecast travel demand was based on historic traffic growth and did not take into account the capacity of the highway. This enabled us to then determine what improvements would be required and when they should be implemented to assist in meeting this demand. Using modelling outputs has meant that capacity restrictions do have an impact on traffic volumes and hence some network improvements need to be assumed for future years, especially outside of the study area, to ensure that future traffic volumes are realistic. To this end, the Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12 has been used to determine the timing of future projects. This may be seen to be predetermining the outcome of the strategic studies, particularly for those projects wholly contained within the corridors under investigation, such as the 2/58, Melling and KGB interchanges. However, these particular projects are at locations which are known to be significant capacity restrictions and the capacity analysis undertaken as part of the studies proves that they will be required at, or before, the time that they are programmed.

The assumptions, based on the RLTP, are therefore as follows:
2009 Current Network excluding Dowse-Petone
2019 Dowse-Petone
SH2/58 Interchange
Melling Interchange
Kennedy Good Interchange
Grenada to Gracefield projects
Ngauranga to Aotea Tidal Flow
2029 Transmission Gully
Accordingly, we requested the following model outputs:
2006 Base. Does not include the Dowse-Petone interchange but does include the Inner City Bypass.
2016 Trip Matrix on 2026 Test 58 Network. This includes projects such as Melling, KGB, 2/58, Ngauranga to Aotea and Grenada to Gracefield projects.
2026 Trip Matrix on 2026 Test 66 Network. This includes all projects above plus Transmission Gully and the SH58 roundabouts.

The model outputs for a number of other scenarios were also received; however the above three were determined to most closely replicate the likely timing of future projects. The outputs of all scenarios as received from SKM are shown in Attachment 3

Although both models are based on the same trip matrices, the SATURN outputs do show significant differences in relation to the forecast traffic volumes when compared to WTSM. In summary, this is likely to be primarily due to the projects assumed in the model runs and the fuel price increase assumed. SATURN is also likely to be more accurate than WTSM in regards to the network impacts due to both the model type and model processes. In addition, WTSM outputs two-hour flows whereas SATURN outputs peak hour flows which may mean that some peak effects could be reported differently.

We consider that the SATURN outputs give a more realistic indication of the likely changes in traffic flows in the coming years.

## 4 Methodology for Forecasting Traffic Volumes

Both transport models use 2006 as a base year and have 2016 and 2026 as future years. However, the SH2 and SH58 Strategy documents have 2009 as the base year with 2019 and 2029 for future years. Traffic volumes for the 2009 base year have been determined using recent TMS count data then escalating based on historic traffic growth rates if required. As this is the most accurate information in relation to the traffic volumes that are currently occurring on the highways, we have continued to use this data rather than the 2006 base network model outputs.

By adopting the 'actual' traffic volumes for 2009, and needing 2019 and 2029 as future years, using the 2016 and 2026 traffic model outputs would not give accurate forecast information. Accordingly, we have instead calculated the percentage growth between the SATURN model traffic volume outputs and applied this to the 2009 'actual' traffic flows. This then provides us with a much better indicator of flows in the studies' future years. To clarify:

To calculate the 2019 flows we determined the percentage growth between the 2006 Base and 2016 SATURN outputs and applied this percentage increase to the 2009 'actual' flows.
To calculate the 2029 flows we determined the percentage growth between the 2016 and 2026 SATURN outputs and applied this percentage increase to the 2019 calculated flows.

This gave us future flows for both SH58 and SH2 from Ngauranga to Silverstream. However, as the SATURN model does not extend north past Silverstream, some additional calculation of future traffic volumes was needed for the section of SH2 between Silverstream and Maoribank.

One option for this section was to use the WTSM outputs to calculate the percentage growth; however, as already shown, we believe this may underestimate the future traffic volumes. We therefore wanted a way to encapsulate the increased traffic flows that were likely to occur.

To do this, the percentage growth between future years was plotted for both the SATURN model outputs and the WTSM outputs. There were some significant differences around the Dowse to Melling section, primarily because of the differing assumptions in relation to the Dowse-Petone and Grenada to Gracefield projects. However, between Melling and Silverstream, the percentage growth from 2006 to 2016 as shown by the SATURN model was shown as being greater than that output from the WTSM model. For each direction and period, this difference in growth was averaged and the absolute percentage difference was added onto the WTSM growth percentage. For example, in the northbound PM peak, the average percentage growth between Melling and Silverstream from 2006 to 2016 in the WTSM outputs is $-1 \%$, but for the SATURN model it is $3 \%$. Therefore, 4 percentage points were then added to the growth rates output from WTSM for each section north of Silverstream, so that, for example, the $10 \%$ growth between Moonshine Road and Gibbons Street was escalated to $14 \%$. As this process is best shown graphically, graphs are provided in Attachment 4.

## $5 \quad$ Traffic Volumes used in Strategic Studies

Attachment 5 contains the spreadsheets which show both the 2009 'actual' flows, the flows from the SATURN models, growth percentages used, and the 2019 and 2029 future flows which are input into the spreadsheet and graphs shown in Appendix A of the Strategic Studies.

A final reality check was undertaken of the graphs of demand versus capacity (Section 3.2 and Appendix A of the Strategic Studies) using the traffic forecasts using the above methodology and altered capacity values to take account of network changes. All graphs appear to show sensible outputs.

## Attachment 1: Summary of WTSM outputs

State Highway 2 - Note Volume is for $\mathbf{2}$ hour period

| 2006 Base |  | 2006 AM |  |  |  | 2006 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Southbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| Ngauranga Gorge | SH2 | 4750 | N/A | 3070 | N/A | 3770 | N/A | 5450 | N/A |
| SH2 | Petone Interchange | 7980 | N/A | 5570 | N/A | 6420 | N/A | 7840 | N/A |
| Petone Interchange | Korokoro Road | 4910 | N/A | 2150 | N/A | 3660 | N/A | 4070 | N/A |
| Korokoro Road | Dowse Drive | 4820 | N/A | 2280 | N/A | 3030 | N/A | 4300 | N/A |
| Dowse Drive | Melling | 4420 | N/A | 2330 | N/A | 2960 | N/A | 3970 | N/A |
| Melling | Block Road | 3320 | N/A | 1220 | N/A | 1450 | N/A | 2820 | N/A |
| Block Road | Grounsell Crescent | 4100 | N/A | 1530 | N/A | 1880 | N/A | 4090 | N/A |
| Grounsell Crescent | Fairway Drive | 3570 | N/A | 1540 | N/A | 1830 | N/A | 3680 | N/A |
| Fairway Drive | SH2/SH58 | 3450 | N/A | 2040 | N/A | 2330 | N/A | 3150 | N/A |
| SH2/SH58 | Fergusson Drive | 3500 | N/A | 2180 | N/A | 2520 | N/A | 3370 | N/A |
| Fergusson Drive | Moonshine Road | 2550 | N/A | 1830 | N/A | 2130 | N/A | 2410 | N/A |
| Moonshine Road | Whakatiti Street | 2130 | N/A | 1580 | N/A | 1940 | N/A | 2040 | N/A |
| Whakatiti Street | Gibbons Street | 1640 | N/A | 1300 | N/A | 1640 | N/A | 1570 | N/A |
| Gibbons Street | Totara Park Road | 1750 | N/A | 1170 | N/A | 1380 | N/A | 1590 | N/A |
| Totara Park Road | Fergusson Drive | 1210 | N/A | 950 | N/A | 1100 | N/A | 1110 | N/A |
| Fergusson Drive | Akatarawa Road | 2170 | N/A | 1500 | N/A | 1780 | N/A | 2190 | N/A |
| Akatarawa Road | Norana Road | 840 | N/A | 880 | N/A | 880 | N/A | 760 | N/A |
| Norana Road |  | 860 | N/A | 950 | N/A | 930 | N/A | 800 | N/A |
| 2016 Committed |  | Southbound AM |  | AM |  | PM |  |  |  |
| Growth compared to 2006 Base |  |  |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northbound |  |
|  |  | Volume Growth |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| Ngauranga Gorge | SH2 | 4740 | 0\% | 3400 | 11\% | 4050 | 7\% | 5330 | -2\% |
| SH2 | Petone Interchange | 8090 | 1\% | 6330 | 14\% | 7090 | 10\% | 7990 | 2\% |
| Petone Interchange | Korokoro Road | 5570 | 13\% | 4110 | 91\% | 4290 | 17\% | 5740 | 41\% |
| Korokoro Road | Dowse Drive | 5570 | 16\% | 3750 | 64\% | 4290 | 42\% | 5760 | 34\% |
| Dowse Drive | Melling | 4170 | -6\% | 2410 | 3\% | 2930 | -1\% | 4160 | 5\% |
| Melling | Block Road | 3160 | -5\% | 1250 | 2\% | 1450 | 0\% | 3150 | 12\% |
| Block Road | Grounsell Crescent | 4070 | -1\% | 1620 | 6\% | 1970 | 5\% | 4070 | 0\% |
| Grounsell Crescent | Fairway Drive | 3550 | -1\% | 1630 | 6\% | 1900 | 4\% | 3630 | -1\% |
| Fairway Drive | SH2/SH58 | 3470 | 1\% | 2070 | 1\% | 2330 | 0\% | 3200 | 2\% |
| SH2/SH58 | Fergusson Drive | 3360 | -4\% | 2270 | 4\% | 2580 | 2\% | 3300 | -2\% |
| Fergusson Drive | Moonshine Road | 2550 | 0\% | 1700 | -7\% | 2310 | 8\% | 2210 | -8\% |
| Moonshine Road | Whakatiti Street | 2180 | 2\% | 1810 | 15\% | 2160 | 11\% | 2240 | 10\% |
| Whakatiti Street | Gibbons Street | 1710 | 4\% | 1330 | 2\% | 1880 | 15\% | 1590 | 1\% |
| Gibbons Street | Totara Park Road | 1860 | 6\% | 1230 | 5\% | 1510 | 9\% | 1700 | 7\% |
| Totara Park Road | Fergusson Drive | 1330 | 10\% | 990 | 4\% | 1270 | 15\% | 1230 | 11\% |
| Fergusson Drive | Akatarawa Road | 2350 | 8\% | 1630 | 9\% | 2000 | 12\% | 2390 | 9\% |
| Akatarawa Road | Norana Road | 870 | 4\% | 980 | 11\% | 1080 | 23\% | 890 | 17\% |
| Norana Road |  | 900 | 5\% | 1050 | 11\% | 1150 | 24\% | 940 | 18\% |
| 2016 Committed plus TG and G-G |  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| Growth compared to 2006 Base |  | Southbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| Ngauranga Gorge | SH2 | 5480 | 15\% | 3370 | 10\% | 4140 | 10\% | 5910 | 8\% |
| SH2 | Petone Interchange | 7580 | -5\% | 4360 | -22\% | 5170 | -19\% | 7440 | -5\% |
| Petone Interchange | Korokoro Road | 7040 | 43\% | 4810 | 124\% | 5250 | 43\% | 7550 | 86\% |
| Korokoro Road | Dowse Drive | 7040 | 46\% | 4320 | 89\% | 5250 | 73\% | 6970 | 62\% |
| Dowse Drive | Melling | 5260 | 19\% | 2650 | 14\% | 3310 | 12\% | 4900 | 23\% |
| Melling | Block Road | 3730 | 12\% | 1280 | 5\% | 1670 | 15\% | 3630 | 29\% |
| Block Road | Grounsell Crescent | 4340 | 6\% | 1640 | 7\% | 1920 | 2\% | 4770 | 17\% |
| Grounsell Crescent | Fairway Drive | 3770 | 6\% | 1620 | 5\% | 1820 | -1\% | 4290 | 17\% |
| Fairway Drive | SH2/SH58 | 3480 | 1\% | 1960 | -4\% | 2080 | -11\% | 3400 | 8\% |
| SH2/SH58 | Fergusson Drive | 3630 | 4\% | 2520 | 16\% | 2700 | 7\% | 3580 | 6\% |
| Fergusson Drive | Moonshine Road | 2570 | 1\% | 1800 | -2\% | 2340 | 10\% | 2360 | -2\% |
| Moonshine Road | Whakatiti Street | 2240 | 5\% | 1910 | 21\% | 2200 | 13\% | 2380 | 17\% |
| Whakatiti Street | Gibbons Street | 1740 | 6\% | 1390 | 7\% | 1900 | 16\% | 1670 | 6\% |
| Gibbons Street | Totara Park Road | 1870 | 7\% | 1260 | 8\% | 1570 | 14\% | 1750 | 10\% |
| Totara Park Road | Fergusson Drive | 1320 | 9\% | 1020 | 7\% | 1330 | 21\% | 1260 | 14\% |
| Fergusson Drive | Akatarawa Road | 2360 | 9\% | 1640 | 9\% | 2010 | 13\% | 2410 | 10\% |
| Akatarawa Road | Norana Road | 900 | 7\% | 1000 | 14\% | 1090 | 24\% | 910 | 20\% |
| Norana Road |  | 930 | 8\% | 1070 | 13\% | 1160 | 25\% | 960 | 20\% |
|  |  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| 2026 Committed plus TG and G-G <br> Growth compared to 2016 + TG \& G-G |  | Southbound |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Southbound <br> Volume Growth |  | Volume | Growth |
| Ngauranga Gorge | SH2 | 5310 | -3\% | 3800 | 13\% | 4530 | 9\% | 5660 | -4\% |
| SH2 | Petone Interchange | 7570 | 0\% | 4940 | 13\% | 5720 | 11\% | 7470 | 0\% |
| Petone Interchange | Korokoro Road | 6940 | -1\% | 5530 | 15\% | 5780 | 10\% | 7700 | 2\% |
| Korokoro Road | Dowse Drive | 6940 | -1\% | 5000 | 16\% | 5780 | 10\% | 7050 | 1\% |
| Dowse Drive | Melling | 5120 | -3\% | 3050 | 15\% | 3650 | 10\% | 4720 | -4\% |
| Melling | Block Road | 3470 | -7\% | 1510 | 18\% | 1860 | 11\% | 3290 | -9\% |
| Block Road | Grounsell Crescent | 4110 | -5\% | 1950 | 19\% | 2130 | 11\% | 4640 | -3\% |
| Grounsell Crescent | Fairway Drive | 3560 | -6\% | 1910 | 18\% | 2040 | 12\% | 4190 | -2\% |
| Fairway Drive | SH2/SH58 | 3370 | -3\% | 2340 | 19\% | 2270 | 9\% | 3460 | 2\% |
| SH2/SH58 | Fergusson Drive | 3480 | -4\% | 3030 | 20\% | 3030 | 12\% | 3600 | 1\% |
| Fergusson Drive | Moonshine Road | 2580 | 0\% | 2140 | 19\% | 2600 | 11\% | 2450 | 4\% |
| Moonshine Road | Whakatiti Street | 2200 | -2\% | 2260 | 18\% | 2410 | 10\% | 2490 | 5\% |
| Whakatiti Street | Gibbons Street | 1720 | -1\% | 1740 | 25\% | 2140 | 13\% | 1780 | 7\% |
| Gibbons Street | Totara Park Road | 1850 | -1\% | 1580 | 25\% | 1870 | 19\% | 1850 | 6\% |
| Totara Park Road | Fergusson Drive | 1330 | 1\% | 1340 | 31\% | 1640 | 23\% | 1380 | 10\% |
| Fergusson Drive | Akatarawa Road | 2420 | 3\% | 2080 | 27\% | 2430 | 21\% | 2590 | 7\% |
| Akatarawa Road | Norana Road | 1050 | 17\% | 1390 | 39\% | 1460 | 34\% | 1100 | 21\% |
| Norana Road |  | 1130 | 22\% | 1480 | 38\% | 1560 | 34\% | 1170 | 22\% |

State Highway 58 - Note Volume is for $\mathbf{2}$ hour period

| 2006 Base |  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| SH2/SH58 | Old Haywards Hill Roar | 1540 | N/A | 1630 | N/A | 1600 | N/A | 1620 | N/A |
| Old Haywards Hill Roar TG Interchange |  | 1450 | N/A | 1590 | N/A | 1510 | N/A | 1540 | N/A |
| TG Interchange | Pauatahanui Roundabı | 1450 | N/A | 1590 | N/A | 1510 | N/A | 1540 | N/A |
| Pauatahanui Roundabı Joesph Banks Drive |  | 1180 | N/A | 1140 | N/A | 1040 | N/A | 1250 | N/A |
| Joesph Banks Drive | James Cook Drive | 1060 | N/A | 860 | N/A | 820 | N/A | 1070 | N/A |
| James Cook Drive | Spinnaker Drive | 1610 | N/A | 1040 | N/A | 1190 | N/A | 1620 | N/A |
| Spinnaker Drive | Postgate Drive | 1530 | N/A | 1120 | N/A | 900 | N/A | 1630 | N/A |
| Postgate Drive | Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | 1670 | N/A | 1100 | N/A | 1040 | N/A | 1790 | N/A |
| Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | SH1 to SH58 off ramp | 1490 | N/A | 1140 | N/A | 830 | N/A | 2020 | N/A |
| SH1 to SH58 off ramp | Paremata Roundabout | 1490 | N/A | 810 | N/A | 830 | N/A | 1440 | N/A |
| 2016 Committed |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth compared to 2006 Base |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| SH2/SH58 | Old Haywards Hill Roar | 1450 | -6\% | 1770 | 9\% | 1660 | 4\% | 1510 | -7\% |
| Old Haywards Hill Roar $T$ | (TG Interchange | 1340 | -8\% | 1700 | 7\% | 1540 | 2\% | 1430 | -7\% |
| TG Interchange | Pauatahanui Roundabs | 1340 | -8\% | 1700 | 7\% | 1540 | 2\% | 1430 | -7\% |
| Pauatahanui RoundabıJo | Joesph Banks Drive | 1150 | -3\% | 1250 | 10\% | 1100 | 6\% | 1260 | 1\% |
| Joesph Banks Drive | James Cook Drive | 1010 | -5\% | 920 | 7\% | 820 | 0\% | 1060 | -1\% |
| James Cook Drive | Spinnaker Drive | 1620 | 1\% | 1130 | 9\% | 1230 | 3\% | 1650 | 2\% |
| Spinnaker Drive | Postgate Drive | 1540 | 1\% | 1190 | 6\% | 910 | 1\% | 1630 | 0\% |
| Postgate Drive | Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | 1690 | 1\% | 1210 | 10\% | 1060 | 2\% | 1800 | 1\% |
| Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | SH1 to SH58 off ramp | 1500 | 1\% | 1260 | 11\% | 840 | 1\% | 1950 | -3\% |
| SH1 to SH58 off ramp | Paremata Roundabout | 1500 | 1\% | 890 | 10\% | 840 | 1\% | 1380 | -4\% |
| 2016 Committed plus TG and G-G |  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| Growth compared to 2006 Base |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| SH2/SH58 | Old Haywards Hill Roar | 1310 | -15\% | 1700 | 4\% | 1760 | 10\% | 1320 | -19\% |
| Old Haywards Hill Roar | TG Interchange | 1200 | -17\% | 1630 | 3\% | 1630 | 8\% | 1240 | -19\% |
| TG Interchange | Pauatahanui Roundabr | 560 | -61\% | 900 | -43\% | 840 | -44\% | 750 | -51\% |
| Pauatahanui Roundabı | Joesph Banks Drive | 360 | -69\% | 640 | -44\% | 550 | -47\% | 550 | -56\% |
| Joesph Banks Drive | James Cook Drive | 230 | -78\% | 360 | -58\% | 290 | -65\% | 370 | -65\% |
| James Cook Drive | Spinnaker Drive | 560 | -65\% | 540 | -48\% | 520 | -56\% | 740 | -54\% |
| Spinnaker Drive | Postgate Drive | 480 | -69\% | 610 | -46\% | 400 | -56\% | 680 | -58\% |
| Postgate Drive | Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | 580 | -65\% | 690 | -37\% | 490 | -53\% | 830 | -54\% |
| Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | SH1 to SH58 off ramp | 420 | -72\% | 790 | -31\% | 310 | -63\% | 1160 | -43\% |
| SH1 to SH58 off ramp | Paremata Roundabout | 420 | -72\% | 540 | -33\% | 310 | -63\% | 750 | -48\% |
| 2026 Committed plus TG and G-G |  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| Growth compared to 2016 + TG \& G-G |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  |
|  |  | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth | Volume | Growth |
| SH2/SH58 | Old Haywards Hill Roar | 1330 | 2\% | 1900 | 12\% | 1960 | 11\% | 1330 | 1\% |
| Old Haywards Hill Roar | TG Interchange | 1190 | -1\% | 1820 | 12\% | 1810 | 11\% | 1250 | 1\% |
| TG Interchange | Pauatahanui Roundabı | 590 | 5\% | 950 | 6\% | 880 | 5\% | 760 | 1\% |
| Pauatahanui Roundabı | Joesph Banks Drive | 380 | 6\% | 690 | 8\% | 590 | 7\% | 550 | 0\% |
| Joesph Banks Drive | James Cook Drive | 240 | 4\% | 380 | 6\% | 300 | 3\% | 370 | 0\% |
| James Cook Drive | Spinnaker Drive | 590 | 5\% | 580 | 7\% | 560 | 8\% | 750 | 1\% |
| Spinnaker Drive | Postgate Drive | 510 | 6\% | 660 | 8\% | 430 | 8\% | 700 | 3\% |
| Postgate Drive | Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | 620 | 7\% | 770 | 12\% | 530 | 8\% | 850 | 2\% |
| Ivey Bay Ped Underpas | SH1 to SH58 off ramp | 440 | 5\% | 880 | 11\% | 330 | 6\% | 1170 | 1\% |
| SH1 to SH58 off ramp | Paremata Roundabout | 440 | 5\% | 580 | 7\% | 330 | 6\% | 740 | -1\% |

## Attachment 2: SATURN Networks

| MELLING DM | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Dowse interchange; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| SH2/58 upgrade; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Melling interchange upgrade; | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Takapu Valley Road; | x | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| MacKay's Crossing; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Bing Lucas Drive; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Petone interchange; and | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| SH1 widening south of Ngauranga. | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Cross Valley link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Helston south facing ramps | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Transmission Gully | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| SH58 roundabouts (seven locations) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DM=Test 58 |  |  | With TG=Test 66 |  |  |
| TG | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 | 2006 | 2016 | 2026 |
| Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Dowse interchange; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SH2/58 upgrade; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Melling interchange upgrade; | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Takapu Valley Road; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| MacKay's Crossing; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Bing Lucas Drive; | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Petone interchange; and | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SH1 widening south of Ngauranga. | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Cross Valley link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Helston south facing ramps | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Transmission Gully | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| SH58 roundabouts (seven locations) | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Attachment 3: SATURN Outputs



## Attachment 4: Growth Rate Graphs
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## Attachment 5: Growth Spreadsheets




SH Link
2 South of Petone
2 Petone to Dowse
2 Dowse to Melling
2 South of Petone
2 Petone to Dowse
2 Dowse to Melling
2 Melling to KGB
2 KGB to SH58
2 SH58 to Fergusson Drive
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd
2 Moonshine Rd to Gibbons
2 Gibbons to Totara Park
2 Totara Park to Akatawara
2 Akatawara to Norana
2 Louth of Petone
Dowse to Melling
2 Melling to KGB
2 KGB to SH58
2 KGB to SH58
2 SH58 to Fergusson Drive
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd
2 Moonshine Rd to Gibbons
2 Gibbons to Totara Park
2 Totara Park to Akatawara

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| -0000 | \% 0000 |
|  |  |

## Appendix B: Traffic Analysis Figures

This appendix includes four figures, Figure B-1 to Figure B-4, which illustrate graphically the current (2009) weekday morning and evening commuter peak traffic volumes, the corresponding predicted future traffic demands (2019 and 2029) and the maximum traffic flows for LOS C, D and E along the corridor study length.

The individual figures are as follows:

- Figure B-1: Weekday AM Peak - Eastbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E
- Figure B-2: Weekday AM Peak - Westbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E
- Figure B-3: Weekday PM Peak - Eastbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E
- Figure B-4: Weekday PM Peak - Westbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E

The LOS maximum traffic flow values were calculated in accordance with AUSTROADS 'Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice, Part 2 - Roadway Capacity' and Transport Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual.


Figure B-1: Weekday AM Peak - Eastbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E


Figure B-2: Weekday AM Peak - Westbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E


Figure B-3: Weekday PM Peak - Eastbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E
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Figure B-4: Weekday PM Peak - Westbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D \& E
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## Appendix C: Traffic Analysis Spreadsheet

 in Figure B-1 to Figure B-4.


|  | kp Loeston | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak Hou } \\ & \text { Capacity } 1 L O \\ & \text { Wbd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hour } \\ & \text { Hoce } \\ & \text { Edo } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Harr } \\ & \substack{\text { and } \\ \text { End }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | apacity Calculation Sourca <br> Rasad cn Aı smads GTFP Pat 2 although Highway Capacity Manuad figura of 1，700mpe par lane used rathe＇han A．sst cads figure of 2,830 ver two way roac | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Count } \\ & \text { Station } \\ & \text { Location } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Avg W } \\ & \text { PM (17:00- } \\ & \text { Wbd } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Turning Counts } \\ & \text { AM ( } 07: 00-08: 00 \text { ) } \\ & \text { Wbd Ebd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iurning Counts } \\ & \text { PM (17:0018:18:00! } \\ & \text { Wbd Ebd } \end{aligned}$ | Iurnig Cant Commoxt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oco |  | ${ }_{1}^{14780}$ | ${ }_{300}^{300}$ | ${ }_{700}^{700}$ | ${ }_{5}^{620}$ |  |  | ． <br> for Siglals |  | ${ }_{702}^{702}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{7+6}$ |  |  |  |
| 0.0 .15 | cis | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { lifo } \\ 1+10}]{ }$ | ${ }_{230}^{320}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{630 \\ 730}}^{\substack{130}}$ |  | （in | erip ric ojaz Level．Esw |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{182 \\ 782}}$ | ${ }^{\infty}$ | $\underbrace{\text { en }}_{\substack{\text { en } \\ \text { en }}}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| 边 | $\underset{\substack{2.20 \\ c .25}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{\substack{140 \\ 180}}$ | 泿 | ${ }_{\substack{780 \\ 780}}^{\substack{70 \\ \hline}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{40 \\ 40}}^{40}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | （emp |  |  |  |  |
| 边 | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 780 \\ 7800 \\ 7000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{40}{40 n} \\ & 400 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |  | ， | \％ | coty | －46 |  |  |  |
| －0．40 | cos | ， | \％ | $\underset{\substack{780 \\ 780}}{\substack{70}}$ | $\underset{\substack{730 \\ 780}}{\substack{70 \\ \hline}}$ |  | 4 |  |  | \％ | ${ }_{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ |  |  |  |
|  | c．c．${ }_{\text {c }}^{65}$ | 1 | － | ${ }_{4}^{430}$ | 430 | 20 | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{702}$ | ${ }_{8}{ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{80} 9$ | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| Oe， | ${ }_{\text {c．}}^{\text {c．} 65}$ | ${ }_{1}^{125}$ | ${ }^{1050}$ | ${ }_{430}^{438}$ | ${ }_{4}^{430}$ | $\underset{\substack{200 \\ 200}}{\substack{20}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2030 \\ \substack{2030} \\ \hline 0.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{782}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }_{80}^{897}$ | ${ }_{\text {ucc }}$ |  |  |  |
| and |  | ress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {col }}^{\infty}$ | ， |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { l20 } \\ 120}}$ | 1120 | ${ }_{550}^{5+1}$ | ${ }_{501}^{510}$ | ${ }_{300}^{300}$ | ${ }_{30}^{30}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{782 \\ 882}}^{7}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty} 7$ | ${ }_{74}^{746}$ |  |  |  |
| －0， |  | ${ }_{\text {com }}^{1120}$ | 120 | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { cide } \\ 5+0}}$ | $\underbrace{\text { a }}_{\substack{\text { cid } \\ \text { cif }}}$ | ${ }_{80} \times 0$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{702}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ar }}}^{\text {ar }}$ | ${ }_{4}^{76}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{i}^{1 ., 60}$ |  | ${ }_{2310}^{2310}$ | Hem | ${ }^{11680}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{782}$ | ${ }_{(8)}^{88}$ | ${ }_{897}^{80}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| 1．100 | 1.06 maxas steet | ${ }_{2710}^{2710}$ | （16） | （180） |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { 7oo } \\ \text { nun }}]{ }$ | 80 |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{782}$ | \％ | ${ }_{\text {cos }}^{\substack{29}}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{125}^{120}$ | $\underset{\substack{122 \\ 1.25}}{\substack{\text { 2 }}}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2210}$ | 1100 | （1c8） |  | ${ }_{700}^{700}$ | 330 |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{782}$ | ${ }_{8}{ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{\text {er }}^{\substack{8}}$ | ${ }_{7}^{\text {TG6 }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\substack{1,20 \\ 1.85}}{1.85}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.36}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2310 \\ 2210}}$ | ， | ${ }_{\substack{1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \text { cen }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{540 \\ 505}}$ | ${ }^{700}$ | ${ }_{\text {m }}^{30}$ |  |  |  | 尔里 |  | ${ }_{7}^{746}$ |  |  |  |
| （1， |  | 込 2210 | （10） | ${ }_{\text {cos }}^{11200}$ |  | coiction | cos） |  |  | \％ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{7}$ |  |  |  |
| 1．1．5 | 1.158 <br> 1.150 | － 2000 | 20 | 120 | 6il | mo |  |  |  | ${ }^{782}$ | ${ }^{96}$ | ${ }_{\text {897 }}^{9}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1,1,50}$ | － |  | ， | ${ }_{1200}^{1200}$ | cio | ¢00 | － |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{882}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { mif }}}^{\text {m }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.20}$ | ${ }^{1.80}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2+50}$ | ，2m | ${ }_{1200}^{1200}$ | ${ }_{6}^{10}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | 400 |  |  | ${ }_{782}$ | ${ }_{6}{ }^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{7 c}$ |  |  |  |
| 1．1．65 | ${ }^{1.96}$ | ${ }_{200}^{2000}$ | ， $2 \times$ | ${ }_{12 \times 0}^{120}$ | ${ }_{6010}^{610}$ | －m | （100 |  |  | \％ | ${ }_{\circ}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\text {ctic }}^{\substack{46}}$ |  |  |  |
| （12． | ${ }^{1.296}$ |  | － | 1200 | ${ }_{\text {ciol }}^{610}$ |  | ${ }_{4}^{403}$ |  |  | ， 8182 | \％ | \％ | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{210}^{210}$ |  | ${ }_{2 \times 0}$ | ${ }_{20} 20$ | ${ }_{1220}^{1200}$ | ${ }_{610}$ | ${ }_{80}$ | 400 |  |  | ${ }_{782} 8$ | $8{ }^{50}$ | ${ }_{\text {m }}$ | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| cois |  |  | － | － | ${ }_{\substack{730 \\ 700}}$ | ${ }_{\text {en }}^{0}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| － | － | cosm | 城 | ， | $\underset{\substack{730 \\ 780}}{70}$ | cosion | 110 |  |  | ${ }_{7} 88$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{7}$ |  |  |  |
| － |  | ${ }_{\text {ckin }}$ | （ti） | ${ }^{780}$ | ${ }_{\substack{790 \\ 730}}$ | \％ | （19） |  |  | ， | \％ | ${ }_{\text {m }}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2}^{245}$ | cen | ${ }_{140}^{140}$ | 退汭 | ${ }_{780}^{780}$ | ${ }_{730}^{730}$ | ${ }_{40}^{40}$ | 495 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cor }}^{\substack{\text { m }}}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ |  |  |  |
| ces | cose | ＋140 | \％ | $\begin{gathered} 780 \\ \hline 780 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{780 \\ 7300 \\ 730} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{40 \\ 40 \\ 40}}{ }$ | 4 |  |  | ， | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2275 | 2．20 | ${ }_{170}^{140}$ | ， | ${ }_{780}^{780}$ | ${ }_{730}^{730}$ | ${ }_{10}^{40}$ | 110 |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{788}$ | ${ }^{\circ 89}$ | ${ }_{\text {em }}^{\infty}$ | $\xrightarrow{76}$ |  |  |  |
| 2en |  | 1 | （2m） | ${ }_{780}^{780}$ |  | 4 | （4） |  |  |  | \％ | em | 为 |  |  |  |
| － |  |  | \％70 | （i80 | ${ }_{730}^{730}$ | ${ }_{4}^{40}$ | ${ }_{443}^{43}$ |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{782}^{782}$ | \％ | ${ }_{\text {exp }}^{\text {er }}$ | ${ }_{46}^{46}$ |  |  |  |
| cos | cois | $\xrightarrow{\substack{\text { lifo } \\ \text { Hem }}}$ | ＋im | cois | （ |  | $\stackrel{40}{ }$ |  |  | （102 | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\text {cor }}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{7}^{74}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2.15}$ | \％， | ${ }^{1180}$ | ${ }^{2350}$ | ${ }_{5010}$ |  | 50 | ${ }^{80}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| \％ 385 | \％ | $\xrightarrow{110 \times 0}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{2015}}_{\substack{2010}}$ | 5id |  | \％${ }^{20}$ | 70 |  |  | ${ }_{7}$ | \％ | ${ }_{\text {m7 }}$ | uc |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{3,5 \\ 3.40}}$ | －${ }^{3.35}$ | ${ }^{1180}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2310 \\ 2100}}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{500 \\ 510}}$ | $\substack{\text { ciec } \\ 500}$ | ${ }_{3}^{30}$ |  |  |  | 782 | 85 | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{7}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ＋100 |  | ${ }_{\text {cto }}^{5 \times 10}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{300}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{50}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{702}$ | ${ }_{80}{ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{8}^{88}$ | ${ }_{7}^{746}$ |  |  |  |
| － |  | ＋110 | （1） | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{510 \\ \text { Lis }}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{85}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{1828}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{370}$ | \％ | 11 Ine | （16） | ${ }^{\text {sob }}$ | ${ }^{593}$ | ＊on | \％ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | \％ | ${ }^{*}$ | ＊ |  |  |  |
| cise |  | $\xrightarrow{1120}$ | 120 | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{5+0 \\ 550}}$ |  | － | ${ }_{80}^{80}$ |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{782}$ | ${ }_{\text {cos }}$ | ${ }_{\text {m }}^{\text {m }}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7+6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{3.50 \\ 3.50}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 120 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { cid } \\ 5601 \\ 510}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{540}$ |  | （ex） |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{7802}$ | ${ }^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { er }}}^{\substack{\infty \\ \infty}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4.10}^{4.55}$ | ${ }_{4}^{405}$ | ${ }_{11200}^{1180}$ | Hem | $c510500$ | ${ }_{5}^{510} 5$ | ${ }_{\substack{200 \\ 300}}$ | ${ }_{50} \times$ |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{788}$ | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | ${ }_{807}^{897}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{480}^{45}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | $\xrightarrow{1180}$ | 150 | ${ }_{\text {cos }}$ | ${ }_{80}{ }^{530}$ | 500 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | \％ |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | ${ }^{4} 80$ | 1 120 | 150 | \％oo | 000 | com | －m |  |  | ${ }^{102}$ | ${ }_{\infty}$ | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{7} 46$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{1,19}^{1,10}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,10 \\ 1,4}}$ |  | 168 | ¢80 | ${ }_{8}^{830}$ | ${ }_{\text {coo }}^{\text {cos }}$ | ${ }_{\text {En }}^{\text {En }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{782}$ | ${ }^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{807}^{807}$ | $\underset{\sim}{714}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4}^{450}$ | ${ }_{\substack{4.50 \\ 456}}$ | tex | \％ 50 | ¢80 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { sen } \\ \substack{\text { mon }} \end{gathered}$ | ¢0， |  |  | － | ${ }_{\text {\％}}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\text {ex }}^{\substack{8 \\ 7}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4}^{4.45}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.65}$ | $\underset{\substack{11500 \\ 1500}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{1520 \\ 150}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.8050 \\ 8805 \\ 850 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500 \\ 5000 \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | 50， |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\substack{782}}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{\substack{\infty \\ 807}}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\substack{766}}^{46}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\substack{1120 \\ 1120}}$ |  | cois |  | （ism |  |  |  | － | ${ }^{86}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{4}{4.55}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.95}$ | ${ }_{11200}^{118}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2510}$ | ${ }_{5}^{510}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ofee }}$ | cois | 700 |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{782}$ | ${ }^{85}$ | ${ }_{\substack{897 \\ 887}}^{80}$ | ${ }_{7}^{76}$ |  |  |  |
|  | cos | ${ }_{1120}^{1120}$ | $\underset{\substack{2310 \\ 2310}}{ }$ |  |  | 300 | ${ }_{700}^{700}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\substack{882}}$ | 安 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{5}^{5.15}$ | 8， 8 | ${ }_{1120}^{1120}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{2310 \\ 23510}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{500}}_{\text {cion }}$ | －1000 | 300 | ${ }_{700}^{700}$ |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{782}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{807 \\ 807}}$ | ${ }_{7}^{764}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{5}^{555}$ | ces | ${ }_{11100}$ | ${ }_{\substack{21510}}^{215}$ | $\underset{\substack{5+0 \\ 5+0}}{\substack{5 \\ \hline}}$ | ， 1000 | ${ }_{500}^{50}$ | ${ }_{700}^{700}$ |  |  | ${ }_{7} 8$ | ${ }^{\circ 6}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{76}$ |  |  |  |
| 5．5． | ¢， | （110） | ${ }_{\substack{2510 \\ 250}}^{2}$ | 500 | 込 | 30 | 700 |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{782}$ | ${ }^{\text {s\％}}$ | ${ }_{\text {g\％}}^{80}$ | ， |  |  |  |
| ¢5．5． |  | ${ }_{1120}^{1150}$ | $\substack{2310 \\ 2510}_{\substack{\text { 20，}}}$ | $\underbrace{5+0}_{500}$ | － | 30 | ${ }_{700}^{700}$ |  |  | ${ }_{782}^{788}$ | \％ | ${ }_{\text {® }}^{\text {\％}}$ | ${ }_{7}^{746}$ |  |  |  |
| 5.55 | E．55 |  | ${ }^{2310}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 740 |  |  |  |

Status：Final
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## Appendix D: Accident Records and Analysis

The NZTA Crash Analysis System (CAS) crash database has been interrogated to identify and analyse crashes and crash trends that have occurred along the SH5 8 Corridor length.

## B. $1 \quad$ Long Term Crash Trends

A total of 1,009 crashes were reported along the SH5 8 Corridor length in the 20-year period from 1989 to 2008 inclusive. These crashes are summarised by year and by crash severity in Table D-1, and are presented graphically in Figure D-1 and Figure D-2 below.

In addition to the number of crashes, Table D-1 also identifies the annual cost of crashes along the corridor length. These annual crash costs, which were determined in accordance with NZTA's 'Economic Evaluation Manual', are presented graphically in Figure D-3 below.

Table D-1 and Figure D-1, Figure D-2 and Figure D-3 below show that there was a peak in injury crashes (20-25/year) in the mid 1990's, and since then the number of injury crashes has hovered around $15 /$ year. There has been a very slight decrease in the total number of crashes since the mid 1990s; the total crash cost peaked in 1997, but in the last five years the crash cost has returned to levels similar to the early 1990s.

The crash records for the last years show no increase in the numbers of injury total numbers of crashes, so it therefore seems that further intervention is required to improve highway safety.

The crash data for the 5 -year period from 2004 to 2008 inclusive indicates that there are currently an average of 13 injury crashes, nearly 60 total crashes and crash costs of about $\$ 5.6$ million per annum along the SH58 Corridor study length. These annual crash numbers and costs illustrate that there is considerable scope for achieving one of the objectives of the Land Transport Management Act (2003), to improve safety and personal security, along the SH58 Corridor length.
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Table D-1: SH58 Corridor Crash Summary by Severity by Year (1989-2008)

| Crash Year | Number of Crashes by Severity |  |  |  |  |  | Total Crash Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatal | Serious | Minor | Injury Crashes | NonInjury | Total Crashes |  |
| 1989 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 33 | \$3.0 M |
| 1990 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 41 | 59 | \$6.7 M |
| 1991 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 49 | \$7.5 M |
| 1992 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 23 | 42 | \$7.1 M |
| 1993 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 23 | 26 | 49 | \$14.0 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-Years } \\ (1987-1991) \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 26 | 70 | 98 | 134 | 232 | \$38.4 M |
| 1994 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 23 | 35 | 58 | \$11.0 M |
| 1995 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 39 | 52 | \$7.4 M |
| 1996 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 24 | 31 | 55 | \$13.5 M |
| 1997 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 24 | 48 | 72 | \$19.2 M |
| 1998 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 33 | 47 | \$7.6 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-Years } \\ (1992-1996) \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 26 | 65 | 98 | 186 | 284 | \$58.7 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-Years } \\ \text { (1987-1996) } \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 52 | 135 | 196 | 320 | 516 | \$97.0 M |
| 1999 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 38 | 56 | \$12.8 M |
| 2000 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 27 | 39 | \$8.2 M |
| 2001 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 36 | 44 | \$7.1 M |
| 2002 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 32 | 50 | \$14.3 M |
| 2003 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 43 | \$12.0 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-Years } \\ (1997-2001) \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 24 | 42 | 73 | 159 | 232 | \$54.6 M |
| 2004 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 31 | 45 | \$3.9 M |
| 2005 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 39 | 57 | \$6.2 M |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 16 | 45 | 61 | \$7.7 M |
| 2007 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 36 | 55 | \$3.9 M |
| 2008 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 31 | 43 | \$4.8 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-Years } \\ (2002-2006) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 13 | 65 | 79 | 182 | 261 | \$26.4 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 10-Years } \\ \text { (1997-2006) } \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 37 | 107 | 152 | 341 | 493 | \$81.0 M |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-Years } \\ (1987-2006) \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 89 | 242 | 348 | 661 | 1,009 | \$178.0 M |



Figure D-1: SH58 Corridor - Injury Crashes (1989-2008)


Figure D-2: SH58 Corridor - All Crashes (1989-2008)


Figure D-3: SH58 Corridor - Annual Crash Costs (1989-2008)
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## B. 2 Analysis of Crashes by Location (Intersections and Mid-Block)

As noted in Table D-1 above, a total of 261 crashes were reported along the SH58 Corridor length during the 5 -year period from 2004 to 2008 inclusive. An analysis of these crashes has been undertaken by location. The relevant crashes have been identified for each of the individual intersections and mid-block sections along the SH58 Corridor length.

The reported crashes between 2004 and 2008 (and the corresponding crash costs) for each of the intersection and mid-block locations along the SH58 Corridor length are summarised in Table D-2 and Table D-3 below respectively.

Table D-2: SH58 Corridor Intersection Crashes (2004-2008)
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Table D-3: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Crashes (2004-2008)

| Road | Mid-Block Section (from north to south) | Number of Crashes by Severity |  |  |  |  |  | Total Crash Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fatal | Serious | Minor | Injury Crashes | NonInjury | Total Crashes |  |
|  | Hebden Cres - McDougall Grove | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 | \$1,869,000 |
|  | McDougall Grove - Hugh Duncan St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | \$241,200 |
|  | Hugh Duncan St - Kaitawa St | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \$152,400 |
|  | Kaitawa St - Atimuri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
|  | Old Haywards Rd - Mount Cecil Rd | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 14 | \$939,600 |
|  | Mount Cecil Road - Harris Road | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 13 | \$768,000 |
|  | Harris Rd - Moonshine Rd | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | \$4,747,100 |
|  | Moonshine Road - Mulhern Road | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | \$1,207,500 |
|  | Mulhern Road - Murphys Road / Flightys Road | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | \$590,400 |
|  | Murphys Road / Flighty Road Belmont Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | \$44,400 |
|  | Belmont Road - Bradey Road | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | \$368,400 |
|  | Bradey Rd - Pauatahanui RAB | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \$858,300 |
|  | Pauatahanui Roundabout - Joseph Banks Drive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
|  | Joseph Banks Dr - James Cook Dr | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 17 | \$1,470,600 |
|  | James Cook Dr - Spinnaker Dr | 0 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 38 | 53 | \$4,407,240 |
|  | Spinnaker Drive - Postgate Drive | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 | \$476,030 |
| 苍 | Postgate Drive - Oak Avenue | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | \$123,210 |
|  | Bayview Road / Seaview Road Paremata Crescent | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 12 | \$414,030 |
| SH58 Corridor | All Mid-Block Crashes | 1 | 8 | 42 | 51 | 115 | 166 | \$18.7 M |

The reported crashes at the intersections along the corridor length are presented graphically in Figure D-4 (injury crashes only) and Figure D-5 (all crashes), while the reported crashes at mid-block locations are presented in Figure D-6 (injury crashes only) and Figure D-7 (all crashes).

The intersection and mid-block crash costs are presented in Figure D-8 and Figure D-9 respectively.
Table D-2, Table D-3 and Figure D-4 to Figure D-9 below show that a large proportion of the reported crashes and crash costs along the SH58 Corridor study length have occurred at a relatively small number of key intersections and mid-block sections.


Figure D-4: SH58 Corridor Intersections - Injury Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure D-5: SH58 Corridor Intersections - All Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure D-6: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Injury Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure D-7: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - All Crashes (2004-2008)


Figure D-8: SH58 Corridor Intersections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)


Figure D-9: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)

The mid-block injury crash rates are presented in Figure D-10 below, along with typical injury crash rates (which have been calculated in accordance with NZTA's (formerly LTNZ's) 'Economic Evaluation Manual, Appendix A6.5) for the differing mid-block highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length, namely:

- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.5 m lanes, 1.0 m shoulders, though rolling/mountainous terrain and occasional passing lanes),
(typical for the section of SH58 between SH2 and Moonshine Road),
- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.25 m lanes, 2.0 m shoulders), (typical for the section of SH58 between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui Roundabout),
- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 2.75 m lanes, 0.5 m shoulders),
(typical for the section of SH58 between Pauatahanui Roundabout and Postgate Drive), and
- 2-Lane Urban Arterial Highway, with Other Roadside Land-use.
(typical for the section of SH58 between Postgate Drive and SH1).


Figure D-10: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Injury Crash Rates (per $10^{8}$ veh-km)

Table D-2, Table D-3 and Figure D-4 to Figure D-9 above show that a large proportion of the reported crashes and crash costs along the SH58 Corridor study length have occurred at a relatively small number of key intersections and mid-block sections.

Figure D-10 above shows that most of the mid-block sections have injury crash rates that are comparable with, or lower than, the typical crash rates for similar highway sections. The exceptions are the sections between Hebden Crescent and McDougall Grove, Mulhern Road and Murphy Road/Flightys Road, Belmont Road and Brady Road, and James Cook Drive and Spinnaker Drive which have high mid-block crash rates for the following reasons:

- Approximately $3 / 4$ of all crashes between Hebden Crescent and McDougall Grove occurred on wet roads. Also, $1 / 4$ of all crashes on this section were "rear-end" crashes associated with the nearby intersection with SH2.
- The section of SH58 between Mulhern Road and Murphy Road/Flightys Road has had both "rear-end" crashes and "loss of control on straight" crashes. Approximately $1 / 2$ of crashes along this section struck a roadside hazard.
- The section between Belmont Road and Brady Road has had few crashes but $3 / 4$ of the crashes resulted in injuries as there was a high number of "head on" crashes.
- Over $65 \%$ of crashes between James Cook Drive and Spinnaker Drive were "loss of control", and nearly $20 \%$ of crashes were "head on". These are likely due to the narrow road width and small shoulders with no little or no clear zones.


## B.2.1 Discussion of Crash Numbers and Costs at Intersections

The traffic signal controlled intersection at SH 2 , including the adjacent priority controlled intersection with Hebden Crescent, has the highest crash cost compared to all other intersections along the corridor length. This is due to the very high proportion of serious injury crashes at these high speed traffic signals. The priority controlled Postgate Drive had a similar number of serious injury crashes, and more minor injury and non-injury crashes, but due to the lower speed environment has a lower crash cost. The Pauatahanui Roundabout had the highest number of crashes, but as the crashes resulted in low severity crashes, the intersection had a relatively low crash cost.

The three intersections noted above account for nearly $40 \%$ of the reported crashes and just over $40 \%$ of the injury crashes, but over $50 \%$ of the crash cost at intersections on the SH5 8 Corridor.

## B.2.2 Discussion of Crash Numbers and Costs along Mid-Block Sections

The mid-block section between James Cook Drive and Spinnaker Drive has had a substantially higher number of reported crashes than any other mid-block section and has the second highest crash cost. The mid-block section between Harris Road and Moonshine Road had the highest crash cost due to a fatal crash which occurred on this section. The mid-block section between Hebden Crescent and McDougall Grove also had a high crash cost, due a high proportion of serious injury crashes.

The three mid-block sections noted above account for around $40 \%$ of the reported midblock crashes along the SH58 Corridor length, and about 60\% of the reported crash costs.

## B. 3 Analysis of Crashes by Highway Section

As noted in Table D-1 above, a total of 261 crashes were reported along the SH58 Corridor length during the latest 5 -year period, from 2004 to 2008 inclusive. An analysis of the crash details and environmental factors has been undertaken by highway section.

The reported crashes between 2004 and 2008 for each of the main highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length are summarised in

Table D-4 to Table D-7 and Figure D-11 to Figure D-18 below.

NZ TRANSPORT AGENCY
WAKA KOTAHI

Table D-4: SH58 Crashes between State Highway 2 and Moonshine Road (2004-2008)

| Crash Details or Environmental Factors |  | Intersection Crashes |  | Mid-Block Crashes |  | Total Crashes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crossing / Turning (Types H, J, K, L, M) | 1 | (5\%) | 2 | (4\%) | 3 | (4\%) |
|  | Head On (Types AB, B) | 2 | (9\%) | 5 | (11\%) | 7 | (10\%) |
|  | Hit Object (Types E) | 0 | (0\%) | 3 | (6\%) | 3 | (4\%) |
|  | Lost Control (Types AD, C, D) | 10 | (45\%) | 28 | (60\%) | 38 | (55\%) |
|  | Miscellaneous (Types Q) | 0 | (0\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (1\%) |
|  | Overtaking (Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE) | 4 | (18\%) | 2 | (4\%) | 6 | (9\%) |
|  | Pedestrian (Types N, P) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Cyclist (included in other types) | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
|  | Rear End (Types F, GA-GD, GF, GO) | 5 | (23\%) | 6 | (13\%) | 11 | (16\%) |
|  | Weekday AM Peak (06:00-08:59) <br> (Mon 06:00- Daytime (09:00-15:29) <br> Fri 18:59) PM Peak (15:30-18:59) <br>  Night-time (19:00-06:00) <br> Weekend Morning (06:00-11:59) <br> (Fri 19:00- <br> Mon 05:59) Afternoon (12:00-18:59) <br>  Night-time (19:00-06:00) | 3 | (14\%) | 6 | (13\%) | 9 | (13\%) |
|  |  | 6 | (27\%) | 8 | (17\%) | 14 | (20\%) |
|  |  | 4 | (18\%) | 8 | (17\%) | 12 | (17\%) |
|  |  | 4 | (18\%) | 4 | (9\%) | 8 | (12\%) |
|  |  | 0 | (0\%) | 2 | (4\%) | 2 | (3\%) |
|  |  | 4 | (18\%) | 11 | (23\%) | 15 | (22\%) |
|  |  | 1 | (5\%) | 8 | (17\%) | 9 | (13\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { c} \\ & \text { ひ̃ } \\ & \tilde{0} \end{aligned}$ | Summer (1 December - 28 or 29 February) | 6 | (27\%) | 17 | (36\%) | 23 | (33\%) |
|  | Autumn (1 March-31 May) | 8 | (36\%) | 10 | (21\%) | 18 | (26\%) |
|  | Winter (1 June - 31 August) | 4 | (18\%) | 12 | (26\%) | 16 | (23\%) |
|  | Spring (1 September - 30 November) | 4 | (18\%) | 8 | (17\%) | 12 | (17\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 끔 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bright Sun | 9 | (41\%) | 14 | (30\%) | 23 | (33\%) |
|  | Overcast | 9 | (41\%) | 17 | (36\%) | 26 | (38\%) |
|  | Twilight | 1 | (5\%) | 3 | (6\%) | 4 | (6\%) |
|  | Dark | 3 | (14\%) | 13 | (28\%) | 16 | (23\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ర్ల } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ | Dry | 15 | (68\%) | 27 | (57\%) | 42 | (61\%) |
|  | Wet | 7 | (32\%) | 19 | (40\%) | 26 | (38\%) |
|  | Ice or Snow | 0 | (0\%) | 1 | (2\%) | 1 | (1\%) |
|  | Fine | 16 | (73\%) | 33 | (70\%) | 49 | (71\%) |
|  | Mist or Fog | 2 | (9\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 2 | (3\%) |
|  | Light Rain | 4 | (18\%) | 9 | (19\%) | 13 | (19\%) |
|  | Heavy Rain | 0 | (0\%) | 5 | (11\%) | 5 | (7\%) |
| Total Number of Crashes (6.3km) |  | 22 | (100\%) | 47 | (100\%) | 69 | (100\%) |
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Figure D-1 1: SH58 Crashes Between State Highway 2 and Moonshine Road - Crash Types


Figure D-12: SH58 Crashes Between State Highway 2 and Moonshine Road - Crash Times
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Table D-5: SH58 Crashes between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui (2004-2008)

| Crash Details or Environmental Factors |  | Intersection Crashes |  | Mid-Block Crashes |  | Total Crashes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \underset{\lambda}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ | Crossing / Turning (Types H, J, K, L, M) | 2 | (7\%) | 2 | (8\%) | 4 | (8\%) |
|  | Head On (Types AB, B) | 0 | (0\%) | 4 | (16\%) | 4 | (8\%) |
|  | Hit Object (Types E) | 2 | (7\%) | 2 | (8\%) | 4 | (8\%) |
|  | Lost Control (Types AD, C, D) | 9 | (33\%) | 10 | (40\%) | 19 | (36\%) |
|  | Miscellaneous (Types Q) | 1 | (4\%) | 1 | (4\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
|  | Overtaking (Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE) | 4 | (15\%) | 1 | (4\%) | 5 | (9\%) |
|  | Pedestrian (Types N, P) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Cyclist (included in other types) | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  | Rear End (Types F, GA-GD, GF, GO) | 9 | (33\%) | 5 | (20\%) | 14 | (27\%) |
|  | Weekday AM Peak (06:00-08:59) <br> (Mon 06:00- Daytime (09:00-15:29) <br> Fri 18:59) PM Peak (15:30-18:59) <br>  Night-time (19:00-06:00) <br> Weekend Morning (06:00-11:59) <br> (Fri 19:00- Afternoon (12:00-18:59) <br> Mon 05:59) Night-time (19:00-06:00) | 6 | (22\%) | 5 | (20\%) | 11 | (21\%) |
|  |  | 5 | (19\%) | 2 | (8\%) | 7 | (13\%) |
|  |  | 8 | (30\%) | 6 | (24\%) | 14 | (27\%) |
|  |  | 1 | (4\%) | 6 | (4\%) | 7 | (13\%) |
|  |  | 1 | (4\%) | 1 | (4\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
|  |  | 3 | (11\%) | 2 | (8\%) | 5 | (10\%) |
|  |  | 3 | (11\%) | 3 | (12\%) | 6 | (12\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \tilde{u} \\ & \tilde{U} \end{aligned}$ | Summer (1 December-28 or 29 February) | 6 | (22\%) | 8 | (32\%) | 14 | (27\%) |
|  | Autumn (1 March-31 May) | 7 | (26\%) | 4 | (16\%) | 11 | (21\%) |
|  | Winter (1 June - 31 August) | 8 | (30\%) | 6 | (24\%) | 14 | (27\%) |
|  | Spring (1 September - 30 November) | 6 | (22\%) | 7 | (28\%) | 13 | (25\%) |
| $$ | Bright Sun | 7 | (26\%) | 7 | (28\%) | 14 | (27\%) |
|  | Overcast | 9 | (33\%) | 9 | (36\%) | 18 | (35\%) |
|  | Twilight | 4 | (15\%) | 1 | (4\%) | 5 | (10\%) |
|  | Dark | 7 | (26\%) | 8 | (32\%) | 15 | (29\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ত } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { ه } \end{aligned}$ | Dry | 17 | (63\%) | 23 | (92\%) | 40 | (77\%) |
|  | Wet | 7 | (26\%) | 2 | (8\%) | 9 | (17\%) |
|  | Ice or Snow | 3 | (11\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 3 | (6\%) |
|  | Fine | 21 | (78\%) | 21 | (84\%) | 42 | (81\%) |
|  | Mist or Fog | 0 | (0\%) | 1 | (4\%) | 1 | (2\%) |
|  | Light Rain | 5 | (19\%) | 2 | (8\%) | 7 | (13\%) |
|  | Heavy Rain | 1 | (4\%) | 1 | (4\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
| Total Number of Crashes ( $3.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{)}$ |  | 27 | (100\%) | 25 | (100\%) | 52 | (100\%) |
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Figure D-13: SH58 Crashes between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui - Crash Types


Figure D-14: SH58 Crashes Between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui - Crash Times
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Table D-6: SH58 Crashes between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive (2004-2008)

| Crash Details or Environmental Factors |  | Intersection Crashes |  | Mid-Block Crashes |  | Total Crashes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ | Crossing / Turning (Types H, J, K, L, M) | 5 | (33\%) | 1 | (1\%) | 6 | (7\%) |
|  | Head On (Types AB, B) | 2 | (13\%) | 20 | (26\%) | 22 | (24\%) |
|  | Hit Object (Types E) | 0 | (0\%) | 1 | (1\%) | 1 | (1\%) |
|  | Lost Control (Types AD, C, D) | 7 | (47\%) | 46 | (60\%) | 53 | (58\%) |
|  | Miscellaneous (Types Q) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Overtaking (Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE) | 0 | (0\%) | 1 | (1\%) | 1 | (1\%) |
|  | Pedestrian (Types N, P) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Cyclist (included in other types) | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |  |
|  | Rear End (Types F, GA-GD, GF, GO) | 1 | (7\%) | 8 | (10\%) | 9 | (10\%) |
|  | Weekday AM Peak (06:00-08:59) <br> (Mon 06:00- Daytime (09:00-15:29) <br> Fri 18:59) PM Peak (15:30-18:59) <br>  Night-time (19:00-06:00) <br> Weekend Morning (06:00-11:59) <br> (Fri 19:00 - <br> Mon 05:59) Afternoon (12:00-18:59) <br>  Night-time (19:00-06:00) | 2 | (13\%) | 9 | (12\%) | 11 | (12\%) |
|  |  | 5 | (33\%) | 16 | (21\%) | 21 | (23\%) |
|  |  | 1 | (7\%) | 9 | (12\%) | 10 | (11\%) |
|  |  | 5 | (33\%) | 15 | (19\%) | 17 | (18\%) |
|  |  | 1 | (7\%) | 6 | (8\%) | 7 | (8\%) |
|  |  | 2 | (13\%) | 10 | (13\%) | 12 | (13\%) |
|  |  | 2 | (13\%) | 12 | (16\%) | 14 | (15\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \tilde{\sigma} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | Summer (1 December-28 or 29 February) | 4 | (27\%) | 23 | (30\%) | 27 | (29\%) |
|  | Autumn (1 March-31 May) | 6 | (40\%) | 15 | (19\%) | 21 | (23\%) |
|  | Winter (1 June - 31 August) | 3 | (20\%) | 18 | (23\%) | 21 | (23\%) |
|  | Spring (1 September - 30 November) | 2 | (13\%) | 21 | (27\%) | 23 | (25\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 금 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bright Sun | 2 | (13\%) | 13 | (17\%) | 15 | (16\%) |
|  | Overcast | 8 | (53\%) | 35 | (45\%) | 43 | (47\%) |
|  | Twilight | 2 | (13\%) | 4 | (5\%) | 6 | (7\%) |
|  | Dark | 3 | (20\%) | 25 | (32\%) | 28 | (30\%) |
|  | Dry | 10 | (67\%) | 32 | (42\%) | 42 | (46\%) |
|  | Wet | 5 | (33\%) | 45 | (58\%) | 50 | (54\%) |
|  | Ice or Snow | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{む} \\ & \frac{1}{\pi} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | Fine | 11 | (73\%) | 39 | (51\%) | 50 | (54\%) |
|  | Mist or Fog | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Light Rain | 4 | (27\%) | 28 | (36\%) | 32 | (35\%) |
|  | Heavy Rain | 0 | (0\%) | 10 | (16\%) | 10 | (11\%) |
| Total Number of Crashes (3.6km) |  | 15 | (100\%) | 77 | (100\%) | 92 | (100\%) |
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Figure D-1 5: SH58 Crashes between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive - Crash Types


Figure D-16: SH58 Crashes Between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive - Crash Times
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Table D-7: SH58 Crashes between Postgate Drive and Paremata (2004-2008)

| Crash Details or Environmental Factors |  | Intersection Crashes |  | Mid-Block Crashes |  | Total Crashes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \underset{\lambda}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{\pi}{U} \end{aligned}$ | Crossing / Turning (Types H, J, K, L, M) | 13 | (42\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 13 | (27\%) |
|  | Head On (Types AB, B) | 7 | (23\%) | 6 | (35\%) | 13 | (27\%) |
|  | Hit Object (Types E) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Lost Control (Types AD, C, D) | 5 | (16\%) | 3 | (18\%) | 8 | (17\%) |
|  | Miscellaneous (Types Q) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Overtaking (Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE) | 3 | (10\%) | 1 | (6\%) | 4 | (8\%) |
|  | Pedestrian (Types N, P) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Cyclist (included in other types) | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  | Rear End (Types F, GA-GD, GF, GO) | 3 | (10\%) | 7 | (41\%) | 10 | (21\%) |
|  | Weekday AM Peak (06:00-08:59) <br> (Mon 06:00- Daytime (09:00-15:29) <br> Fri 18:59) PM Peak (15:30-18:59) <br>  Night-time (19:00-06:00) <br> Weekend Morning (06:00-11:59) <br> (Fri 19:00- Afternoon (12:00-18:59) <br> Mon 05:59) Night-time (19:00-06:00) | 5 | (16\%) | 3 | (18\%) | 8 | (17\%) |
|  |  | 5 | (16\%) | 2 | (12\%) | 7 | (15\%) |
|  |  | 8 | (26\%) | 1 | (6\%) | 9 | (19\%) |
|  |  | 2 | (6\%) | 4 | (24\%) | 6 | (13\%) |
|  |  | 2 | (6\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
|  |  | 6 | (19\%) | 2 | (12\%) | 8 | (17\%) |
|  |  | 3 | (10\%) | 5 | (29\%) | 8 | (17\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { c} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \tilde{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | Summer (1 December-28 or 29 February) | 11 | (35\%) | 2 | (12\%) | 13 | (27\%) |
|  | Autumn (1 March-31 May) | 7 | (23\%) | 4 | (24\%) | 11 | (23\%) |
|  | Winter (1 June - 31 August) | 3 | (10\%) | 5 | (29\%) | 8 | (17\%) |
|  | Spring (1 September - 30 November) | 10 | (32\%) | 6 | (35\%) | 16 | (33\%) |
| $$ | Bright Sun | 7 | (23\%) | 6 | (35\%) | 13 | (27\%) |
|  | Overcast | 14 | (45\%) | 3 | (18\%) | 17 | (35\%) |
|  | Twilight | 2 | (6\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 2 | (4\%) |
|  | Dark | 8 | (26\%) | 8 | (47\%) | 16 | (33\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ত } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { ه } \end{aligned}$ | Dry | 21 | (68\%) | 14 | (82\%) | 35 | (73\%) |
|  | Wet | 10 | (32\%) | 3 | (18\%) | 13 | (27\%) |
|  | Ice or Snow | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Fine | 23 | (74\%) | 14 | (82\%) | 37 | (77\%) |
|  | Mist or Fog | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) | 0 | (0\%) |
|  | Light Rain | 5 | (16\%) | 2 | (12\%) | 7 | (15\%) |
|  | Heavy Rain | 3 | (10\%) | 1 | (6\%) | 4 | (8\%) |
| Total Number of Crashes (1.5km) |  | 34 | (100\%) | 17 | (100\%) | 48 | (100\%) |
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Figure D-1 7: SH58 Crashes between Postgate Drive and Paremata - Crash Types


Figure D-18: SH58 Crashes Between Postgate Drive and Paremata - Crash Times
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## B.3.1 Discussion of Crashes between SH2 and Moonshine Road

Table D-4, Figure D-1 1and Figure D-12 illustrate that the section of SH58 between SH2 (Western Hutt Road) and Moonshine Road has the following crash characteristics:

- A very high proportion of 'loss of control' crashes (55\%). This section of SH58 travels through a section of highway with both horizontal and vertical curve combinations which could have contributed to this high proportion.
- Smaller proportions of 'head-on', 'overtaking' and 'rear-end' crashes (9\% - 16\%); other crash types did not significantly feature on this section of highway.
- A relatively high proportion of crashes during the weekend afternoon period (22\%), which is higher than any other section of SH58. Also a higher proportion of weekday inter-peak crashes suggests that the crashes on this section of road are not necessarily due to high traffic volumes.
- Typical proportions of winter-time, night-time, wet road and wet weather crashes indicates that environmental factors are unlikely to play a more significant role in crashes along this section that the other highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length.


## B.3.2 Discussion of Crashes between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui

Table D-5, Figure D-13 and Figure D-14 illustrate that the section of SH58 between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui has the following crash characteristics:

- The highest proportion of 'rear-end' crashes (27\%), which are likely to be related to the vehicles turning into and out of industrial accessways in this high speed area.
- 'Crossing/turning', 'head-on', 'hit object' and 'overtaking' had similar number of crashes; between $8 \%$ and $10 \%$.
- A high proportion of 'loss of control' crashes (36\%) which may be related to the curvilinear nature of the highway though this section, but a significant number also occurred at the intersections.
- A high proportion of crashes during the weekday peak periods, which is higher than other sections.
- Typical proportions of winter-time, night-time, wet road and wet weather crashes indicates that environmental factors are unlikely to play a more significant role in crashes along this section that the other highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length.


## B.3.3 Discussion of Crashes between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive

Table D-6, Figure D-15 and Figure D-16 illustrate that the section of SH58 between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive has the following crash characteristics:

- A very high proportion of 'loss of control' crashes (58\%) due to the narrow windy highway around the inlet which has very narrow shoulders, leaving little space for vehicles to recover should they lose control.
- The narrow road also shows its influence in the significant proportion of head-on crashes (24\%).
- A high proportion of wet road crashes (54\%) indicates that wet conditions do have an influence in this area.


## B.3.4 Discussion of Crashes between Postgate Drive and Paremata (SH1)

Table D-7, Figure D-17 and Figure D-18 illustrate that the section of SH58 between Postgate Drive and Paremata Roundabout (SH1) has the following crash characteristics:

- A higher number of crossing/turning crashes (27\%) and which reflects the urban nature of this section
- A high proportion of rear-end crashes (21\%) also reflects the urban nature of the section and the fact that the highway is approaching capacity.
- No crashes involving pedestrians suggests that pedestrians manage their risk when walking along the highway through the urban area
- Typical proportions of winter-time, night-time, wet road and wet weather crashes indicates that environmental factors are unlikely to play a more significant role in crashes along this section that the other highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length.
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