State Highway 58 strategic study

A long-term strategic plan for State Highway 58 to 2029





New Zealand Government



State Highway 58 Strategic Study

The State Highway 58 Strategic Study is a technical report, outlining potential long-term transport solutions for State highway 58. The public release of the document means it is now available as an input into the Hutt and Western Corridor Plan reviews, which are scheduled to be undertaken by Greater Wellington Regional Council over the coming year.

As a technical report, the study has not been presented to the NZTA Board for its support, endorsement or approval. Accordingly, publication of the report does not constitute any form of commitment by NZTA to the recommendations contained in this report.



BUILDING A BETTER WORLD

WELLINGTON

Level 1, 123 Taranaki Street, Wellington 6011 PO Box 9624, Te Aro, Wellington 6141 TEL +64 4 381 6700, FAX +64 4 381 6739



State Highway 58 Strategic Study

Contents

Exec	utive Sun	nmary		1	
1	Introduction				
	1.1	Functio	n of State Highway 58	4	
2	Strategic Context				
	2.1 Strategic Consistency			4	
	2.2	Nationa	ll State Highway Strategy	5	
	2.3	Regiona 2.3.1 2.3.2	al Planning Priorities Wellington Regional Strategy Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy	7 7 7	
	2.4	Welling	ton Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12	8	
	2.5	Draft W	ellington Regional ITS Strategy	9	
	2.6	Toward	s Safer Highways	9	
	2.7	Summa	ry of Strategic Context and Need for SH58 Strategic Study	10	
3	Corridor Management - Background and Issues10				
	3.1	Existing 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	g Route Characteristics Manor Park to Moonshine Road (RP 0/0.00 - 0/6.28) Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui (RP 0/6.28 - 0/10.00) Pauatahanui to Paremata (RP 0/10.00 - 0/15.10)	10 10 11 12	
	3.2	Traffic ' 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Volumes and Highway Capacity Manor Park to Moonshine Road Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui Pauatahanui to Paremata	13 23 23 23	
	3.3	Historic 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	Highway Safety Performance Overall Crash Figures Intersection Crashes Mid-Block Crashes	24 24 25 26	
	3.4	Route S	ecurity	29	
4	SH58 Corridor Strategic Plan				
	4.1	Discuss 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3	ion on the Strategic Plan Manor Park to Moonshine Road Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui Pauatahanui to Paremata	29 30 31 31	
	4.2	Details	of the Strategic Plan	31	
5	SH58 C	orridor Ir	nprovements - Project Feasibility	36	



6	Monitoring	.3	7
---	------------	----	---

Appendix A - Traffic Analysis File Note

Appendix B - Traffic Analysis Figures

Appendix C - Traffic Analysis Spreadsheet

Appendix D - Accident Records and Analysis



Executive Summary

The NZTA has developed a long-term strategic plan along State Highway 58 (SH58) over the 20-year period 2009 to 2029. This SH58 Strategic Study relates to the entire 15.1 km length of SH58, from the junction with SH2 at Manor Park in the Hutt Valley, to the junction with SH1 at Paremata. SH58 is a regional highway that joins the Hutt Valley with Porirua and the Kapiti Coast. It provides for travel between these three areas whilst also providing access to local communities such as Whitby and Pauatahanui. SH58 is also used by heavy vehicle traffic travelling between the industrial port area of Gracefield/Seaview and destinations to the north via SH1.

The current highway predominantly provides a single two-way carriageway with roundabouts and priority controlled intersections. The width of the highway is constrained in many locations due to the terrain. Traffic volumes vary from 13,800 vehicles per day (vpd) west of SH2 to 9,200 vpd east of James Cook Drive at the end of the Pauatahinui Inlet, and to 16,700 vpd east of the Paremata Roundabout. The highway is predominantly rural from SH2 to Pauatahanui, with the urban density increasing from Pauatahanui to SH1 at Paremata.

Increasing traffic volumes will place some sections of the highway under pressure within the 20-year period, depending on whether or not Transmission Gully and Grenada to Gracefield projects are completed. The current strategy assumes the Grenada to Gracefield projects are constructed within the 10 year period and Transmission Gully soon after the ten year period.

The Grenada to Gracefield projects will result in a decrease in traffic volumes on SH58, as traffic transfers to the new east-west route. However, when Transmission Gully is constructed traffic volumes on SH58 increase again, but these will only be greater than existing volumes east of the new gully route as alterative routes will be available into Porirua.

Analysis of the crash data for the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008 indicates that there are currently an average of about 16 injury crashes and 52 total crashes per year and crash costs of about \$5.3 million per annum along the SH58 Corridor study length. Accordingly, there is scope for improving the safety along this highway.

Based on the background information, the capacity analysis and the crash statistics, a long-term strategic plan has been developed for SH58. This can be summarised as follows:

- The strategy assumes the Grenada to Gracefield projects will proceed within 10 years and Transmission Gully will be complete soon after the 10 year period.
- Based on these assumptions, SH58 will be retained as a two-lane two-way highway with the current passing lanes.
- All intersections will be at-grade, with the exception of the intersections with SH2 and Transmission Gully, which will both be grade separated.
- The section between Manor Park and Moonshine Road will be managed as an 80 100km/h rural environment with a median barrier (and some provision for turning movements) considered in the long term.
- The section between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui will also be managed as an 80-100km/h rural environment with minor safety upgrades in the short term. Long term, this section could become a peri-urban environment and roundabouts for safety will be considered at the Moonshine Road and Flightys Road / Murphys Road intersections in conjunction with reducing the speed limit.



- The section between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive will be managed as a 70km/h peri-urban section and the section from Postgate Drive to Paremata will be managed as a 50km/h urban highway with controlled access in the short term. The long term status of SH58 from Transmission Gully to Paremata will be determined as part of the Transmission Gully project.
- Minor safety works will continue to be undertaken to address specific crash issues that arise during the study period.



1 Introduction

The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) is a Crown entity providing an integrated approach to transport planning, funding and delivery.

The NZTA has the statutory objective to undertake its functions (which include managing New Zealand's State highway system) in a way that contributes to an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive and sustainable land transport system, pursuant to the Land Transport Management Act 2003 (the LTMA), as amended by the Land Transport Management Act 2004 and the Land Transport Management Amendment Act 2008.

Accordingly, the NZTA has developed a long-term strategic plan to address significant issues along State Highway 58 (SH58) over the 20-year period 2009 to 2029. This SH58 Strategic Study relates to the entire 15.1 km length of SH58, from the junction with SH2 at Manor Park in the Hutt Valley, to the junction with SH1 at Paremata. The study length is illustrated (in red) in *Figure 1*.



Figure 1: SH58 Corridor Study Length

(Map Source: Greater Wellington Regional Council Website)



1.1 Function of State Highway 58

SH58 is a regional highway that joins the Hutt Valley with Porirua and the Kapiti Coast. It provides for travel between these three areas whilst also providing access to local communities such as Whitby and Pauatahanui. SH58 is also used by heavy vehicle traffic travelling between the industrial port area of Gracefield/Seaview in the Hutt Valley and destinations to the north via SH1. Traffic volumes on SH58 vary from 13,800 vehicles per day (vpd) west of SH2 to 9,200 east of James Cook Drive at the end of the Pauatahanui Inlet, and to 16,700 east of the Paremata Roundabout on SH1. Heavy vehicle volumes vary along the route from 450vpd to 830vpd. This highway is also part of the Regional Strategic Cycling Network.

2 Strategic Context

2.1 Strategic Consistency

Under the enabling legislation the NZTA has five primary functions:

- Promoting an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive and sustainable land transport system.
- Managing the allocation of funding to transport activities.
- Planning, building, maintaining and operating the state highway network.
- Investigating and reviewing accidents and incidents involving accidents on land.
- Regulating and managing access to the land transport system.

Neither a specific mission nor strategic goals have yet been formulated for the newly established NZTA. Nevertheless five strategic priorities have been developed which represent the best prospects for the NZTA to advance the government's objectives for transport sector performance in the next three to five years. These are:

- Priority 1: Plan for and deliver corridors and roads of national significance
- Priority 2: Improve road safety
- Priority 3: Improve the efficiency of freight movements
- Priority 4: Improve the effectiveness of public transport
- Priority 5: Improve customer service and reduce compliance costs

The purpose of the land transport system is to move people and freight within New Zealand and to enable connections to the rest of the world. The New Zealand transport strategy 2008 outlines the objectives for the transport system as:

- ensuring environmental sustainability
- assisting economic development
- assisting safety and personal security
- improving access and mobility
- protecting and promoting public health.

The Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding (GPS) details the government's desired outcomes and funding priorities for the use of the National Land



Transport Fund. The GPS covers the impacts the government wishes to achieve from its investment in land transport, how it will achieve these impacts through funding certain activity classes, how much funding will be provided, and how this funding will be raised. The funding policies in the GPS reinforce the government's main priority of national economic growth and productivity.

This strategic plan for the SH58 Corridor is consistent with the New Zealand Transport Strategy, the GPS as well as NZTA's current Statement of Intent and National State Highway Strategy.

2.2 National State Highway Strategy

The National State Highway Strategy (NSHS) 2007 responded to the original New Zealand Transport Strategy (NZTS) as it related to the development of New Zealand's State highways. The NSHS set out how the former Transit would move towards and manage the State highway network as an integral part of a multi-modal transport system. It set out how the State highway network would support the Government's priority theme of economic transformation and the original NZTS objectives of improving access and mobility for all New Zealanders; ensuring the safety, security and health of New Zealanders; and improving the environmental sustainability of transport in New Zealand. In this way the NSHS provided a link between the NZTS and relevant legislation, the government funding allocated to State highways, and the detailed programme of works plans and policies.

The NSHS's proposed State highway 30-year concept is illustrated in *Figure 2*. It should be noted that the NSHS has not yet been reviewed by the newly formed NZTA and therefore does not yet respond to recent changes in government strategy.

For Wellington, the NSHS states that:

'A range of strategies developed in partnership with the Wellington region's territorial local authorities and stakeholders is shaping an integrated highway concept. The Inner City Bypass will strengthen north-south links through the city. The Ngauranga to Wellington Airport Strategic Study will identify solutions to meet current and future land use, access and transport needs in and around the city. The Western Corridor Study, considering the links between Wellington and the Kapiti Coast, includes proposals for public transport, travel demand management and highway improvements such as the Transmission Gully Motorway and improved east-to-west connections. Strategic studies for SH2 and SH58 will provide a short-term programme of high priority projects within a longer-term strategic plan.'





Figure 2: Proposed State Highway 30-year Concept

(Source: National State Highway Strategy, Figure 9)



2.3 Regional Planning Priorities

2.3.1 Wellington Regional Strategy

The Wellington Regional Strategy (WRS) has been jointly developed by the Greater Wellington Regional Council (Greater Wellington) and the territorial local authorities of the region. The WRS is intended to be a sustainable economic growth strategy, with an outlook to 2050. The WRS aims to make greater Wellington an 'internationally competitive' region – a region that offers the competitive package of a great lifestyle and job opportunities, supported by a strong economy. The WRS was adopted by Greater Wellington in 2007.

The three key focus areas of the WRS are:

- Investment in leadership and partnership,
- Investment in growing the regional economy, especially regional exports, and
- Investment in good regional 'form'.

The part of the WRS that is particularly relevant to the SH58 Strategic Study is the focus on 'investment in good regional form', within which the WRS lists two priority areas.

The first is 'a strong Wellington CBD and sub-regional centres'. The WRS notes that transport decisions may affect the ability of the Wellington CBD and sub-regional centres to be properly supported, but the converse point is equally true, that future development impacts on the operation and sustainability of the state highway network and the rest of the land transport system.

The second is 'change areas'. The WRS suggests that the region has the following eight 'change areas', which are said to be particularly important to the successful implementation of the strategy:

- Northern Waikanae edge,
- Pauatahanui,
- Grenada to Gracefield,
- Johnsonville to the airport the growth spine,
- Paraparaumu town to Paraparaumu beach,
- Porirua to Linden,
- SH2 / SH58 Interchange to Upper Hutt City centre, and
- Waingawa, west of Masterton

Several of the 'change areas' will have direct and potentially significant impacts on the future demand for travel along SH58.

2.3.2 Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy

The Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy (RLTS) 2007 - 2016 was adopted in July 2007.

The RLTS records that its completion was delayed to allow for the completion of the Wellington Regional Strategy.

The vision of the Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy 2007 - 2016 is:

'To deliver, through significant achievements in each period, an integrated land transport system that supports the region's people and prosperity in a way that is economically, environmentally and socially sustainable.'

The RLTS seeks to address the following key issues and pressures faced by the region:

- Access to goods and services, employment and amenities,
- Transport related greenhouse gas emissions,
- Public transport capacity and mode share,
- Reliability of the transport network,
- Severe traffic congestion, particularly at peak times, *and*
- East-west connections between key transport corridors and regional centres.

The vision, objectives and outcomes of the draft RLTS are translated into the following action programmes for each transport mode:

- Road Safety Plan,
- Cycling Plan,
- Pedestrian Plan,
- Travel Demand Management (TDM) Strategy,
- Passenger Transport Plan, and
- Freight Plan.

In addition to these region-wide, mode-related action programmes, specific action plans are provided for each of the following four major transport corridors:

- Western Corridor Otaki to Ngauranga Merge,
- Hutt Corridor Upper Hutt to Ngauranga Merge,
- Wairarapa Corridor Masterton to Upper Hutt, and
- Ngauranga to Wellington Airport Corridor.

The SH58 Corridor is referred to under both the Western Corridor Plan and the Hutt Corridor Plan in relation to the following projects:

- Design and construct SH2/SH58 grade separation
- Upgrade SH58 between Transmission Gully and SH2
- Review District Plan land use controls to align with the outcomes of the Wellington Regional Strategy, particularly in the vicinity of the junction of Transmission Gully and SH58
- Develop a corridor management plan for SH58 east of Pauatahanui consistent with the RLTS

2.4 Wellington Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12

The Wellington Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12 (RLTP) is a three year programme that contains all the land transport activities proposed to be undertaken



throughout the region for the next 3 financial years (2009-12), indicative activities over the following 3 financial years, plus a 10 year financial forecast. The approved programme was released in June 2009.

The Regional Transport Committee adopted a prioritisaiton process to ensure the programme contributes to the region's desired outcomes. First-priority activities are required to maintain the existing level of service or are necessary to meet statutory obligations. Second-priority activities are relatively low cost studies, demand management activities and improvement works that are expected to help the region move quickly toward achieving RLTS outcomes. Third-priority activities are the high cost new works or services. Table 2.1 lists the proposed projects that are included in the Third-priority activities along the SH58 Corridor.

Table 2.1: 2009-12 RLTP and 10-Year Programme - Projects affecting SH58 Corridor

Project Name	Indicative Construction Timing
Proposed Large Projects:	
SH2 / SH58 Grade-Separation construction	2009/10 to 2011/12
SH58 Long-term Safety Upgrades	2013/14 to 2014/15
Transmission Gully	2016⁺
Grenada-Gracefield Western	2014/15+
Grenada-Gracefield Eastern	2016/17+

⁺Subject to consents being obtained and funding issues being resolved

2.5 Draft Wellington Regional ITS Strategy

The Wellington Regional ITS Strategy outlines how Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) can contribute towards the objectives of the New Zealand Transport Strategy for the Wellington regional State highway network.

The strategy recommends expanding the current ITS infrastructure to cover the majority of the region over a 10 to 20 year timeframe.

In relation to the SH58 Corridor, the draft strategy suggests that ITS infrastructure be installed along the route, and at the intersections at either end within 5 years.

The ITS strategy also recommends that any improvement projects on the state highway network should include enabling works for ITS infrastructure where possible. This could include installing ducting, fibre pits, power supply upgrades or cabinets.

2.6 Towards Safer Highways

The NZTA Wellington Towards Safer Highways document identifies and documents existing road safety issues on the Wellington State Highway Network and provides an indicative prioritisation for future safety works programmes. This document is updated annually.

This document is used to identify safety issues on SH58 as they appear and assists in programming remedial measures to reduce the crash risk. Large capital works identified by



this strategy are required to take the issues identified in the Towards Safer Highways document into consideration when developing the final design.

2.7 Summary of Strategic Context and Need for SH58 Strategic Study

The Wellington Regional Strategy (WRS) has direct and potentially significant implications for future demand for travel along SH58, as well as along other state highway corridors within the region, that are only partially addressed in the RLTS. While the RLTP details projects that have already been programmed, it does not contain the longer term strategic direction for the corridor.

This Strategic Study is being undertaken to determine the long term safety and efficiency trends on the SH58 corridor and to help identify, manage and/or mitigate the adverse impacts that could develop over the 20-year life of this strategy.

This Strategic Study for SH58 takes account of the overarching documents detailed above, and describes a long-term strategy that will enable SH58 to contribute to an affordable, integrated, safe, responsive and sustainable transport system, without undermining the known regional planning priorities.

3 Corridor Management – Background and Issues

The SH58 Corridor provides the major arterial route that joins Porirua and Kapiti Coast with the Hutt Valley. In hierarchical terms, as defined in the National State Highway Strategy, it operates as a regional route. The following sub-sections provide background information and describe the identified existing and future issues within the SH58 Corridor study length that influences the strategic plan for the corridor.

3.1 Existing Route Characteristics

The SH58 Corridor is 15.1km in length. It contains noticeable variation in both carriageway standards and traffic volume throughout the length. SH58 is predominantly declared a limited access road (LAR between RP 0/0.44 and RP 0/13.86) and provides a single two-way carriageway with roundabouts and priority controlled intersections. The width of the highway is constrained in many locations due to the terrain. Traffic volumes vary from 13,800 vehicles per day (vpd) west of SH2 to 9,200 vpd east of James Cook Drive at the end of the Pauatahinui Inlet, and to 16,700 vpd east of the Paremata Roundabout. The existing characteristics of the individual highway sections within the corridor study length are described in the following sub-sections.

3.1.1 Manor Park to Moonshine Road (RP 0/0.00 - 0/6.28)

The existing characteristics of the "Haywards Hill" section of SH58 are as follows:

- A single carriageway, two-lane, two-way, 100 km/h highway section, with one passing lane in the westbound direction and two passing lanes in the eastbound direction. The eastbound and westbound lanes are separated by a wire rope median barrier from approximately RP 0/1.5 to 0/2.3 and double yellow no overtaking lines for the remainder of the section.
- The SH2/58 intersection is currently traffic signal controlled with left turn slip lanes. The SH58 intersection with Hebden Crescent is located immediately west of the traffic signals and this priority controlled intersection allows all turning movements.



- This section has at-grade T-intersections with McDougall Grove, Hugh Duncan Street, Kaitawa Street, Old Haywards Road, Mt Cecil Road, Harris Road and Moonshine Road. The Old Haywards Road and Harris Road intersections are uncontrolled, while the remainder are priority controlled intersections with conventional intersection layouts (i.e. none of the intersections have 'seagull' T-intersection layouts).
- Haywards Hill has moderately tight horizontal curves in both directions. The curves can be described as below:

For eastbound traffic:

- RP 0/0.930 horizontal curve with 75km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/2.160 horizontal curve with 65km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/3.860 horizontal curve with 75km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/4.392 horizontal curve with 85km/h advisory speed

For westbound traffic:

- RP 0/0.490 horizontal curve with 75km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/1.870 horizontal curve with 75km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/3.430 horizontal curve with 75km/h advisory speed
- This section of SH58 has an upward gradient of 7% travelling from Manor Park towards Haywards Summit and a downward gradient of about 5% from Haywards Summit to Moonshine Road.
- The only recorded annual average daily traffic (AADT) volume along this section of SH58 in 2007 was 13,847 vpd west of SH2 (Haywards counts site). The historical traffic growth rate is 2.1% per annum, relative to the recorded 2007 AADT volumes.
- Hutt City Council and Porirua City Council records indicate that recorded 7-day average daily traffic (ADT) volumes on the local roads that intersect with the Haywards Hill section of SH58 are as follows:
 - Hebden Crescent, 498 vpd (September 2002)
 - McDougall Grove, 149 vpd (March 2005)
 - Hugh Duncan Street, no counts available
 - Kaitawa Street, no counts available
 - Old Haywards Road, no counts available
 - Mt Cecil Road, no counts available
 - Harris Roa, 36 vpd (August 2007)
 - Moonshine Road, 598 vpd (June 2007)
- The land use along this section is predominantly rural with a small amount of residential and industrial at the eastern end in the form of the Haywards electricity substation and the Dry Creek Quarry.

3.1.2 Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui (RP 0/6.28 - 0/10.00)

The existing characteristics of this section of SH58 are as follows:

- A single carriageway, two-lane, two-way, 100km/h highway section.
- SH58 has at-grade T-intersections with Mulhern Road, Belmont Road, Bradey Road, at-grade cross-intersection at Murphys/Flightys Road and a roundabout at Paremata Haywards Road (Pauatahanui Roundabout). All the T- and cross-intersections except for Mulhern Road are priority controlled intersections with conventional intersection



layouts (i.e. none of the intersections have 'seagull' T-intersection layouts). The T-intersection with Mulhern Road is uncontrolled.

• The section is moderately windy with some tight horizontal curves. The curves can be described as below:

For eastbound traffic:

• RP 0/7.022 - horizontal curve with 85km/h advisory speed

For westbound traffic:

- RP 0/6.604 horizontal curve with 85km/h advisory speed
- The section between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui is flat.
- The only recorded annual average daily traffic (AADT) volume along this section of SH58 in 2007 was 13,980 vpd east of Pauatahanui (RP 0/9.14) which is consistent with the traffic volumes east of Moonshine Road. The historical traffic growth rate at the count site is 2.0% per annum, relative to the recorded 2007 AADT volumes.
- Porirua City Council records indicate that the recorded 7-day ADT volumes on the local roads that intersect with SH58 are as follows:
 - Mulhern Road, 219 vpd (June 2007)
 - Murphys Road, 272 vpd (June 2007)
 - Flightys Road, 357 vpd (June 2007)
 - o Belmont Road, 123 vpd (July 2007)
 - Bradey Road, 124 vpd (June 2007)
 - Paremata Haywards Road, no counts available
- The rural land continues along this section although there are also more frequent lifestyle blocks and industrial activities with direct access onto the highway. The Judgeford Golf Course is also located within this section.

3.1.3 Pauatahanui to Paremata (RP 0/10.00 - 0/15.10)

The existing characteristics of this section of SH58 are as follows:

- A single carriageway, two-lane, two-way highway section with 80 km/h speed limit between Pauatahanui Roundabout and north of Postgate Drive and 50km/h speed limit from north of Postgate Drive to Paremata.
- SH58 currently has at-grade T-intersections at Joseph Banks Drive, James Cook Drive, Spinnaker Drive, Postgate Drive, Oak Avenue, an at-grade cross-intersection at Seaview/Bayview Road and a roundabout at Paremata Crescent/SH1.
- All the T- and cross-intersections are priority controlled intersections with conventional intersection layouts.
- The section is moderately windy as it traverses the edge of the estuary with some tight horizontal curves. The curves can be described as below:

For eastbound traffic:

- RP 0/11.400 horizontal curve with 55km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/11.729 horizontal curve with 45km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/12.516 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed



- RP 0/13.002 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/13.847 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed
 - RP 0/14.170 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed

For westbound traffic:

- RP 0/11.348 horizontal curve with 45km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/11.765 horizontal curve with 45km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/12.215 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/12.514 horizontal curve with 45km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/12.650 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed
- RP 0/14.050 horizontal curve with 35km/h advisory speed
- Majority of the section between Pauatahanui and Paremata is flat except for the section between Bay View Road and SH1 which has an upward gradient of 5% for about 300m and then a downward gradient of about 4.4% for 300m when travelling towards SH1.
- The traffic volumes between Pauatahanui Roundabout and SH1 increase dramatically from east to west. The recorded AADTs in 2007 increase from 9,236 vpd west of James Cook Drive to 16,703 vpd east of the Paremata Roundabout. The weighted average of the historical traffic growth rates at the count sites between Pauatahanui and Paremata is 1.8% per annum, relative to the 2007 AADT volumes.
- Porirua City Council records indicate that recorded 7-day ADT volumes on the local roads that intersect with SH58 are as follows:
 - Joseph Bank Drive, 1,719 vpd (June 2006)
 - o James Cook Drive, 4,184 vpd (February 2007)
 - o Spinnaker Drive, 2,919 vpd (May 2007)
 - Postgate Drive, 6,612 vpd(February 2009)
 - Oak Avenue, 1,743 vpd (July 2004)
 - Seaview Road, no counts available
 - Bayview Road, no counts available
 - Paremata Crescent, 3,654 vpd (June 2008)
- From Pauatahanui to Postgate Drive the highway traverses the edge of the Pauatahanui Inlet with cliffs on the other side of the road and therefore there is little in the way of land use adjoining the highway. However, residential properties line the majority of the route on both sides of the highway west of Postgate Drive.

3.2 Traffic Volumes and Highway Capacity

Recent 7-day traffic counts at each of the count sites along the study length have been analysed and factored to reflect the published 2007 AADT volumes to determine typical weekday commuter peak traffic volumes along the study length.

The forecast traffic volumes were determined primarily though the Greater Wellington Transport Strategy EMME2 model and the NZTA SATURN model. A full description of how the forecast traffic volumes were determined is included in Appendix A.

The timing of those large projects which are included in the RLTP have also been taken into account in the determination of the forecast traffic volumes. Two future years have been



investigated, 2019 and 2029, and the following projects are assumed to be included in these scenarios over and above the current network:

2019 SH2/SH58 Interchange and the Grenada to Gracefield projects

2029 The above projects plus Transmission Gully

The detailed traffic analysis spreadsheets are presented in Appendix C.

Figure 3 to *Figure 14* show the variations in the traffic volumes and the highway capacity along the study length, for the typical weekday commuter peaks in 2009 and the predicted weekday peaks in 2019 and 2029 in both the eastbound and westbound directions. The individual figures are as follows:

- Figure 3: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes 2009 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 4: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes 2009 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 5: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes 2009 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 6: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes 2009 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 7: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 8: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 9: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 10: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 11: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 12: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak
- Figure 13: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak
- Figure 14: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak

The details of the current traffic volumes, predicted future traffic demands and current and future levels of service (LOS) for the individual highway sections within the corridor study length are described in the following sub-sections.

Level of Service (LOS) is an index of the operational performance of traffic on a given traffic lane, accommodating various traffic volumes under different combinations of operating conditions. Table 2.1 below outlines the Austroads' Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice - Roadway Capacity definitions of LOS.



Table 3.1: Austroads' LOS description

Level of Service	Austroads' Description
A	General free flow conditions with operating speeds usually about 90% of the free flow travel speed for the particular class of arterial. Vehicles are unimpeded in manoeuvring in the traffic stream and stopped delay at intersections is minimal.
В	Relatively unimpeded operation with average travel speeds about 70% of the free flow speed for the particular arterial class. Manoeuvring in the traffic stream is only slightly restricted and stopped delays are low.
С	Stable operating conditions but with manoeuvring becoming more restricted and motorist experiencing appreciable tension in driving, longer queues and/or adverse signal coordination may contribute to lower average travel speeds of about 50% of the free flow speed for the arterial class.
D	Conditions border on a range in which small increases in flow can significantly increase intersection delay and reduce travel speed. Travel speeds are about 40% of the free flow speed.
E	Conditions are characterised by significant intersection delays and travel speeds of 33% of free flow speed or lower. Contributing factors may be: adverse signal progression, closely spaced signals, extensive queuing at critical intersections (ie. saturated intersection conditions).
F	Traffic flow at this level is very low speed – below 25% to 33% of the free flow speed for the arterial class. Signalised intersections would be severely congested (over-saturated) with extensive queuing and delay.

The link Level of Service calculations are based on a range of variables. These include:

- Environment (i.e. rural or urban)
- Lane widths
- Shoulder widths
- Terrain
- Percent of heavy vehicles
- Directional distribution
- Passing opportunities

In the graphs below, the upper limit of LOS E has been chosen to reflect the capacity of the highway. Ideally, highway upgrades should occur prior to the traffic volumes in peak periods meeting this capacity figure; however, that this often does not happen. The primary reason for this is affordability. The NZTA National State Highway Strategy states:

"...in some areas the demand will continue to exceed the capacity of the network. It is recognised at a national level that we can't afford to build our way out of congestion, and state highways will not be able to meet peak demand in all instances. So, we must learn to act smarter to fulfil New Zealand's transportation needs. A combination of road building, smart land use planning and measures that manage travel demand is needed."

Whilst this strategy promotes infrastructure upgrades prior to traffic volumes exceeding the capacity of high way sections, it is noted that there are many other factors need to be taken into account in the prioritisation and timing of land transport funding. These are outlined in the NZTA Planning Policy Manual and include the state highway category, scale and frequency of traffic delays, the extent and nature of economic, environmental and social effects, cost effectiveness and consistency with the RLTS. Accordingly, each project will be considered by the NZTA on a case by case basis.



In addition to the above, it is noted that the WTSM and SATURN models include growth information from Statistics New Zealand which is based on historical trends and therefore may not accurately reflect the probable level of future growth in specific areas. However, the total growth over the region is likely to be correct and it is not the purpose of this study to re-examine these growth projections. Nevertheless, this study does recognise that there is a need to monitor the growth around the region to ensure that the State Highway network is being developed in accordance with the actual demand. To this end, it is proposed to monitor this area and update this strategy if necessary. Further discussion on the monitoring strategy is contained in Section 6.





Figure 3: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday AM Peak









Figure 5: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - 2009 Weekday AM Peak









Figure 7: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak



Figure 8: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday PM Peak





Figure 9: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2019 Weekday AM Peak









Figure 11: SH58 Eastbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak









Figure 13: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday AM Peak



Figure 14: SH58 Westbound Traffic Volumes - Predicted 2029 Weekday PM Peak



3.2.1 Manor Park to Moonshine Road

The section of SH58 between Manor Park and Moonshine Road is currently operating below its capacity, with traffic volumes of 950 vehicles per hour (vph) eastbound and 800 westbound in the weekday morning commuter peak and 750 vph eastbound and 900 vph westbound in the weekday evening commuter peak. This section of SH58 is currently operating at LOS E during the weekday commuter peak periods, except for the sections with passing lanes which operate at LOS D.

Predicted future traffic demands and highway operating characteristics are as follows:

- In 2019, once the Grenada to Gracefield projects are completed, traffic volumes will have reduced in both directions and in both time periods as some traffic transfers to the new east-west route. This reduction, which is in the order of 50 to 200vph, results in improvements to the level of service experienced along this corridor.
- The introduction of Transmission Gully results in 2029 traffic volumes increasing again due to the attractiveness of the new highway. In the AM peak westbound and the PM peak eastbound traffic volumes are predicted to be equal to or less than those currently experienced, but in the AM peak eastbound and the PM peak westbound traffic volumes will be greater than 2009 flows. In the AM peak eastbound, the traffic volumes of approximately 1,250vph will mean that some sections of the route will be operating at capacity. However, in all other situations LOS D or E can be expected.

3.2.2 Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui

The section of SH58 between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui is currently operating below its capacity (operating at LOS D or E), with traffic volumes of approximately 950 vph eastbound and 700 vph westbound in the weekday morning commuter peak and 700 vph eastbound and 900 vph westbound in the weekday evening commuter peak.

Predicted future traffic demands and highway operating characteristics are as follows:

- Traffic volumes in 2019 show similar characteristics to the eastern section of SH58, with lower traffic volumes due to the introduction of the Grenada to Gracefield projects.
- By 2029, the commissioning of Transmission Gully sees volumes again increasing. However, this section of SH58 has slightly better capacity which results in this section of highway operating at LOS D or well within LOS E.

3.2.3 Pauatahanui to Paremata

The section of SH58 between Pauatahanui and Paremata is currently approaching its capacity, with maximum traffic volumes of approximately 1,350 vph westbound and 400 vph eastbound in the weekday morning commuter peak and 1,350 vph eastbound and 600 vph westbound in the weekday evening commuter peak. This section of SH58 is currently operating at LOS D or E during the weekday commuter peak period.

Predicted future traffic demands and highway operating characteristics are as follows:

- In 2019, once the Grenada to Gracefield projects are completed, traffic volumes reduce slightly but the level of service remains within the same band.
- By 2029, traffic volumes decrease significantly with the introduction of Transmission Gully as drivers travelling to/from Porirua and other destinations on the western coast would use different links to the new highway, including the Kenepuru Link which will be provided with Transmission Gully. The reduced traffic volumes would



result in Level of Service D east of Postgate Drive and between Postgate Drive and SH1 the urban section would be operating at Level of Service C.

One of the other influencing factors for this section is the impact of Greys Road, as this carries a significant amount of traffic between SH58 east of Pauatahanui and SH1 around the northern side of the Pauatahanui inlet. The traffic volumes forecast on SH58 do not include an allowance for any major changes on Greys Road. However, Porirua City Council are considering undertaking traffic calming measures on this link, which may result in additional traffic using this section of SH58. NZTA and Porirua City Council will continue to have ongoing discussions in regards to any work undertaken on these links and the possible impact that any works will have on both roads.

3.3 Historic Highway Safety Performance

The NZTA Crash Analysis System (CAS) crash database has been interrogated to identify and analyse crashes and crash trends that have occurred along the SH58 Corridor length.

The reported crashes along SH58 are summarised in the following sub-sections.

Section 0 outlines the projects that are proposed to mitigate a number of the crash problems that are reported in this strategy. In addition NZTA's network managers also continuously review crash data and work to solve emerging crash trends through implementation of minor safety improvements and identification of larger capital projects.

3.3.1 Overall Crash Figures

Analysis of the crash data for the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008 indicates that there are currently an average of about 16 injury crashes, 52 total crashes and crash costs of about \$5.3 million per annum along the SH58 Corridor.

These annual crash numbers and costs illustrate that there is scope for achieving one of the objectives of the Land Transport Management Act (2003), to improve safety and personal security, along the SH58 Corridor length.

A detailed analysis of crash trends and costs for intersections and mid-block sections is provided in *Appendix D*. However, a number of observations can be made in regards to the 5-year crash history over the entire SH58 length.

Over half of all crashes on this stretch of SH2 were loss-of-control or head-on crashes on bends. This reflects the winding nature of much of the route and the often narrow carriageway.

Less than 30% of crashes occurred at intersections, with the remainder occurring along midblock sections.

Around a third of all crashes occurred during dark or twilight conditions, and just over a third occurred during wet or icy conditions. These are not inconsistent with national statistics; however a couple of sections do have dark or wet crash rates which are deemed to be high.

Approximately 30% of all crashes included poor handling and 28% included poor observation as factors in the crash. 24% of all crashes involved inappropriate speed.



3.3.2 Intersection Crashes

During the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008, there have been a total of 95 reported intersection crashes along the SH58 Corridor length, comprising:

- five serious injury crashes,
- 23 minor injury crashes, and
- 67 non-injury crashes.

The reported crashes and total crash costs at the individual intersections along the SH58 Corridor length are illustrated in *Figure 15* and *Figure 16* below respectively.

Figure 15 shows that the intersection injury crash rates on SH58 near SH2/SH58 interchange and between Spinnaker Drive and Paremata are higher than the other sections of the highway. *Figure 15* also illustrates the crash rate west of Pauatahanui roundabout is generally higher than the crash rate east of the roundabout.



Figure 15: SH58 Corridor Intersections - All Crashes (2004-2008)





Figure 16: SH58 Corridor Intersections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)

3.3.3 Mid-Block Crashes

During the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008, there have been a total of 166 reported mid-block crashes along the SH58 Corridor length, comprising:

- one fatal crash (one fatality),
- eight serious injury crashes,
- 42 minor injury crashes, and
- 115 non-injury crashes.

The reported injury crashes, total crashes, total crash costs and injury crash rates for the mid-block sections along the SH58 Corridor length are illustrated in *Figure 17*, *Figure 18*, *Figure 19* and *Figure 20* below respectively.

In addition to showing the injury crash rates for the individual mid-block sections along the SH58 Corridor length, *Figure 20* also illustrates the typical injury crash rates (calculated in accordance with NZTA's (formerly LTNZ's) *'Economic Evaluation Manual, Appendix A6.5*) for the following mid-block highway section types:

- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.5m lanes, 1.0m shoulders, though rolling/mountainous terrain and occasional passing lanes).
- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.25m lanes, 2.0m shoulders).
- 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 2.75m lanes, 0.5m shoulders), and
- 2-Lane Urban Arterial Highway, with Other Roadside Land-use.



rigure 17. 51150 control mid block sections injury crashes (2004 2006)



Figure 18: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - All Crashes (2004-2008)





Figure 19: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)



Figure 20: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections – Injury Crash Rates (per 10⁸ veh-km)



Discussion in regards to the safety issues raised in this section and how they are proposed to be addressed by improvements is presented later in the report.

3.4 Route Security

SH58 is subject to a number of different hazard events including extreme weather events (including ice and snow), flooding, spring tides, landslides, and earthquakes.

Flood events can impact on the highway at various locations causing localised impacts. Historically these have occurred around the Pauatahanui Stream and at Duck Creek, amongst others. Spring tides within the Pauatahanui Inlet can also result in the highway needing to be closed.

Landslides can be triggered by high rainfall events or earthquakes. The rolling and mountainous nature of the majority of the route means that landslides and slope failures can result in debris landing on or even blocking the highway.

The Wellington area is highly seismic and subject to occasional intense earthquakes. Earthquake related events can include landslides, soil liquefaction, tsunamis as well as infrastructure collapse due to seismic forces. These events could result in the highway needing to be closed.

The alternative routes for when SH58 is closed include using SH1 and SH2 via Ngauranga, Akatarawa Road (from Upper Hutt to Waikanae) or SH2, 3 and 57 via Palmerston North. However, in times of seismic event these routes may also be closed as they also are at risk of geological hazard. The Petone to Grenada link, once constructed, would also provide another viable alternative route.

4 SH58 Corridor Strategic Plan

An internal Transit New Zealand multi-division charette was held to determine the direction of the SH58 Corridor strategic plan. The charette considered the background information and issues and defined the broad strategic plan for the SH58 Corridor.

Consultation has been undertaken with Upper Hutt City Council, Hutt City Council, Porirua City Council, Kapiti Coast District Council and Greater Wellington Regional Council. Information obtained during this consultation, along with specific suggestions that have been received previously from the Councils and the findings of previous studies, have been considered in the development of the final strategic plan.

4.1 Discussion on the Strategic Plan

In general, the long-term SH58 Corridor strategic plan can be summarised as follows:

- The strategy assumes the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully will proceed within 10 years.
- Based on these assumptions, SH58 will be retained as a two-lane two-way highway with the current passing lanes.
- All intersections will be at-grade, with the exception of the intersections with SH2 and Transmission Gully which will be grade separated.



- The section between Manor Park and Moonshine Road will be managed as an 80 100km/h rural environment with consideration being given to carriageway widening and a median barrier (with some provision for turning movements) in the long term.
- The section between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui will also be managed as an 80-100km/h rural environment with minor safety upgrades in the short term. Long term, this section could become a peri-urban environment and roundabouts for safety will be considered at the Moonshine Road and Flightys Road / Murphys Road intersections in conjunction with reducing the speed limit.
- In the short term, the section between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive will be managed as a 70km/h peri-urban section and the section from Postgate Drive to Paremata will be managed as a 50km/h urban highway with controlled access. The long term status of SH58 from Transmission Gully to Paremata will be determined as part of the Transmission Gully project.
- Minor safety works will continue to be undertaken to address specific crash issues that arise during the study period.

The rationale for the form and programme of the proposed improvement works within the strategic plan are discussed for the individual highway sections in the following sub-sections. The details of the proposed short term (within 5 years), medium term (5 to 10 years) and long term (10 to 20 years) implementation plans for the strategic plan are provided in *Section 4.2* below, with a map of the proposed improvements attached in *Appendix E*.

4.1.1 Manor Park to Moonshine Road

The section of SH58 between Manor Park and Moonshine Road is currently operating below capacity. In 2019, and assuming the Grenada to Gracefield projects are constructed, traffic volumes will decrease in comparison to the current situation. However, by 2029 and the completion of Transmission Gully, the traffic volumes will again increase but will remain well below the theoretical capacity in all time periods, except eastbound in the AM peak, and therefore some capacity improvements will need to be considered at this location.

The SH2/SH58 intersection is a capacity issue that is programmed to be addressed. A grade separated interchange is currently proposed at this location to replace the traffic signals. This will comprise ramps from SH2 to an elevated roundabout structure which will connect into SH58, Hebden Crescent, McDougall Grove and Manor Park Road. This interchange is currently programmed for construction from 2010/11.

In addition to the interchange, a couple of capacity improvements are proposed to ensure that this route continues to operate efficiently. The narrow road width which, in some locations, is reducing the theoretical capacity of the route will be increased to provide wider shoulders. This will also assist in improving the safety of those narrow sections. Furthermore, the two westbound lanes proposed as part of the SH2/58 interchange will be extended to join into the current Haywards Hill passing lane to provide two continuous lanes to the summit

The section from Manor Park to Moonshine Road has a relatively low crash rate overall. However, the curves around the Dry Creek Quarry and the Hayward substation have crash rates higher than expected. Due to the curvilinear nature of the highway and the potential for cross-centreline and head-on crashes, some long term improvements are proposed to extend the existing Wire Rope Median Barrier to Hugh Duncan Drive in the east and to Moonshine Road in the west. Turning movements would be rationalised to upgraded facilities at Haywards Substation, Mt Cecil Road and Harris Road. Realignments for safety at the Hayward substation will be considered as part of the median barrier extension, while the



curves at Dry Creek Quarry will be addressed as part of the SH2/SH58 Grade Separated Interchange works.

4.1.2 Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui

The Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui section of the route is currently operating well below capacity and is not expected to reach capacity for at least 20 years.

However, the mid block crash rates on this section are higher than the typical rates for this type of highway, in part due to the higher level of access which this section affords. Accordingly, the short term strategy is to undertake minor safety improvements such as seal widening and intersection upgrades to address specific crash issues.

The long term strategy for this section of SH58 is to give consideration to constructing roundabouts at key intersections to appropriately manage turning movements and driver speeds. Depending on future crash trends and the level of turning movements, rural roundabouts will be considered at Moonshine Road and Murphys Road / Flightys Road in addition to the existing roundabout at Pauatahanui. This section will continue to be monitored in relation to the level of access and the number of turning movements. Should these significantly increase, a flush median between the roundabouts will be considered in conjunction with reducing the speed limit.

The Transmission Gully route crosses SH58 between Bradey Road and the Pauatahanui Roundabout. As part of the Transmission Gully project, a roundabout is proposed at this location to connect SH58 to the Transmission Gully on- and off-ramps.

4.1.3 Pauatahanui to Paremata

Some sections of SH58 between the Pauatahanui roundabout and the Paremata roundabout on SH1 are currently approaching capacity. However, the forecast traffic volumes assuming increased development but also the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully are well within the capacity of the highway. Nevertheless, the longer term strategy for this section is being investigated as part of the Transmission Gully project.

While the mid-block crash rates are not inconsistent with what could be expected for this type of highway, there has been a significant number of crashes occurring at many of the intersections along this route.

The constrained environment through which this highway traverses means that large scale improvements for capacity and safety would come at considerable expense. Accordingly, the short to medium term strategy seeks to make best use of the existing highway. This will primarily involve rationalising turning movements at the Spinnaker Drive intersection and installation of a roundabout at the Postgate Drive intersection to improve safety. These improvements will result in a safer and more efficient stretch of highway.

4.2 Details of the Strategic Plan

The proposed implementation plan for the SH58 Corridor is presented in Table 4.1 and Figure 21 to Figure 23 below.

The rationale for the form and programme of the proposed improvement works within the strategic plan are discussed in *Section 4.1* (and sub-sections) above.



Table 4.1: SH58 Corridor -Implementation Plan

Improvement Works	Indicative Timing for Construction		
Proposed Large Projects			
• SH2 / SH58 Grade Separated Interchange	2010/11 - 2015/16		
Proposed Small and Medium Projects:			
Extension of uphill passing lane	0-5 years		
Haywards to Moonshine Seal Widening	10-15 years		
Hayward Substation Curves Realignment	10-15 years		
Haywards Summit to Moonshine Road Median Barrier	15-20 years		
Moonshine Road Roundabout	15-20 years		
Moonshine to Pauatahanui Minor Safety Improvements	0-5 years		
Flightys Road / Murphys Road Roundabout	15-20 years		
Postgate Drive Roundabout	2010		
Spinnaker Drive intersection treatment	2010/11		

It is recommended that the investigation stages of the above projects be undertaken as early as possible to more accurately determine the appropriate construction timing.

In addition to the proposed projects above, minor safety works will be undertaken throughout the strategy period to continuously improve the safety of road users on this highway.




Aerial Photography: LINZ 2004/2005

Figure 21: Proposed Improvements - Manor Park to Moonshine Road





Aerial Photography: LINZ 2004/2005

Figure 22: Proposed Improvements -Moonshine Road to Pauatahanui





Aerial Photography: LINZ 2004/2005

Figure 23: Proposed Improvements -Pauatahanui to Paremata



5 SH58 Corridor Improvements - Project Feasibility

Project Feasibility Reports (PFRs) have been undertaken for each of the proposed future improvement works within the SH58 Corridor strategic plan.

The indicative cost and the NZTA "Funding Assessment Profile" for each of the proposed improvement works are summarised in Table 5.1 below.

Improvement Works	Indicative Cost	l As	⁻ unding sessme Profile [*]	g ent
	I Investigate \$ <5M \$\$ 5-20M \$\$\$ 20-100M \$\$\$\$ 100+M	Strategic Fit	Effectiveness	Economic Efficiency
Proposed Large Projects:				
• SH2 / SH58 Grade Separated Interchange	\$\$\$	Н	М	М
Proposed Small and Medium Projects:				
Extension of uphill passing lane	\$	М	Μ	L
Haywards to Moonshine Seal Widening	\$\$	М	Μ	L
Hayward Substation Curves Realignment	\$	М	М	М
 Haywards Summit to Moonshine Road Median Barrier (including turn around facilities) 	\$\$	М	М	L
Moonshine Road Roundabout	\$	М	М	L
Flightys Road / Murphys Road Roundabout	\$	М	М	L
Postgate Drive Roundabout	\$	М	М	Н
Spinnaker Drive intersection treatment	\$	М	М	Н

Table 5.1: SH58 Corridor - Project Feasibility for Proposed Works

*Where a funding assessment profile for a project has not been approved by NZTA, the generic funding profile from the draft amendment to NZTA's Planning Programming and Funding Manual (dated 3 June 2009) has been used.



6 Monitoring

It is acknowledged that there are a number of assumptions in this strategy which have helped determine the types and timings of projects to be undertaken. If one or more of these assumptions do not eventuate then the strategy may need to be revisited to ensure the recommendations are still appropriate and robust.

In order to ensure that these reviews are undertaken, a monitoring strategy is proposed with a number of trigger points that will initiate consideration of whether the strategy needs to be reviewed. For the SH58 Strategic Study, these trigger points are as follows:

- Once the 2011 update of the Wellington Transport Strategy Model has been undertaken, which will include updated growth information around the region;
- Once construction timelines have been confirmed for the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully;
- Once the Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy has been updated; and
- If significant changes in traffic volumes are experienced on the State Highway or intersecting local roads in comparison to the forecast traffic volumes used in this strategy. This is particularly important if significant changes are made to Greys Road and need to be revisited once the Grenada to Gracefield projects and Transmission Gully are constructed.



Appendix A: Traffic Analysis File Note

This appendix includes a file note outlining how the forecast traffic volumes were determined for both the SH2 and SH58 corridors.



NZ Transport Agency SH2 and SH58 Strategic Studies - Traffic Growth Assumptions

This report has been prepared for the benefit of NZ Transport Agency. No liability is accepted by this company or any employee or sub-consultant of this company with respect to its use by any other person

Rev. No.	Date	Description	Prepared By	Reviewed By	Approved By
-	30/10/09	Draft for Comment	Phil Peet		
Α	3/11/09	For GW Comment	Phil Peet	David Wanty	
В	4/11/09	Final	Phil Peet		Phil Peet
С	21/12/09	Final – SH58 Updated	Phil Peet		Phil Peet

1 Introduction

This document outlines how the forecast traffic volumes in the SH2 and SH58 Strategic Studies were determined.

When the SH2 Strategic Study was first prepared, neither the Wellington Transport Strategy Model (WTSM) or the SATURN model were developed sufficiently to enable traffic forecasts from these to be used to predict future traffic volumes on SH2 or SH58. Accordingly, the future demands on these routes were predicted by determining the historical traffic growth from NZTA count sites along the study lengths, then reducing these slightly to account for additional uptake in public transport and implementation of travel demand management measures in future years.

With the recent push to complete the strategic studies, this approach was retained. However, Greater Wellington, in reviewing the documents, requested that the future demand be based on WTSM forecasts, as this takes account of the changing land use, population and employment projections, public transport, fuel price and the capacity of the transport network.

MWH therefore requested WTSM model outputs from Greater Wellington to assist in the development of the strategy. Subsequently, SATURN model outputs were also obtained. It is important to note that no new trip matrices or networks were created as part of this project. Instead, the most appropriate existing matrices and networks were used to forecast traffic volumes,

2 WTSM Model Outputs

Four different model outputs were obtained from Greater Wellington; these were:

- 2006 Base. The committed projects in this run included the Inner City Bypass.
- 2016 Committed projects only (i.e. 2006 network above plus Dowse to Petone). This assumed the GW medium growth option (equivalent to around 1.8% p.a.), a 20% increase in public transport fares (from 2006) and a 20% increase in fuel price (from 2006).
- 2016 Committed projects plus Petone to Grenada and Transmission Gully. This also assumed medium growth, a 20% increase in public transport fares (from 2006) and a 20% increase in fuel price (from 2006).
- 2026 Committed projects plus Petone to Grenada and Transmission Gully. This assumed medium growth, a 20% increase in public transport fares (from 2006) and a 20% increase in fuel price (from 2006).



It is noted that the 20% increase in PT fares and fuel prices has not been altered between the 2016 and 2026 model runs. This means that no further increases in public transport fares or fuel prices have been assumed in this ten year period. It should also be noted that there are no network changes in any of these scenarios to SH1 through Ngauranga Gorge, SH2 Petone-Ngauranga or SH1 Ngauranga to Aotea Quay.

The outputs of these scenarios were provided by means of pdf network plans for the AM, IP and PM periods with traffic volumes adjacent to the links. These outputs are available on request, but a summary of the traffic volumes are presented in Attachment 1.

The model outputs show that there are a number of locations were traffic volumes are forecast to decrease over time. While some of these are due to network changes (e.g. Dowse to Petone and Grenada to Gracefield), at many locations, network changes cannot explain the decrease. This is especially true for the period 2016 to 2026. Static traffic volumes could be explained by the network being at capacity, such as a bottle neck at Ngauranga Interchange. As mentioned above, the tidal flow lanes proposed between Ngauranga and Aotea have not been included in the modelling runs that we received. GW's comments on this subject are as follows:

In terms of the tidal flow lane south of Ngauranga, we have modelled the impact of this, but not to any level of detail. For example, the strategic model does not model merge delay (although we are working on this). The merge is represented by a reduction in lane capacity upstream and downstream of the bottleneck. We have added the extra lane south, and this has shown to move traffic from the Old Hutt Road to SH1, thus allowing a bus lane on the Old Hutt Road. The Ngauranga-Airport work that was undertaken did not show significant increases in traffic upstream when the merge was removed - however this is probably more due to the limitations of the model with regards to merges modelling, which is typically why a traffic model would be used.

However, this does not explain the reduction in traffic volumes in future years. Other variables which could result in reduced traffic volumes are demographic forecasts in the Hutt Valley, fuel price assumptions and public transport improvements. These are discussed in turn below.

In the medium growth scenario adopted, the population in the Hutt Valley is expected to grow by around 3% from 2006 to 2016, households will grow by a faster rate due to the ongoing trend of fewer people per household, but employment is expected to increase by 15%. This additional employment keeps some trips internal to the area meaning fewer trips on SH2.

The assumed 20% increase in fuel price will have a significant impact on the traffic volumes. According to Greater Wellington, they believe this could reduce the demand on the state highway corridor by around 6-8%.

The proposed improvements to public transport also draw trips away from the state highway network. Between 2006 and 2016 base networks, although road volumes remain constant, southbound public transport trips increase by about 9%.

Nevertheless, while these assumptions may be valid, we still felt uncomfortable with a decrease in traffic volumes on State Highway 2. Accordingly, we requested model runs from the SATURN model which was recently expanded to model the effect of likely SH2 interchange upgrades at Melling and Kennedy Good.

3 SATURN Model Outputs

The SATURN model is based on WTSM trip matrices and therefore the GW strategic assumptions will still be inherent in any outputs. However, SATURN enables better modelling of the localised network, specifically in regards to network improvements and hence outputs should be more accurate. Furthermore, the scenarios in terms of which projects are included in the future years are more aligned in the SATURN runs than in the WTSM runs.



Another key point of difference is the fuel price assumptions in future years; while the WTSM outputs assume a 20% increase in fuel price between 2006 and 2016 and no further increase between 2016 and 2026, the SATURN model assumes a 10% increase in the first ten year periods and an additional 10% increase in the second ten year period.

SKM provided us with a number of different network scenarios to assist us in requesting model outputs. These are included in Attachment 2, The network options basically vary in respect to the timing of Melling, KGB, Grenada to Gracefield, Transmission Gully and the SH58 roundabouts. SKM also gave us the option of applying a different trip matrix on a specified network.

The previous process used to forecast travel demand was based on historic traffic growth and did not take into account the capacity of the highway. This enabled us to then determine what improvements would be required and when they should be implemented to assist in meeting this demand. Using modelling outputs has meant that capacity restrictions do have an impact on traffic volumes and hence some network improvements need to be assumed for future years, especially outside of the study area, to ensure that future traffic volumes are realistic. To this end, the Regional Land Transport Programme 2009-12 has been used to determine the timing of future projects. This may be seen to be predetermining the outcome of the strategic studies, particularly for those projects wholly contained within the corridors under investigation, such as the 2/58, Melling and KGB interchanges. However, these particular projects are at locations which are known to be significant capacity restrictions and the capacity analysis undertaken as part of the studies proves that they will be required at, or before, the time that they are programmed.

The assumptions, based on the RLTP, are therefore as follows:

- 2009 Current Network excluding Dowse-Petone
- 2019 Dowse-Petone SH2/58 Interchange Melling Interchange Kennedy Good Interchange Grenada to Gracefield projects Ngauranga to Aotea Tidal Flow
- 2029 Transmission Gully

Accordingly, we requested the following model outputs:

- 2006 Base. Does not include the Dowse-Petone interchange but does include the Inner City Bypass.
- 2016 Trip Matrix on 2026 Test 58 Network. This includes projects such as Melling, KGB, 2/58, Ngauranga to Aotea and Grenada to Gracefield projects.
- 2026 Trip Matrix on 2026 Test 66 Network. This includes all projects above plus Transmission Gully and the SH58 roundabouts.

The model outputs for a number of other scenarios were also received; however the above three were determined to most closely replicate the likely timing of future projects. The outputs of all scenarios as received from SKM are shown in Attachment 3.

Although both models are based on the same trip matrices, the SATURN outputs do show significant differences in relation to the forecast traffic volumes when compared to WTSM. In summary, this is likely to be primarily due to the projects assumed in the model runs and the fuel price increase assumed. SATURN is also likely to be more accurate than WTSM in regards to the network impacts due to both the model type and model processes. In addition, WTSM outputs two-hour flows whereas SATURN outputs peak hour flows which may mean that some peak effects could be reported differently.

We consider that the SATURN outputs give a more realistic indication of the likely changes in traffic flows in the coming years.



4 Methodology for Forecasting Traffic Volumes

Both transport models use 2006 as a base year and have 2016 and 2026 as future years. However, the SH2 and SH58 Strategy documents have 2009 as the base year with 2019 and 2029 for future years. Traffic volumes for the 2009 base year have been determined using recent TMS count data then escalating based on historic traffic growth rates if required. As this is the most accurate information in relation to the traffic volumes that are currently occurring on the highways, we have continued to use this data rather than the 2006 base network model outputs.

By adopting the 'actual' traffic volumes for 2009, and needing 2019 and 2029 as future years, using the 2016 and 2026 traffic model outputs would not give accurate forecast information. Accordingly, we have instead calculated the percentage growth between the SATURN model traffic volume outputs and applied this to the 2009 'actual' traffic flows. This then provides us with a much better indicator of flows in the studies' future years. To clarify:

- To calculate the 2019 flows we determined the percentage growth between the 2006 Base and 2016 SATURN outputs and applied this percentage increase to the 2009 'actual' flows.
- To calculate the 2029 flows we determined the percentage growth between the 2016 and 2026 SATURN outputs and applied this percentage increase to the 2019 calculated flows.

This gave us future flows for both SH58 and SH2 from Ngauranga to Silverstream. However, as the SATURN model does not extend north past Silverstream, some additional calculation of future traffic volumes was needed for the section of SH2 between Silverstream and Maoribank.

One option for this section was to use the WTSM outputs to calculate the percentage growth; however, as already shown, we believe this may underestimate the future traffic volumes. We therefore wanted a way to encapsulate the increased traffic flows that were likely to occur.

To do this, the percentage growth between future years was plotted for both the SATURN model outputs and the WTSM outputs. There were some significant differences around the Dowse to Melling section, primarily because of the differing assumptions in relation to the Dowse-Petone and Grenada to Gracefield projects. However, between Melling and Silverstream, the percentage growth from 2006 to 2016 as shown by the SATURN model was shown as being greater than that output from the WTSM model. For each direction and period, this difference in growth was averaged and the absolute percentage difference was added onto the WTSM growth percentage. For example, in the northbound PM peak, the average percentage growth between Melling and Silverstream from 2006 to 2016 in the WTSM outputs is -1%, but for the SATURN model it is 3%. Therefore, 4 percentage points were then added to the growth rates output from WTSM for each section north of Silverstream, so that, for example, the 10% growth between Moonshine Road and Gibbons Street was escalated to 14%. As this process is best shown graphically, graphs are provided in Attachment 4.

5 Traffic Volumes used in Strategic Studies

Attachment 5 contains the spreadsheets which show both the 2009 'actual' flows, the flows from the SATURN models, growth percentages used, and the 2019 and 2029 future flows which are input into the spreadsheet and graphs shown in Appendix A of the Strategic Studies.

A final reality check was undertaken of the graphs of demand versus capacity (Section 3.2 and Appendix A of the Strategic Studies) using the traffic forecasts using the above methodology and altered capacity values to take account of network changes. All graphs appear to show sensible outputs.



Attachment 1: Summary of WTSM outputs

State Highway 2 - Note Volume is for 2 hour period

2006 Base			2006	AM			2006	PM	
		South	ound	North	ound	South	ound	North	ound
		Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
Ngauranga Gorge	SH2	4750	N/A	3070	N/A	3770	N/A	5450	N/A
SH2	Petone Interchange	7980	N/A	5570	N/A	6420	N/A	7840	N/A
Petone Interchange	Korokoro Road	4910	N/A	2150	N/A	3660	N/A	4070	N/A
Korokoro Road	Dowse Drive	4820	N/A	2280	N/A	3030	N/A	4300	N/A
Dowse Drive	Melling	4420	N/A	2330	N/A	2960	N/A	3970	N/A
Melling	Block Road	3320	N/A	1220	N/A	1450	N/A	2820	N/A
Block Road	Grounsell Crescent	4100	N/A	1530	N/A	1880	N/A	4090	N/A
Grounsell Crescent	Fairway Drive	3570	N/A	1540	N/A	1830	N/A	3680	N/A
Fairway Drive	SH2/SH58	3450	N/A	2040	N/A	2330	N/A	3150	N/A
SH2/SH58	Fergusson Drive	3500	N/A	2180	N/A	2520	N/A	3370	N/A
Fergusson Drive	Moonshine Road	2550	N/A	1830	N/A	2130	N/A	2410	N/A
Moonshine Road	Whakatiti Street	2130	N/A	1580	N/A	1940	N/A	2040	N/A
Whakatiti Street	Gibbons Street	1640	N/A	1300	N/A	1640	N/A	1570	N/A
Gibbons Street	Totara Park Road	1750	N/A	1170	N/A	1380	N/A	1590	N/A
Totara Park Road	Fergusson Drive	1210	N/A	950	N/A	1100	N/A	1110	N/A
Fergusson Drive	Akatarawa Road	2170	N/A	1500	N/A	1780	N/A	2190	N/A
Akatarawa Road	Norana Road	840	N/A	880	N/A	880	N/A	760	N/A
Norana Road		860	N/A	950	N/A	930	N/A	800	N/A
2016 Committed				л			DA		
Crowth compared to	2006 Baco	South	Ar	North	ound	South	ri	North	ound
Growin compared to	2000 Base	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
Ngauranga Gorge	SH2	4740	0%	3400	11%	4050	7%	5330	-2%
SH2	Detone Interchange	8000	1%	6330	1/0	7090	10%	7000	-270
Petone Interchange	Korokoro Road	5570	13%	4110	01%	/200	17%	5740	/10/
Korokoro Road	Dowse Drive	5570	15%	3750	64%	4290	42%	5760	34%
Dowse Drive	Melling	4170	-6%	2410	3%	2930	-1%	4160	5%
Melling	Block Boad	3160	-5%	1250	2%	1450	0%	3150	12%
Block Boad	Grounsell Crescent	4070	-1%	1620	6%	1970	5%	4070	0%
Grounsell Crescent	Fairway Drive	3550	-1%	1630	6%	1900	4%	3630	-1%
Fairway Drive	SH2/SH58	3470	1%	2070	1%	2330		3200	2%
SH2/SH58	Fergusson Drive	3360	-4%	2270	4%	2580	2%	3300	-2%
Fergusson Drive	Moonshine Road	2550	0%	1700	-7%	2310	8%	2210	-8%
Moonshine Road	Whakatiti Street	2180	2%	1810	15%	2160	11%	2240	10%
Whakatiti Street	Gibbons Street	1710	4%	1330	2%	1880	15%	1590	1%
Gibbons Street	Totara Park Road	1860	6%	1230	5%	1510	9%	1700	7%
Totara Park Road	Fergusson Drive	1330	10%	990	4%	1270	15%	1230	11%
Fergusson Drive	Akatarawa Road	2350	8%	1630	9%	2000	12%	2390	9%
Akatarawa Road	Norana Road	870	4%	980	11%	1080	22%	890	17%
Norana Road		900	5%	1050	11%	1150	24%	940	18%
2016 Committed plus	TG and G-G		A	м			PN	И	
Growth compared to	2006 Base	South	ound	North	ound	South	ound	North	ound
		Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
Ngauranga Gorge	SH2	5480	15%	3370	10%	4140	10%	5910	8%
SH2	Petone Interchange	7580	-5%	4360	-22%	5170	-19%	7440	-5%
Petone Interchange	Korokoro Road	7040	43%	4810	124%	5250	43%	7550	86%
Korokoro Road	Dowse Drive	7040	46%	4320	89%	5250	73%	6970	62%

Korokoro Road	Dowse Drive	7040	46%	4320	89%	5250	73%	6970	62%
Dowse Drive	Melling	5260	19%	2650	14%	3310	12%	4900	23%
Melling	Block Road	3730	12%	1280	5%	1670	15%	3630	29%
Block Road	Grounsell Crescent	4340	6%	1640	7%	1920	2%	4770	17%
Grounsell Crescent	Fairway Drive	3770	6%	1620	5%	1820	-1%	4290	17%
Fairway Drive	SH2/SH58	3480	1%	1960	-4%	2080	-11%	3400	8%
SH2/SH58	Fergusson Drive	3630	4%	2520	16%	2700	7%	3580	6%
Fergusson Drive	Moonshine Road	2570	1%	1800	-2%	2340	10%	2360	-2%
Moonshine Road	Whakatiti Street	2240	5%	1910	21%	2200	13%	2380	17%
Whakatiti Street	Gibbons Street	1740	6%	1390	7%	1900	16%	1670	6%
Gibbons Street	Totara Park Road	1870	7%	1260	8%	1570	14%	1750	10%
Totara Park Road	Fergusson Drive	1320	9%	1020	7%	1330	21%	1260	14%
Fergusson Drive	Akatarawa Road	2360	9%	1640	9%	2010	13%	2410	10%
Akatarawa Road	Norana Road	900	7%	1000	14%	1090	24%	910	20%
Norana Road		930	8%	1070	13%	1160	25%	960	20%

2026 Committed plus	s TG and G-G		A	м			PI	M	
Growth compared to	2016 + TG & G-G	South	oound	North	ound	South	ound	North	ound
		Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
Ngauranga Gorge	SH2	5310	-3%	3800	13%	4530	9%	5660	-4%
SH2	Petone Interchange	7570	0%	4940	13%	5720	11%	7470	0%
Petone Interchange	Korokoro Road	6940	-1%	5530	15%	5780	10%	7700	2%
Korokoro Road	Dowse Drive	6940	-1%	5000	16%	5780	10%	7050	1%
Dowse Drive	Melling	5120	-3%	3050	15%	3650	10%	4720	-4%
Melling	Block Road	3470	-7%	1510	18%	1860	11%	3290	-9%
Block Road	Grounsell Crescent	4110	-5%	1950	19%	2130	11%	4640	-3%
Grounsell Crescent	Fairway Drive	3560	-6%	1910	18%	2040	12%	4190	-2%
Fairway Drive	SH2/SH58	3370	-3%	2340	19%	2270	9%	3460	2%
SH2/SH58	Fergusson Drive	3480	-4%	3030	20%	3030	12%	3600	1%
Fergusson Drive	Moonshine Road	2580	0%	2140	19%	2600	11%	2450	4%
Moonshine Road	Whakatiti Street	2200	-2%	2260	18%	2410	10%	2490	5%
Whakatiti Street	Gibbons Street	1720	-1%	1740	25%	2140	13%	1780	7%
Gibbons Street	Totara Park Road	1850	-1%	1580	25%	1870	19%	1850	6%
Totara Park Road	Fergusson Drive	1330	1%	1340	31%	1640	23%	1380	10%
Fergusson Drive	Akatarawa Road	2420	3%	2080	27%	2430	21%	2590	7%
Akatarawa Road	Norana Road	1050	17%	1390	39%	1460	34%	1100	21%
Norana Road		1130	22%	1480	38%	1560	34%	1170	22%

State Highway 58 - Note Volume is for 2 hour period

2006 Base			А	м			P	м	
		Westb	ound	Eastb	ound	Westb	ound	Eastb	ound
		Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
SH2/SH58	Old Haywards Hill Road	1540	N/A	1630	N/A	1600	N/A	1620	N/A
Old Haywards Hill Roa	(TG Interchange	1450	N/A	1590	N/A	1510	N/A	1540	N/A
TG Interchange	Pauatahanui Roundabo	1450	N/A	1590	N/A	1510	N/A	1540	N/A
Pauatahanui Roundab	Joesph Banks Drive	1180	N/A	1140	N/A	1040	N/A	1250	N/A
Joesph Banks Drive	James Cook Drive	1060	N/A	860	N/A	820	N/A	1070	N/A
James Cook Drive	Spinnaker Drive	1610	N/A	1040	N/A	1190	N/A	1620	N/A
Spinnaker Drive	Postgate Drive	1530	N/A	1120	N/A	900	N/A	1630	N/A
Postgate Drive	Ivey Bay Ped Underpas	1670	N/A	1100	N/A	1040	N/A	1790	N/A
Ivey Bay Ped Underpa	s SH1 to SH58 off ramp	1490	N/A	1140	N/A	830	N/A	2020	N/A
SH1 to SH58 off ramp	Paremata Roundabout	1490	N/A	810	N/A	830	N/A	1440	N/A
2016 Committed			А	м			Р	м	
Growth compared to	2006 Base	Westb	ound	Eastb	ound	Westb	ound	Eastb	ound
		Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
SH2/SH58	Old Haywards Hill Road	1450	-6%	1770	9%	1660	4%	1510	-7%
Old Haywards Hill Roa	(TG Interchange	1340	-8%	1700	7%	1540	2%	1430	-7%
TG Interchange	Pauatahanui Roundabo	1340	-8%	1700	7%	1540	2%	1430	-7%
Pauatahanui Roundab	Joesph Banks Drive	1150	-3%	1250	10%	1100	6%	1260	1%
Joesph Banks Drive	James Cook Drive	1010	-5%	920	7%	820	0%	1060	-1%
James Cook Drive	Spinnaker Drive	1620	1%	1130	9%	1230	3%	1650	2%
Spinnaker Drive	Postgate Drive	1540	1%	1190	6%	910	1%	1630	0%
Postgate Drive	Ivey Bay Ped Underpas	1690	1%	1210	10%	1060	2%	1800	1%
Ivey Bay Ped Underpa	s SH1 to SH58 off ramp	1500	1%	1260	11%	840	1%	1950	-3%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp	Paremata Roundabout	1500	1%	890	10%	840	1%	1380	-4%
2016 Committed plus	TG and G-G		А	м			Р	м	
Growth compared to	2006 Base	Westb	ound	Eastb	ound	Westb	ound	Eastb	ound
		Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth	Volume	Growth
SH2/SH58	Old Haywards Hill Road	1310	-15%	1700	4%	1760	10%	1320	-19%
Old Haywards Hill Roa	(TG Interchange	1200	-17%	1630	3%	1630	8%	1240	-19%
TG Interchange	Pauatahanui Roundabo	560	-61%	900	-43%	840	-44%	750	-51%
Pauatahanui Roundab	Joesph Banks Drive	360	-69%	640	-44%	550	-47%	550	-56%
Joesph Banks Drive	James Cook Drive	230	-78%	360	-58%	290	-65%	370	-65%
James Cook Drive	Spinnaker Drive	560	-65%	540	-48%	520	-56%	740	-54%
Spinnaker Drive	Postgate Drive	480	-69%	610	-46%	400	-56%	680	-58%
Postgate Drive	Ivov Roy Rod Underner								E 40/
Ivey Bay Ped Underpa	ivey bay red onderpas	580	-65%	690	-37%	490	-53%	830	-54%
	s SH1 to SH58 off ramp	580 420	-65% -72%	690 790	-37% -31%	490 310	-53% -63%	830 1160	-54% -43%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp	sSH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout	580 420 420	-65% -72% -72%	690 790 540	-37% -31% -33%	490 310 310	-53% -63% -63%	830 1160 750	-54% -43% -48%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus	s SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout	580 420 420	-65% -72% -72% A	690 790 540	-37% -31% -33%	490 310 310	-53% -63% -63% P	830 1160 750	-34% -43% -48%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G	580 420 420 Westb	-65% -72% -72% Apound	690 790 540 M Eastb	-37% -31% -33% ound	490 310 310 Westb	-53% -63% -63% Poound	830 1160 750 M Eastbo	-34% -43% -48%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G	580 420 420 Westb Volume	-65% -72% -72% A ound Growth	690 790 540 M Eastb Volume	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth	490 310 310 Westb Volume	-53% -63% -63% Poound Growth	830 1160 750 M Eastbo Volume	-54% -43% -48% Dound Growth
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac	580 420 420 Westb Volume 1330	-65% -72% -72% A oound Growth 2%	690 790 540 M Eastb Volume 1900	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12%	490 310 310 Westh Volume 1960	-53% -63% -63% Poound Growth 11%	830 1160 750 M Eastbo Volume 1330	-34% -43% -48% Dound Growth 1%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa	sSH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange	580 420 420 Westb Volume 1330 1190	-65% -72% -72% A bound Growth 2% -1%	690 790 540 M Eastb Volume 1900 1820	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12%	490 310 310 Westb Volume 1960 1810	-53% -63% -63% Poound Growth 11% 11%	830 1160 750 M Eastbo Volume 1330 1250	-54% -43% -48% ound Growth 1% 1%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange	sSH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roar (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundabo	580 420 420 Westh Volume 1330 1190 590	-65% -72% -72% A bound Growth 2% -1% 5%	690 790 540 M Eastby Volume 1900 1820 950	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6%	490 310 310 Westb Volume 1960 1810 880	-53% -63% -63% Poound Growth 11% 11% 5%	830 1160 750 M Eastbe Volume 1330 1250 760	-54% -43% -48% Dound Growth 1% 1% 1%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundabc (Joesph Banks Drive	580 420 420 Westh Volume 1330 1190 590 380	-65% -72% -72% Aound Growth 2% -1% 5% 6%	690 790 540 M Eastbo Volume 1900 1820 950 690	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6% 8%	490 310 310 Westh Volume 1960 1810 880 590	-53% -63% -63% Pound Growth 11% 11% 5% 7%	830 1160 750 M Eastbe Volume 1330 1250 760 550	-54% -43% -48% Dound Growth 1% 1% 1% 1% 0%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab Joesph Banks Drive	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundabc (Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive	580 420 420 Westh Volume 1330 1190 590 380 240	-65% -72% -72% Abound Growth 2% -1% 5% 6% 4%	690 790 540 M Volume 1900 1820 950 690 380	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6% 8% 6%	490 310 310 Westb Volume 1960 1810 880 590 300	-53% -63% -63% Pound Growth 11% 11% 5% 7% 3%	830 1160 750 M Eastbe Volume 1330 1250 760 550 370	-34% -43% -48% ound Growth 1% 1% 1% 0% 0%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundabc (Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive	580 420 420 Westh Volume 1330 1190 590 380 240 590	-65% -72% -72% Around Growth 2% -1% 5% 6% 4% 5%	690 790 540 M Volume 1900 1820 950 690 380 580	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6% 8% 6% 7%	490 310 310 Westb Volume 1960 1810 880 590 300 560	-53% -63% -63% Poound Growth 11% 11% 5% 7% 3% 8%	830 1160 750 M Eastbu 1330 1250 760 550 370 750	-34% -43% -48% Dound Growth 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundabc (Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive Postgate Drive	580 420 420 Westh 1330 1190 590 380 240 590 510	-65% -72% -72% A oound Growth 2% -1% 5% 6% 4% 5% 6%	690 790 540 M 1900 1820 950 690 380 580 660	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6% 8% 6% 7% 8%	490 310 310 Westh 1960 1810 880 590 300 560 430	-53% -63% -63% Growth 11% 5% 7% 3% 8% 8%	830 1160 750 M Eastbu 1330 1250 760 550 370 750 750 700	-34% -43% -48% Dound Growth 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 3%
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive Postgate Drive	SHI to SHSS off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab; (Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive Postgate Drive Ivey Bay Ped Underpas	580 420 420 Volume 1330 1190 590 380 240 590 510 620	-65% -72% -72% A wound Growth 2% -1% 5% 6% 4% 5% 6% 7%	690 790 540 M Eastb Volume 1900 1820 950 690 380 580 660 770	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6% 8% 6% 7% 8% 12%	490 310 310 Westh Volume 1960 1810 880 590 3000 560 430 530	-53% -63% -63% Pound Growth 11% 11% 5% 7% 3% 8% 8% 8%	830 1160 750 M Eastby Volume 1330 1250 760 550 370 750 750 700 850	-34% -43% -48% 000000000000000000000000000000000000
SH1 to SH58 off ramp 2026 Committed plus Growth compared to SH2/SH58 Old Haywards Hill Roa TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundab Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive Postgate Drive Ivey Bay Ped Underpa	SH1 to SH58 off ramp Paremata Roundabout TG and G-G 2016 + TG & G-G Old Haywards Hill Roac (TG Interchange Pauatahanui Roundabc (Joesph Banks Drive James Cook Drive Spinnaker Drive Postgate Drive Ivey Bay Ped Underpas sH1 to SH58 off ramp	580 420 420 Volume 1330 1190 590 380 240 590 590 590 620 440	-65% -72% -72% A yound Growth 2% -1% 5% 6% 4% 5% 6% 7% 5%	690 790 540 M Eastby 1900 1820 950 690 380 690 380 660 770 880	-37% -31% -33% ound Growth 12% 12% 6% 8% 6% 6% 8% 7% 8% 12% 11%	490 310 310 Westb Volume 1960 1810 880 590 300 560 430 530 330	-53% -63% -63% Pound Growth 11% 11% 5% 7% 3% 8% 8% 8% 8% 6%	830 1160 750 M Eastbo Volume 1330 1250 760 550 370 750 750 750 750 750 850 1170	-34% -43% -48% 000000000000000000000000000000000000



Attachment 2: SATURN Networks

MELLING DM	2006	2016	2026			
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the	~					
buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area;	^					
Dowse interchange;	×	 	 			
SH2/58 upgrade;	×	 	 			
Melling interchange upgrade;	×	×	 			
Kennedy Good bridge upgrade;	×	×	×			
Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive;	×	 Image: A second s	 			
Takapu Valley Road;	×	 	 			
MacKay's Crossing;	×	×	 			
Bing Lucas Drive;	×	 	 			
Petone interchange; and	×	 	 			
SH1 widening south of Ngauranga.	×	×	 			
Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study)	×	×	×			
Cross Valley link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study)	×	×	×			
Helston south facing ramps	×	×	×			
Transmission Gully	×	×	 			
SH58 roundabouts (seven locations)	×	×	 			
	1	DM=Test 5	3	Wi	th TG=Test	66
TG	2006	2016	2026	2006	2016	2026
	2000	2010	2020	2000	2010	2020
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the	×	~	~	×	2010	×
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area;	×	~	~	×	~	~
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange;	X	×	×	× ×	×	×
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade;	X X X	× ×	× ×	× × ×	× ×	× ×
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade;	× × × × ×	× × × ×	× × ×	× × × ×	× × × ×	× × ×
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade;	× × × × ×	× × × ×	> > > >	× × × × ×	× × × ×	× × × ×
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive;	× × × × × × ×	× × × × ×	2020 V V V V V	× × × × × ×	2010 ~ ~ X X ~	2020 V V V V V V
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road;	× × × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × ×	2020 V V V V V V V	× × × × × × × × ×	2010 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2020 V V V V V V V V
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing;	× × × × × × × × × ×	2010 V V X X V V V	2020 V V V V V V V V V	× × × × × × × × × ×	2010 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2020 V V V V V V V V V V
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive;	× × × × × × × × × × ×	2010 V X X V V V V V	2020 V V V V V V V V V V	× × × × × × × × × ×	2010 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2020
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive; Petone interchange; and	× × × × × × × × × × ×	2010 ~ X X ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2020 	× × × × × × × × × × × × ×	2010 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2020
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/S8 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive; Petone interchange; and SH1 widening south of Ngauranga.	× × × × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	2020 	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	2010 V V V V V V V V V V V V	2020
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive; Petone interchange; and SH1 widening south of Ngauranga. Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study)	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	× × × × × × × × × × × × ×		× × × × × × × × × × ×	2010 2010	2020 V V V V V V V V V V V V V
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive; Petone interchange; and SH1 widening south of Ngauranga. Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) Cross Valley Ink (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study)	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × × × ×		× × × × × × × × × × × × ×	2010 2010	2020 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Kennedy Good bridge upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive; Petone interchange; and SH1 widening south of Ngauranga. Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) Cross Valley link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) Helston south facing ramps	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	2020 2020	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	2010 2010	2020 v v v v v v v v v v v v v
Terrace tunnel, inner city bypass, Kapiti WLR and a number of other schemes which are in the buffer are and so are coded simplistically and have limited effect on the simulation area; Dowse interchange; SH2/58 upgrade; Melling interchange upgrade; Additional network representation around Mark Avenue / Westchester Drive; Takapu Valley Road; MacKay's Crossing; Bing Lucas Drive; Petone interchange; and SH1 widening south of Ngauranga. Grenada to Petone link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) Cross Valley link (based on outcome of Ngauranga Triangle Strategy study) Helston south facing ramps Transmission Gully	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	2020 2020	X X	2010 2010	2020 v v v v v v v v v v v v v



Attachment 3: SATURN Outputs





Attachment 4: Growth Rate Graphs



Ы

2006-2016

SH2

NBD

SBD

SH2



Ы



2016-2026

SH2

NBD

SBD

SH2



Attachment 5: Growth Spreadsheets

	g Weekday	:00-18:00)	Nbd	977	1443	1621	2077	1864	2204	2402	2628	2627	3316	3316	3324	rate			a Weekdav	00-18:00)	Nbd	1239	1636	1810	2296	2046	2258	2653	2758	2545	3696	3696	3780	rate		
19	2019 Avg	PM (17:	Sbd	509	695	710	1130	1067	1271	1386	1225	1670	1764	1764	2557	us growth		29	2029 Ave	PM (17:	Sbd	676	834	838	1226	1175	1484	1624	1181	1903	2316	2316	2924	us growth		
20	Veekday	-08:00)	Nbd	290	375	447	929	800	1151	1227	1353	1387	2122	2122	2394	figures p		20	Veekdav	-08:00)	pqN	390	459	540	1056	915	1360	1392	1516	1552	2483	2483	2582	figures p		
	2019 Avg V	AM (07:00	Sbd	822	1310	1573	2018	2094	2359	2712	2041	2847	2900	2900	3571	2009			2029 Avg V	AM (07:00	Sbd	1017	1436	1666	2124	2250	2417	2862	2047	2888	3014	3014	3778	2019		
	wth Rate	(:00-18:00)	Nbd	21%	13%	11%	14%	%0	2%	3%	6%	31%	55%	55%	-8%				wth Rate	(00-18:00)	NbdN	27%	13%	12%	11%	10%	2%	10%	5%	-3%	11%	11%	14%			ŝ
	Gro	PM (17	Sbd	30%	20%	17%	19%	16%	12%	%9	10%	15%	25%	25%	%2-		IRN model		Gro	PM (17	Sbd	33%	20%	18%	%6	10%	17%	17%	-4%	14%	31%	31%	14%		ned graphs	JKIN MODE
	Rate	00:80-0	Nbd	26%	23%	20%	29%	8%	16%	5%	35%	35%	98%	98%	%6-		From attach		Rate	00:80-0	Nbd	34%	22%	21%	14%	14%	18%	13%	12%	12%	17%	17%	8%		From attact	
	Growth	AM (07:00	Sbd	14%	18%	16%	12%	10%	3%	4%	19%	37%	25%	25%	3%				Growth	AM (07:00	Sbd	24%	10%	6%	5%	7%	2%	6%	%0	1%	4%	4%	6%			-
	AP.	_														ork			N															ork		
	wg Weekda	7:00-18:00	Nbd		'	'	'	•	1961	2381	2592	2813	2957	2957	3322	est 58 Netw			va Weekda	7:00-18:00	Nbd	•	•	•		'	2009	2629	2720	2725	3297	3297	3778	est 66 Netw		
	2016 A	PM (1	Sbd	•	'	'	'	•	1436	1334	1417	1629	2047	2047	2992	on 2026 Te			2026A	PM (1	Sbd	•	•	•	•	'	1677	1563	1366	1855	2687	2687	3421	on 2026 Te		
	W eekday	00:80-00	pqN						1391	1127	1322	1568	2068	2068	2563	2016 Trips			W eekdav	00:80-00	pqN					•	1644	1278	1482	1755	2420	2420	2764	2026 Trips		
	2016 Avg	AM (07:0	Sbd						2219	2421	2868	3157	3141	3141	3992	SATURN: :			2026 Avg	AM (07:0	Sbd					•	2273	2556	2875	3202	3264	3264	4224	SATURN: :		
	day	(00	p						32	-	91	9	90	90	35				dav	0	q						51	31	32	3	57	22	2	work		
	Avg Week	17:00-18:0	qN F		'	'	'		0 193	5 231	1 244	9 214	7 190	7 190	0 359	se			Ava Week	17:00-18:0	qN F		'	'		'	6 196	4 238	7 259	9 281	7 295	7 295	2 332	est 58 Net		
	/ 2006	Md	Sbc		'	'	'	•	128	125	129	141	163	163	322	N 2006 Ba			/ 2016	PM	Sbo					'	143	133	141	162	204	204	299	s on 2026 T		
	'g Weekda	(00:80-00:	NbdN	•	,	,	,	•	1201	1072	980	1163	1043	1043	2819	SATUR			a Weekda	:00-08:00)	NbdN	•	•	•	•	•	1391	1127	1322	1568	2068	2068	2563	: 2016 Trip		
	2006 Av	AM (07	Sbd		•	•	•	•	2154	2334	2408	2299	2507	2507	3891				2016 Av	AM (07	Sbd	•	•	•		•	2219	2421	2868	3157	3141	3141	3992	SATURN		
	ekday	8:00)	Nbd	306	274	460	823	864	171	332	480	004	137	286	597				ekdav	8:00)	bd	977	443	621	077	864	204	402	628	627	316	316	324			
	9 Avg We	M (17:00-1	bd bds	391	581 1	308 1	952 1	322 1	133 2	304 2	116 2	455 2	411 2	400 2	752 3	m TMS			9 Avg We	M (17:00-1	pdi l	605	395 1	710	130 2	067 1	271 2	386 2	225 2	670 2	764 3	764 3	557 3	owth rate		
2009	day 200	E (0	p		4	е е	80		3	7	3	8	0	8	3	olated fro		2019	dav 201	0 0	, р		۔ ۵	-	6	-	-	-	3	1	5	7	4	res plus gr		
	Avg Week	07:00-08:0	qN P	1 23	8 30	3 37	7 71	5 74	66 0	4 116	4 100	3 102	5 107	0 104	0 263	Extrap			Avg Week	07:00-08:0	QN P	29	0 37	3 44	8 92	4 80	9 115	2 122	1 135	7 136	0 212	0 212	1 230	2009 figu		
	2009	AM (Sb	72	110	135	179	am 190	229	261	171	207	231	259	348				2019	AM (Sb	82	131	157	201	am 209	235	271	204	284	290	290	357			
		Location		2.2 Norana to Akatawara	3.0 Akatawara to Totara Park	5.5 Totara Park to Gibbons	8.5 Whakatiki to Moonshine	10.9 Moonshine Hill to Silverstree	15.6 Silverstream to SH58	0.5 SH58 to KGB	7.1 KGB to Melling	9.1 Melling to Dowse	10.5 Dowse to Korokoro	11.7 Korokoro to Petone	15.6 Petone to Ngauranga					Location		2.2 Norana to Akatawara	3.0 Akatawara to Totara Park	5.5 Totara Park to Gibbons	8.5 Whakatiki to Moonshine	10.9 Moonshine Hill to Silverstrea	15.6 Silverstream to SH58	0.5 SH58 to KGB	7.1 KGB to Melling	9.1 Melling to Dowse	10.5 Dowse to Korokoro	11.7 Korokoro to Petone	15.6 Petone to Ngauranga			
		RР		946	946	946	946	946	946	962	962	962	962	962	962					ЧŊ		946	946	946	946	946	946	962	962	962	962	962	962			
		Running RS	Dist	948.2	949.0	951.5	954.5	956.9	961.6	962.4	969.0	971.0	972.4	973.6	977.5					RS RS	Dist	948.2	949.0	951.5	954.5	956.9	961.6	962.4	969.0	971.0	972.4	973.6	977.5			

				AM							Μ				
			SATURN			WTSM		Forecast		SATURN			WTSM		Forecast
SH Link	Direction	2006 Base 2016	Test 58 2026 SA	TURN % 20	06 Base 2010	5 Committee WT	SM % Gi Pro	oj % Growth	2006 Base 2016	Test 58 2026 SA	URN % 20	06 Base 201	6 Committec W1	SM % GPro	oj % Growth
2 South of Petone	NB	2819	2563	%6-	5570	6330	14%		3595	3322	-8%	7840	0662	2%	
2 Petone to Dowse	BB	1043	2068	98%	2150	3750	74%		1906	2957	55%	4070	5760	42%	
2 Dowse to Melling	BB	1163	1568	35%	2330	2410	3%		2146	2813	31%	3970	4160	5%	
2 Melling to KGB	NB	980	1322	35%	1540	1630	8%		2446	2592	8%	3680	3630	-1%	
2 KGB to SH58	NB	1072	1127	5%	2040	2070	1%		2311	2381	3%	3150	3200	2%	
2 SH58 to Fergusson Drive	BB	1201	1391	16%	2180	2270	4%	16%	1932	1961	2%	3370	3300	-2%	2%
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd	NB				1830	1700	-7%	8%				2410	2210	-8%	%0
2 Moonshine Rd to Gibbons	NB				1580	1810	15%	29%				2040	2240	10%	14%
2 Gibbons to Totara Park	BN				1170	1230	5%	20%				1590	1700	7%	11%
2 Totara Park to Akatawara	NB				1500	1630	%6	23%				2190	2390	%6	13%
2 Akatawara to Norana	BB				880	980	11%	26%				760	890	17%	21%
				AM							Μd				
			SATURN			WTSM		Forecast		SATURN			WTSM		Forecast
SH Link	Direction	2006 Base 2016	Test 58 2026 SA	TURN % 20	06 Base 2010	5 Committer WT:	SM % Gi Pro	oj % Growth	2006 Base 2016	Test 58 2026 SA	TURN % 20	06 Base 201	6 Committec W1	SM % GPro	oj % Growth
2 South of Petone	SB	3891	3992	3%	7980	8090	1%		3220	2992	-1%	6420	0602	10%	
2 Petone to Dowse	SB	2507	3141	25%	4910	5570	13%		1637	2047	25%	3660	4290	17%	
2 Dowse to Melling	SB	2299	3157	37%	4420	4170	-6%		1419	1629	15%	2960	2930	-1%	
2 Melling to KGB	SB	2408	2868	19%	3570	3550	-1%		1291	1417	10%	1830	1900	4%	
2 KGB to SH58	SB	2334	2421	4%	3450	3470	1%		1255	1334	6%	2330	2330	%0	
2 SH58 to Fergusson Drive	SB	2154	2219	3%	3500	3360	-4%	3%	1280	1436	12%	2520	2580	2%	12%
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd	SB				2550	2550	%0	10%				2130	2310	8%	16%
2 Moonshine Rd to Gibbons	SB				2130	2180	2%	12%				1940	2160	11%	19%
2 Gibbons to Totara Park	SB				1750	1860	8%	16%				1380	1510	%6	17%
2 Totara Park to Akatawara	SB				2170	2350	8%	18%				1780	2000	12%	20%
2 Akatawara to Norana	SB				840	870	4%	14%				880	1080	23%	30%

				AM							M				
		SAT	URN			WTSM		Forecast	SA	TURN			WTSM		Forecast
SH Link	Direction	2016 Test 58 2026 20	26 Test 66 SA	TURN % 201	6 TG&PG 2(026 TG&PG \	VTSM % Gif	Proj % Growth	2016 Test 58 2026 2	026 Test 66	SATURN %	2016 TG&F	2026 TG&PG	MTSM % G P	oj % Growth
2 South of Petone	NB	2563	2764	8%	4360	4940	13%		3322	3778	14%	7440	7470	%0	
2 Petone to Dowse	NB	2068	2420	17%	4320	5000	16%		2957	3297	11%	6970	7050	1%	
2 Dowse to Melling	NB	1568	1755	12%	2650	3050	15%		2813	2725	-3%	4900	4720	-4%	
2 Melling to KGB	NB	1322	1482	12%	1620	1910	18%		2592	2720	5%	4290	4190	-2%	
2 KGB to SH58	NB	1127	1278	13%	1960	2340	19%		2381	2629	10%	3400	3460	2%	
2 SH58 to Fergusson Drive	NB	1391	1644	18%	2520	3030	20%	18%	1961	2009	2%	3580	3600	1%	2%
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd	NB				1800	2140	19%	14%				2360	2450	4%	10%
2 Moonshine Rd to Gibbons	NB				1910	2260	18%	14%				2380	2490	5%	11%
2 Gibbons to Totara Park	NB				1260	1580	25%	21%				1750	1850	%9	12%
2 Totara Park to Akatawara	NB				1640	2080	27%	22%				2410	2590	7%	13%
2 Akatawara to Norana	NB				1000	1390	39%	34%				910	1100	21%	27%
				AM							ΡM				
		SAT	URN			WTSM		Forecast	SA'	TURN			WTSM		Forecast
SH Link	Direction	1 2016 Test 58 2026 20	26 Test 66 SA	TURN % 201	6 TG&PG 2(026 TG&PG \	VTSM % Gi	Proj % Growth	2016 Test 58 2026 2	026 Test 66	SATURN %	2016 TG&F	2026 TG&PG	MTSM % G P	oj % Growth
2 South of Petone	SB	3992	4224	%9	7580	7570	%0		2992	3421	14%	5170	5720	11%	
2 Petone to Dowse	SB	3141	3264	4%	7040	6940	-1%		2047	2687	31%	5250	5780	10%	
2 Dowse to Melling	SB	3157	3202	1%	5260	5120	-3%		1629	1855	14%	3310	3650	10%	
2 Melling to KGB	SB	2868	2875	%0	3770	3560	~9 -		1417	1366	-4%	1820	2040	12%	
2 KGB to SH58	SB	2421	2556	6%	3480	3370	-3%		1334	1563	17%	2080	2270	%6	
2 SH58 to Fergusson Drive	SB	2219	2273	2%	3630	3480	-4%	2%	1436	1677	17%	2700	3030	12%	17%
2 Fergusson Drive to Moonshine Rd	SB				2570	2580	%0	7%				2340	2600	11%	10%
2 Moonshine Rd to Gibbons	SB				2240	2200	-2%	5%				2200	2410	10%	%6
2 Gibbons to Totara Park	SB				1870	1850	-1%	8%				1570	1870	19%	18%
2 Totara Park to Akatawara	SB				2360	2420	3%	10%				2010	2430	21%	20%
2 Akatawara to Norana	SB				006	1050	17%	24%				1090	1460	34%	33%

	Avg Weekday	(17:00-18:00)	bd Ebd	2 583	0 543	8 537	5 504	4 1304	wth rate			Avg Weekday	(17:00-18:00)	d Ebd	50 663	35 618	0 414	3 261	3 968	wth rate	
019	2019	M	ž	8	22	8	35	4	plus gro		 029	202	M	ž	0 10	0 10	0 50	0 27	0 37	plus gro	
	/eekday	-08:00)	Ebd	896	877	890	415	385	figures			/eekday	-08:00	Ebd	1237	1211	693	370	278	figures	
	2019 Avg V	AM (07:00	Wbd	588	538	544	432	1243	2009			2029 Avg V	AM (07:00	Wbd	781	714	305	215	876	2019	
	rowth Rate	(17:00-18:00)	d Ebd	% -21%	% -21%	-22%	% -4%	% -3%		els		rowth Rate	(17:00-18:00)	d Ebd	6 14%	6 14%	% -23%	% -48%	5 -26%		els
	G	M	dΝ	-11.	-11.0	6-	-18	-25		URN mod		G	M	Μ	0 319	0 319	0 -38	0 -23	0-8%		URN mod
	rowth Rate	(02:00-08:00)	d Ebd	%9- %	%9- %	% -5%	% -12%	-10%		From SAT		rowth Rate	(02:00-08:00)	d Ebd	6 38%	6 38%	% -22%	% -11%	% -28%		From SAT
	G	AMA	ЧŅ	-25	-25	-24	-23	-6%				G	AMA	Μ	339	339	44	-20	-29		
	Weekday	0-18:00)	Ebd	564	564	540	567	1015	58 Network			Neekday	0-18:00)	Ebd	641	641	417	293	754	6 Network	
	2016 Avg	PM (17:0)	Mbd	792	792	890	484	399	726 Test 5			2026Avg \	PM (17:0)	Wbd	1037	1037	551	371	369	326 Test 6	
	Weekday 2	00:80-0	Ebd	876	876	930	580	465	016 Trips on 2t			Weekday	00:80-0	Ebd	1210 0	1210 0	724 0	516 0	336 0	026 Trips on 2t	
	2016 Avg \	AM (07:00	Wbd	537	537	576	418	1188	SATURN: 20			2026 Avg V	AM (07:00	Wbd	713	713	323	208	838	SATURN: 20	
	Veekday	0-18:00)	Ebd	716	716	694	590	1048				Veekday	-18:00)	Ebd	564	564	540	567	1015	8 Network	
	2006 Avg V	PM (17:00	W bd	885	885	974	591	534	006 Base			2016 Avg V	PM (17:00	W bd	792	792	890	484	399	2026 Test 5 ₄	
	ekday	8:00)	Ebd	936	936	626	658	516	ATURN 2			ekday	8:00)	Ebd	876 0	876 0	930 0	580 0	465 0	3 Trips on	
	2006 Avg We	AM (07:00-06	Mbd	714	714	757	543	1266	ŝ			2016 Avg We.	AM (07:00-06	Mbd	537	537	576	418	1188	SATURN: 2016	
	ekday	8:00)	Ebd	740	690	690	525	1347				ekday	8:00)	Ebd	583	543	537	504	1304		
	19 Avg We	W (17:00-1-	Vbd	197	384	384	134	41	n TMS			9 Avg We	M (17:00-1.	/bd	302	·90	308	155	104	owth rate	
2009	γ 20C	4 (5	30	æ	30	4	40	lated fron		 2019	1y 201	đ.	5	30	0	3	0	0	s plus gr	
	g Weekda	00-08:00	Ebd	957	937	937	472	427	Extrapo			g Weekda	00-08:00	Ebd	896	877	890	415	385	709 figure	
	2009 Av	AM (07:	Wbd	782	715	715	562	1325				2019 Avi	AM (07:	Wbd	588	538	544	432	1243	20	
		Location		0.10 SH2 to Moonshine	9.15 Moonshine to TG	9.80 TG to Pauatahanui	11.00 Joseph Banks to James Cook	14.65 Oak Ave to SH1					Location		0.10 SH2 to Moonshine	9.15 Moonshine to TG	9.80 TG to Pauatahanui	11.00 Joseph Banks to James Cook	14.65 Oak Ave to SH1		
		ß		0	0	0	0	0					ß		0	0	0	0	0		
		Running RS	Dist	0.10	9.15	9.80	11.00	14.65					Running RS	Dist	0.10	9.15	9.80	11.00	14.65		



Appendix B: Traffic Analysis Figures

This appendix includes four figures, *Figure B-1* to *Figure B-4*, which illustrate graphically the current (2009) weekday morning and evening commuter peak traffic volumes, the corresponding predicted future traffic demands (2019 and 2029) and the maximum traffic flows for LOS C, D and E along the corridor study length.

The individual figures are as follows:

- Figure B-1: Weekday AM Peak Eastbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D & E
- Figure B-2: Weekday AM Peak Westbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D & E
- Figure B-3: Weekday PM Peak Eastbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D & E
- Figure B-4: Weekday PM Peak Westbound Volumes and Maximum Flows for LOS C, D & E

The LOS maximum traffic flow values were calculated in accordance with AUSTROADS 'Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice, Part 2 – Roadway Capacity' and Transport Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual.



























Appendix C: Traffic Analysis Spreadsheet

The following spreadsheet provides the numerical calculations of the LOS maximum traffic flows and the current and predicted future traffic demands along the corridor study length, which are illustrated graphically in *Figure B-1* to *Figure B-4*.

The LOS maximum traffic flow values were calculated in accordance with AUSTROADS 'Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice, Part 2 - Roadway Capacity' and Transport Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual.



Running RS Dist	RÞ	Location	Peak Hour Capacity (LOS E) Wbd Ebd	Po Capa: Wbd	ak Hour aity (LOS D) Ebd	Peak Capacity Wbd	Hour (LOSC) Ebd	Capacity Calculation Source Based on Austroads GTEP Part 2 although Highway Capacity Manual figure of 1,700vph per lane used rather than Austroads figure of 2,800 per two way road	Count Station Location	2009 Avg Woekday AM (07:00-08:00) Wbd Ebd	2009 Avg Weekday PM (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd	Turning Counts AM (07:00-08:00) Wod Ebd	Turning Counts Turning Count Comments PM (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd
0.C0 0.C5	0	0.00 SH2 (Manor Park) 0.05 9.50	1470 930 1470 930	700 700	520 520	440 440	290 290	GTEP Ft 2, s 3.2.2 (Level, 50%:45% spit, 00% SE < 450m, 3.5m lanes. Im shoulders, 2 anes Eod, Capacity Ebc x 1/3 for Sighals)	0	702 957 702 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.10 0.15 0.50	0	C.10 C.15 C.20	1470 930 1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780 780	520 780 780	440 440 440	290 440 440	GTEP Ft 2, a 0.2.2 (Level, 55%;45% apit, 80% SD < 450m, 0.5m lanea - 1m aboulders)	Count Stn	782 967 782 957 782 957	997 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.25	0	0.25 0.30 Dry Creek / McDougall Gr	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780	780 780	440 440	440 440			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.35 0.40	0 0	0.35 0.40	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780	750 750	440 440	440 440			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.45	0	C.45 C.50	1470 1470 1050 1050	700	730 430	440 200	440 280	GTEP Ft 2, s 3.2.2 (Rolling, 55%:45% aciit, 80% SE < 450m, S.5m lanes, 8m shoulders)		702 957 782 957	0 097 740 0 897 740		
0.65	0	C.55 C.60 C.65	1050 1050 1050 1050 1050 1050	430 430 430	430 430 430	280 280 280	280 280 280			782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.70	0	0.70	1050 1050 1160 1160	430	430	280	283	GTEP Ft 2, s 3.2.2 (Bolling, 55%:45% solit, 80% SD < 450m, 3.5m lanes, 1m shoulders)		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.80 0.85	0 0	C.80 C.85	1160 1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350 350	35) 35)		-	782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
0.90	0	0.90 0.95 Hugh Duncan Street 1.00 Hugh Duncan Street	1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540 540	350 350 700	350	0120 F+9 a 2.9.5 / Dolling 559/ 455/ colit 900' SP 4 450m 5 5m large 1m sha kam	_	702 957 702 957 790 057	0 897 740 0 097 740 0 907 740		
1.05	ŏ	1.05 1.10	2910 1160 2910 1160	1080 1080	540 540	700 700	35) 35)	2 anas Wbd)		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.15 1.20	0 0	1.15 Kaitawa Street 1.20	2310 1160 2310 1160	1080 1080	540 540	700 700	350 350			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.25 1.30	0	1.25	2310 1160 2310 1160	1080	540 540	700 700	35) 35)			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.35 1.40 1.45	0	1.30 1.40 1.45 Old Hawwards Boad	2910 1160 2910 1160 2910 1160	1000	540 540 540	700 700 700	350 350 350			702 957 702 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.50 1.55	0	1.50 1.55	2910 1160 2400 1200	1080	540 610	700	350 400	GTEP Ft 2, s 3.2.2 (Holling, 55%:45% solit, 80% SD < 450m, 3.5m larce, 2m shoulders,		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.60 1.65	0 0	1.60 1.65	2400 1200 2400 1200	1220 1220	610 610	800 800	400 400	2 ianes Wbd)		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.70	0	1.70 1.75	2400 1200 2400 1200 2400 1200	1220	610 610	800 800	400			782 957 702 957 780 657	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.60	0	1.85	2400 1200 2400 1200 2400 1200	1220	610 610	800	400			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
1.95	0 U	1.95 2.00 Haywards Summit	2400 1200 2400 1200	1220 1220	610 610	800 800	400 400			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.05	0	2.05 2.10 Haywards Summit	2400 1200 2400 1200	1220 1220	610 610	800 800	400 400			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.15 2.20 2.25	0	2.15 2.20 2.25	2840 1470 2040 1470 2040 1470	1560	780 780 780	880 880 880	440 440 440	GT = 2 Ft 2, s 3,2 2 (Lovel, 55%,45% epit, 80% SE < 450m, 3,5m taries in rishouldiers, 2 lanes Wibd)		782 957 782 957 789 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.30	ŏ	2.20 2.30 2.35	2940 1470 1470 1470	1560	780 780 780	880 440	440	GTEP Ft 2, e 3,2 2 (Lovel, 55% 35% epit, 80% SD < 450m, 3,6m larce, 1m should as)		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.40 2.45	n 0	2.40 2.45	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780	780 780	440 440	440 440			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.50 2.55	0	2.50 2.55	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780	750 750	440 440	440 440			782 957 782 957 789 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.65	0	2.65 2.70	1470 1470 1470 1470	780	730 730 730	440	440			782 957 782 957 782 957	0 807 740 0 807 740 0 807 740		
2.75	0 n	2.75 2.80	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780	780 780	440 440	440 440			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.85	0	2.85 2.90	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 780	780 780	440 440	440 440			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
2.95 3.00	0	2.95 S.00 Mt Cecil Road s.os	1470 1470 1470 1470	780 700	750 730	440 440	440 440	OTED Dec - 2.0 5 (Delling 57%)45% wild 00% SD - 450m 5.5m (and 4m also (see)		782 957 702 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
3.10 3.15	ŏ	0.10 9.15	1160 1160	540 540	540 1050	350	960 700	GTEP Ft 2, e 3.2.2 (Rolling, 55%)5% colit, 80% SD < 450m, 5.5m lance, 1m shouldons,		782 057 782 957	0 907 740 0 907 740 0 997 740		
3.20 3.25	0 0	9.20 9.25	1160 2310 1160 2310	540 540	1080 1080	350 350	700 700	2 anos E5d)		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
3.30 3.35	0	3.30 3.35	1160 2310 1160 2310	540 540	1080	350 350	703			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
3.40 3.45 3.50	0	3.40 S.45 S.50	1160 1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350	353	GT EMPT 2, S 3,2,2 (Holling, Co%,40% Suit, 60% OL < 400m, 2.5m farles, 1m shoulders)		702 957 702 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
3.65	0	3.55 3.60	1160 1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350 350	350 350			782 957 782 957	0 807 740 0 897 740		
3.65 3.70	0	9.65 9.70	1160 1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350 350	350 350			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
3.75 3.80	0	3.75 3.80 3.85	1160 1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350 350 250	35) 35) 25)			782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
3.90	0	5.90 5.95	1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350	350			702 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.00	0	4.00	1160 1160 1160 1160	540 540	540 540	350 350	350 350			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.10 4.15 4.50	0	4.10 4.15 4.20	1160 1160 1160 1160 1520 1520	540 540	540 540	350 350	350 350	CTED Et 2 + 2 -2 -5 () and ESS 415% entry 80% SP + 450m -2 5m lance 2m ebaulders)		782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.25	0	4.25 4.30	1520 1520 1520 1520	880 880	850 850	500 500	500 500	GTEFFLE 6 5.2.2 (Level, CO AND 10 GAIL, OUX OF CHOVIL, SUBLIDING ES 21 TOMORIES)	•	762 957 762 957 702 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 997 740		
4.95 4.40	0	4.35 4.40	1520 1520 1520 1520	800 880	830 880	500 500	500 500			702 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.45 4.50	0	4.45	1520 1520 1520 1520	880 880	830 830	500 500	500 500			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.60	0	4.55 4.60 4.65	1520 1520 1520 1520 1520 1520	880 880 880	880 830 830	500 500	500 500			782 967 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.70	ŏ	4.70 4.75 Harris Road	1520 1520 1520 1520 1100 2310	880 540	850 1000	500 350	500	GTEP Ft 2, + 3.2.2 (Rolling, 50%,45% solit, 60% GD < 450m, 3.5m Janes, 1m shoulders.		782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
4.80 4.85	0	4.80 4.85	1160 251D 1160 251D	540 540	1050	350 350	700 700	2 anes Eod)		782 957 782 957	0 807 740 0 897 740		
4.90 4.95	0	4.90 4.95 5.00	1160 2\$10 1160 2\$10 1160 2\$10	540 540	1080	350 350 350	703			782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
5.05 5.10	0	5.05 5.10	1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310	540 540	1080	350 350	703			782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
5.15 5.20	0	5.15 5.20	1160 231D 1160 251D	540 540	1060	350 350	700 700			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
5.25 5.30	0	5.25 5.30	1160 2510 1160 2510	540 540	1060	360 350	700 700			782 067 782 957	0 807 740 0 897 740		
5.35 5.40 5.45	0	5.36 5.40 5.45	1160 2\$10 1160 2\$10 1160 2\$10	540 540	1080 1080 1080	350 350 350	703 703 703			782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740		
5.50 5.55	ŏ	5.50 5.55	1160 2310 1160 2310	540 540	1080	350	700			782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740		
				2.0									

lookday -08:00)		2019 Avg PM (17:	vg Weekday 2029 Avg Weekday 7:00-18:00) AM (07:00-08:00)				2029 Avg PM (17:0	Avg Wookday (17:00-18:00)		
Ebd		WEd	EDd	Wibd	EDO		WDd	Ebd		
479 479	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
476 476	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
476 478	0	404	1049 1049	761 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
476	0	404	1049	781	1237	D	1050	663		
475 475	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
470 479	0	404 404	1049 1049	701 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	CG3		
473	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
476	0	404	1049	781	1237	ō	1050	663		
478 478	0 0	404 404	1049 1049	781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
479	ŏ	404	1049	761	1237	ŏ	1050	663		
479 478	ô	404 401	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	ô	1050 1060	663		
478 478	0	404	1049 1049	781 781	1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475	ō	404	1049	781	1237	ņ	1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
476 476	0	404	1049 1049	781 781	1237	0	1050	663		
476	ŏ	401	1019	781	1237	ŏ	1050	663		
478	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
476 476	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	ō	1050	663		
479	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475 476	0	404 401	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
478	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	ö	1050	663		
475 475	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
478 473	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663 663		
476	ŏ	404	1049	781	1237	ŏ	1050	663		
476 476	0	401	1049 1049	781	1237 1237	0	1050	663		
478 475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050 1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0 0	1050	663		
475	ő	404	1049	701	1237	ő	1050	663		
475 475	0	404	1049 1049	781	1237 1237	0	1050	663 663		
478 476	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	ō	1050	663		
479 479	0	404 404	1049 1049	701	1237 1237	0	1050	663		
473 478	0	404 401	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
478	0	404	1049	781	1237	õ	1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	n	1050	663		
476 475	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	ő	1050 1050	663 663		
479 473	0	404	1049	701	1237	0	1050	663		
475	õ	404	1040	781	1237	ŏ	1050	663		
478	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475 475	0	404 404	1049 1049	781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
479	0	404	1049	781	1237	õ	1050	663		
475	ő	404	1049	781	1237	ŏ	1050	663		
478 478	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475 478	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475	ŏ	404	1049	781	1237	ŏ	1050	663		
479 479	0	404 404	1049 1049	701	1237 1237	õ	1050 1050	663 663		
476 476	0	401 401	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1060 1060	663 663		
478	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475 475	0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0 0	1050 1050	663 663		
479 479	0	404 404	1049 1049	701 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
478	0	401	1019	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475 476	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663 663		
475 475	0 0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475 478	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663		
475	ő	404	1049	781	1237	000	1050	663		
475	0	404	1049	781	1237	0	1050	663 663		
476 475	0 0	404 404	1049 1049	781 781	1237 1237	0	1050 1050	663 663		
475 478	0 0	404 404	1049 1049	761	1237 1237	0 0	1059	663 662		
475	ő	404	1049	781	1237	õ	1050	663		



Running RS Dist	RP	Location	Peak Hour Capacity (LOS E) Wod Ebd	Po Capac Wbd	ak Hour ity (LOS D) Ebd	Peak Hour Capacity (LOS Wod Eb	Capacity Calculation Source C) Based on Austroace GTEP Part 2 although Highway Capacity Manual figure of 1,700 kph per d) Iane used rather than Austroads figure of 2,800 per two way mod	Count Station Location	2009 Avg Weekday AM (07:00-08:00) Wbd Ebd	2009 Avg Weekday PM (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd	Turning Counts AM (07:00-08:00) Wod Ebd	Turning Counts PM (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd	Turning Count Comments	2019 Avg Weekday AM (07:00-08:00) Wbd Ebd	2019 Avg Weekday PM (17:00-18:00) Wod Ebd	2029 Avg Weekda AM (07:00-08:00) Wbd Ebd	y 2029 Avg Weekday PN (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd
5.60 5.65 5.70 5.90 5.90 5.90 5.90 5.90	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5.60 5.65 5.70 5.80 5.80 5.85 5.90 5.95	1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310 1160 2310	540 540 540 540 540 540 540 540	1080 1080 1080 1080 1080 1080 1080 1080	35C 70 35C 70			782 957 782 957 702 957 702 957 782 957 782 957 782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 097 740 0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740				130: 476 130: 476 130: 476 130: 476 120: 476 120: 476 130: 476 130: 476 130: 476 130: 476 130: 476 130: 476	0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049	781 1237 781 1237 701 1237 701 1237 701 1237 781 1237 781 1237 781 1237	C 1050 863 C 1050 663 C 1050 663 C 1050 665 C 1050 665 C 1050 865 C 1050 865 C 1050 865
5.00 5.05 5.10	0	6.00 6.05 6.10	1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830 830	830 830 830	470 47 470 47 470 47	0 GTEP Pt 2, s 3,2,2 (Level, tofw/45% splt, 80% SD < 450m, 3,5m lanes, 1m & 2m shoulders) 9 0		782 957 782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740 0 897 740				1301 476 1301 476 1301 476	0 404 1049 0 404 1049 0 404 1049	781 123/ 781 1237 781 1237	0 1050 663 0 1050 663 0 1050 663
5.15 9.20	0	6.15 6.20 Moonshine Road	1500 1500 1500 1500	630 630	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 897 740				1301 476 1301 476	0 404 1049 0 404 1049	781 1237 701 1237	C 1050 663 C 1050 663
6.25 8.30	0	6.25 6.90 Moonshire Road	1500 1500 1500 1500	630 630	800 800	470 47 470 47	0		782 957 782 957	0 897 740 0 807 740				1301 476 1301 476	0 404 1049 0 404 1040	781 1237 781 1237	C 1050 663 C 1050 663
5.35 5.40	0	6.95 6.40	1500 1500 1500 1500	600 690	800 890	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 300 978 0 399 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
5.40 5.50	0	6.40 6.50 6.55	1500 1500	830 830	830	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 937	0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456 1189 456	0 339 978 0 339 978 0 339 378	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	0 1035 618 0 1035 618 0 1035 618
5.60 5.65	0	6.60 6.65	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 389 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
5.70 3.75	0	6.70 6.75	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 436 1109 430	0 389 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 616
3.90 6.95	0	6.80 6.85 Paustahanui Bridgo #2	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	820 820	470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937	0 884 690				1189 436 1189 436	0 200 978 0 299 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
6.90 6.95 7.00	0	6.90 6.95 7.00 Juriceiard Colf Club	1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830 830	830 830 830	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456 1189 456	0 399 978 0 399 978 0 399 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618 C 1035 618
7.05	0	7.05	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 339 978 0 339 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
7.15 7.20	0 0	7.15 7.20	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 389 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	0 1035 618 0 1035 616
7.25 7.90	0	7.25 7.90 Muhern Road	1500 1500 1500 1500	630 630	820 820	470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937	004 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	399 970 0 300 978	714 1211 714 1211	1035 010 0 1035 618
7.35 7.40	0	7.35 7.40 7.45	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	820 820 820	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 927	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456 1189 488	0 330 978 0 339 978 0 339 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618 C 1035 618
7.50	0	7.46 7.50 Paustahanui Bridgo #9 7.55	1500 1500	830 830	820	470 47	5 5 0		715 937	0 884 690				1189 436 1189 436	0 999 978 0 999 978	714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618 C 1035 618
7.60 7.65	0	7.60 7.65	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 389 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
7.70	0	7.70	1500 1500 1500 1500	630 830	830 830	470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937	0 604 690 0 884 690				1109 400 1189 486	0 389 976 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 016 C 1035 618
7.80 7.85	0	7.80	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	800 800 800	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456 1189 456	0 330 978 0 330 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618 C 1035 618
7.95	o U	7.95	1500 1500	630 630	820 830	470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937	0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 399 976 0 399 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 616 C 1035 616
8.05 8.10	0	8.05 Murphys Rd / Flightys Rd 8.10	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	D D		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	n 399 978 0 399 978	714 1211 714 1211	0 1035 618 0 1035 618
5.15 5.20	0	8.15 8.20	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 486	0 389 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	0 1035 618 0 1035 618
3.25 3.30	0	8.25 8.30	1500 1500 1500 1500	630 630	850 850	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 937	0 804 690 0 804 690				1189 456 1189 456 1189 456	0 389 978 0 389 978 0 399 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618 C 1035 618
5.35 8.40 8.45	ŏ	e.se 8.40 Bolmont Road 8.45	1500 1500	630 630	820	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 937	0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456 1189 456	0 339 978 0 389 978 0 329 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618 C 1035 618
8.50 8.55	0	8.50 8.55	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 436 1189 436	0 399 978 0 399 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1095 618 C 1095 618
8.60 3.65	0	8.60 6.65	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1169 456	0 389 978 0 389 976	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 616
5.70 5.75	0	8.70 8.75	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 907	0 884 690				1189 486 1189 456 1180 426	0 389 978 0 389 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
3.85 3.90	ů o	8.85 6.90	1500 1500	830 630	820	470 47	0		715 937 715 937 715 907	0 884 690 0 884 690				1180 436 1189 436	0 300 978 0 300 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
8.95 9.00	0	8.95 Paustahanui Bridgo #7 9.00	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	820 820	470 47 470 47	D D		715 927 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 486 1189 486	0 9399 978 0 9399 978	714 1211 714 1211	C 1035 618 C 1035 618
9.05 9.10	0	9.05 9.10	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937	0 884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	0 339 978 0 339 978	714 1211 714 1211	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1035 & 618 \\ 0 & 1035 & 618 \end{array}$
9.15 9.20	0	9.15 9.20	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47 470 47		Count Sin	715 937 715 937 715 937	884 690 0 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	399 978 0 389 978	714 1211 714 1211 714 1211	1035 618 0 1035 618 1035 618
9.30	0	8.20 9.30 9.35 Brader Boad	1500 1500	630 630	850	470 47 470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937 715 937	804 690 804 690				1109 400 1109 400 1109 400	389 976 389 978 389 978	714 1211 714 1211	1035 616
9.40 9.45	0 0	G.4C G.45	1500 1500 1500 1500	690 690	820 820	470 47	0		715 927 715 937	884 690 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	900 978 999 978	714 1211 714 1211	1035 618 1035 618
9.50 9.55	0	9.50 9.55 Proposed TG Underpass	1500 1500 1500 1500	830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937	884 690 884 690				1189 436 1189 436	399 978 399 978	714 1211 305 693	1035 618 530 414
9.60 9.65 9.70	0	9.65 9.70	1500 1500	630 830 830	830 830	470 47 470 47 470 47			715 937 715 937 715 927	884 690 884 690				1109 400 1189 456 1189 456	389 976 389 978 389 978	305 693 305 693	500 414 500 414 500 414
9.75 9.80	0	8.76 9.80	1500 1500 1500 1500	630 630	830 830	470 47 470 47	0		715 937 715 937 715 937	884 690 884 690				1189 456 1189 456	389 978 389 978	305 693 305 693	500 414 500 414 500 414
0.85 0.90	0	0.85 9.90	1500 1500 2050 1500	600 1170	800 800	470 47 660 47	0 GTEP Pt 2, s 3.2.2 (As above, but 2 in res Wbd, Capacity Wbd x 2/3 for rouncinbout)		715 007 715 007	884 690 884 690				1180 436 1189 436	300 976 300 978	205 693 205 693	500 414 500 414
9.95 10.00	0	9.95 10.00 Pauatahanui Roundabout 14 os	2000 1500 2000 1500	1170 1170	890 890	66C 47 66C 47			715 937 715 937	884 690 884 690	_			1189 456 1189 456	399 978 399 978	905 693 905 693	500 414 500 414
10.05	0	10.06 10.10 New Pauatahanui Bridge No.3 10.15	2030 1500 8 2030 1500 2030 1500	1170	830 830 830	660 47 660 47 660 47			639 705 639 705 639 705	659 608 659 608 659 608				852 556 852 556	526 729 526 729 526 729	260 531 260 531 260 531	356 337 356 337 356 337
10.20 10.25	0	10.20 10.25 Joseph Banks Drive	1090 1090 1090 1090	510 510	510 510	29C 29 29C 29	0 GTEP PL2, s 3.2.2 (Level, 55% 45% spit, 80% SD < 450m, 2.7 m lanes, 0m and 1m shoulder 0	rs) –	639 705 562 472	659 608 0 434 525	_			<u>892 536</u> 596 657	526 729 0 653 480	260 531 215 370	356 337 C 273 261
10.30 10.35	0	10.30	1090 1090 1090 1090	510 510	510 510	29C 29 29C 29			562 472 562 472	434 525 494 525				595 657 590 607	653 480 653 400	215 370 215 370	273 261 278 261
10.40 10.45 10.50	0	10.40 10.45 10.50	1090 1090	510 510	510 510	29C 29 29C 29 29C 29			562 472 562 472 562 472	404 525 494 525 494 525				506 637 596 637 508 637	653 480 653 480 653 480	215 370 215 370 215 270	273 261 279 261 279 261
10.55	0 D	10.55	1090 1090	510	510	290 29 290 29	0 0		582 472 582 472	434 525				596 637 596 637	653 480 653 480	215 370 215 370	273 261 273 261 273 261
10.65 10.70	0 0	10.65 10.70	1090 1090 1090 1090	510 510	510 510	290 29 290 29	0		562 472 562 472	434 525 434 525				596 667 596 667	653 480 653 480	215 370 215 370	273 261 273 261
10.75	0	10.75 10.80	1090 1090 1090 1090	510 510	510 510	29C 29 29C 29	0		562 472 502 472	434 525 494 525				596 657 596 007	653 480 053 400	215 370 215 970	273 261 279 261
10.00	0	10.90	1090 1090	510 510	510 510	290 29 290 29 290 20	5 0 0		562 472 562 472 562 470	434 525 404 525 404 525				506 637 506 637 506 637	653 480 653 480	215 370 215 370 215 970	273 261 273 261 273 261
11.00	0 0	11.0C	1090 1090 1090 1090	510 510	510 510	29C 29 29C 29	- 0 0		562 472 562 472	434 525 494 525				596 657 596 637	653 480 653 480	215 370 215 370	273 261 279 261
11.10 11.15	0	11.10 11.15	1090 1090 1090 1090	510 510	510 510	290 29 290 29	D D		562 472 562 472	434 525 434 525				596 667 596 667	653 480 653 480	215 370 215 370	273 261 273 261



Running RS Dist	RP Location	Peak Hour Capacity (LOS E) Wbd Ebd	Peak Hour Capacity (LOS D) Wibd Ebd	Peak Hour Capacity (LOS C Wbd Ebd	Capacity Calculation Source Based on Austroads GTEP Par: 2 although Highway Capacity Manual figure of 1.700vph per lane used rattler than Austroads ligure of 2,800 per two way road	Count Station Location	2009 Avg Weekday AN (07:00-08:90) Wbd Ebd	2009 Avg Weekday PM (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd	Turning Counts AN (07:00-08:00) Wbd Ebd	Turning Counts PM (17:00-18:00) Wbd Ebd	Turning Count Comments
11111111111111111111111111112121212121	0 11.20 11.20 11.20 11.40 Jamas Cook Driva 11.40 Jamas Cook Driva 11.45 Jamas Cook Driva 0 11.55 0 11.65 0 11.65 0 11.75 0 11.80 11.80 0 11.75 0 11.80 11.80 0 11.75 0 11.80 11.80 0 11.25 12.00 12.00 12.15 0 12.25 0 12.25 0 12.25 0 12.25 0 12.25 0 12.25 0 12.45 0 12.45 0 12.45 0 12.45 0 12.60 12.60 12.50 12.60 12.50 12.50 12.60 12.55 0 12.45 0 12.45 0 12.65 0 12.6	1000 1000 1200 1000 1200 1000 1200 1090 1200 <td>510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510</td> <td>2900 2900 2900<td>GTEP P: 2, e 2, 2 2 (Lovo , 78% 28% epit, 80% SD < 400 r, 2 7m knos, 1m80rr shockbost</td><td></td><td>512 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 553 461 753</td><td>4-C4 555 4-C4 525 4-C4 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731</td><td></td><td>41 -2724</td><td>MWF: Court 2008</td></td>	510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 5110 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 510	2900 2900 2900 <td>GTEP P: 2, e 2, 2 2 (Lovo , 78% 28% epit, 80% SD < 400 r, 2 7m knos, 1m80rr shockbost</td> <td></td> <td>512 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 553 461 753</td> <td>4-C4 555 4-C4 525 4-C4 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731</td> <td></td> <td>41 -2724</td> <td>MWF: Court 2008</td>	GTEP P: 2, e 2, 2 2 (Lovo , 78% 28% epit, 80% SD < 400 r, 2 7m knos, 1m80rr shockbost		512 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 552 472 553 461 753	4-C4 555 4-C4 525 4-C4 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731 0 461 731		41 -2724	MWF: Court 2008
15.00 15.05 15.10	0 15.00 SH1 (Peremata) 0 15.05 SH1 0 15.10 SH1	1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400	1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000			1325 427 1325 427 1325 427	541 1347 541 1347 541 1347			

eekday		2019 Avg	Weekday	2029 Avg	Weekday		2029 Avg	Weekday
08:00)		PM (17:	00-18:00) Elud	AM (07:0	0-08:00) Elud		PM (17:	00-18:00)
EDG		MDC	EDu	WEG	EPG		W DG	Eba
667		653	480	215	370		270	261
667		653	480	215	370		273	261
667		653	480	215	370		273	261
667		653	480	215	370		273	261
620		612	480	215	970		273	2651
620	0	612	542	380	347	n	298	437
620	ŏ	612	542	36)	347	ŏ	298	437
620	ō	612	542	300	347	õ	290	437
620	0	612	542	360	947	0	208	437
620	0	612	542	385	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	847	8	298	437
620	0	612	542	360	347	5	290	437
620	0	612	542	380	847	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	38)	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	847	0	296	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	0	296	437
620	ŏ	612	542	38)	947	ŏ	298	437
620	ō	612	542	380	347	ō	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	D	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	847	D	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	360	347	0	290	437
620	ŏ	612	542	380	347	ŏ	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	380	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	38)	347	0	298	437
620	0	612	542	30)	347	8	290	43/
620	ŏ	612	542	380	347	ŏ	295	437
620	ŏ	612	542	300	847	ŏ	296	437
620	ò	612	542	380	347	ō	298	437
673		671	804	548	324		323	614
679	0	671	804	548	924	0	925	614
573	0	5/1	504	545	324	n	323	614
573	ŏ	571	504	545	324	ŏ	323	614
573	ō	571	504	546	324	ō	323	614
573	0	671	504	548	324	0	323	614
573	0	571	304	543	324	0	920	614
573	0	571	304	545 E42	324	0	320 990	614
673 573	0	571	804	548	824	õ	823 995	614
573	0	571	504	546	324	ō	323	614
526		531	666	711	301		348	791
526	0	531	566	711	301	0	348	791
526	0	531	566	711	301	0	348	791
526	0	591	366	711	301	ŏ	946	791
526	ŏ	531	366	711	301	ŏ	348	791
526	0	531	366	711	801	0	848	791
480		490	728	876	278	_	373	963
480		45(1)	728	876	278		975	SEB
480		490	728	875	278		373 975	965
480		490	728	875	278		373	965
480		490	720	879	276		373	963
480		490	720	879	276		97S	963
480		400	728	876	278		970	963
480		490	728	875	278		97G 97C	968
480		490	728	873	276		873	965
480		4-0	728	876	278		875	968
480		490	728	875	278		373	965
460		490	728	876	278		373	965
480		490	720	879	276		875	963
400		400	720	070 070	276		976	963
480		490	728	878	278		873 979	963
480		490	728	876	278		979	963
480		490	728	875	278		375	965
480		490	728	875	278		373	963
480		490	728	875	278		373	965



Appendix D: Accident Records and Analysis

The NZTA Crash Analysis System (CAS) crash database has been interrogated to identify and analyse crashes and crash trends that have occurred along the SH58 Corridor length.

B.1 Long Term Crash Trends

A total of 1,009 crashes were reported along the SH58 Corridor length in the 20-year period from 1989 to 2008 inclusive. These crashes are summarised by year and by crash severity in *Table D-1*, and are presented graphically in *Figure D-1* and *Figure D-2* below.

In addition to the number of crashes, *Table D-1* also identifies the annual cost of crashes along the corridor length. These annual crash costs, which were determined in accordance with NZTA's *'Economic Evaluation Manual'*, are presented graphically in **Figure D-3** below.

Table D-1 and **Figure D-1**, **Figure D-2** and **Figure D-3** below show that there was a peak in injury crashes (20-25/year) in the mid 1990's, and since then the number of injury crashes has hovered around 15/year. There has been a very slight decrease in the total number of crashes since the mid 1990s; the total crash cost peaked in 1997, but in the last five years the crash cost has returned to levels similar to the early 1990s.

The crash records for the last years show no increase in the numbers of injury total numbers of crashes, so it therefore seems that further intervention is required to improve highway safety.

The crash data for the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008 inclusive indicates that there are currently an average of 13 injury crashes, nearly 60 total crashes and crash costs of about \$5.6 million per annum along the SH58 Corridor study length. These annual crash numbers and costs illustrate that there is considerable scope for achieving one of the objectives of the Land Transport Management Act (2003), to improve safety and personal security, along the SH58 Corridor length.



Crash		Total					
rear	Fatal	Serious	Minor	Injury Crashes	Non- Injury	Total Crashes	Costs
1989	0	1	16	17	16	33	\$3.0 M
1990	0	6	12	18	41	59	\$6.7 M
1991	0	7	14	21	28	49	\$7.5 M
1992	0	7	12	19	23	42	\$7.1 M
1993	2	5	16	23	26	49	\$14.0 M
5-Years (1987-1991)	2	26	70	98	134	232	\$38.4 M
1994	1	6	16	23	35	58	\$11.0 M
1995	1	2	10	13	39	52	\$7.4 M
1996	2	4	18	24	31	55	\$13.5 M
1997	2	12	10	24	48	72	\$19.2 M
1998	1	2	11	14	33	47	\$7.6 M
5-Years (1992-1996)	7	26	65	98	186	284	\$58.7 M
10-Years (1987-1996)	9	52	135	196	320	516	\$97.0 M
1999	1	9	8	18	38	56	\$12.8 M
2000	1	4	7	12	27	39	\$8.2 M
2001	1	2	5	8	36	44	\$7.1 M
2002	2	6	10	18	32	50	\$14.3 M
2003	2	3	12	17	26	43	\$12.0 M
5-Years (1997-2001)	7	24	42	73	159	232	\$54.6 M
2004	0	2	12	14	31	45	\$3.9 M
2005	0	5	13	18	39	57	\$6.2 M
2006	1	1	14	16	45	61	\$7.7 M
2007	0	1	18	19	36	55	\$3.9 M
2008	0	4	8	12	31	43	\$4.8 M
5-Years (2002-2006)	1	13	65	79	182	261	\$26.4 M
10-Years (1997-2006)	8	37	107	152	341	493	\$81.0 M
20-Years (1987-2006)	17	89	242	348	661	1,009	\$178.0 M

Table D-1: SH58 Corridor Crash Summary by Severity by Year (1989-2008)





Figure D-1: SH58 Corridor - Injury Crashes (1989-2008)



Figure D-2: SH58 Corridor - All Crashes (1989-2008)




Figure D-3: SH58 Corridor - Annual Crash Costs (1989-2008)



B.2 Analysis of Crashes by Location (Intersections and Mid-Block)

As noted in **Table D-1** above, a total of 261 crashes were reported along the SH58 Corridor length during the 5-year period from 2004 to 2008 inclusive. An analysis of these crashes has been undertaken by location. The relevant crashes have been identified for each of the individual intersections and mid-block sections along the SH58 Corridor length.

The reported crashes between 2004 and 2008 (and the corresponding crash costs) for each of the intersection and mid-block locations along the SH58 Corridor length are summarised in *Table D-2* and *Table D-3* below respectively.

Road Section	Intersection		Number of Crashes by Severity							
		Fatal	Serious	Minor	Injury Crashes	Non- Injury	Total Crashes	Costs		
iil	SH2 (Western Hutt Road)	0	1	1	2	3	5	\$1,010,700		
	Hebden Crescent	0	1	1	2	0	2	\$887,500		
	McDougall Grove	0	0	0	0	6	6	\$266,400		
s Hi	Hugh Duncan Street	0	0	0	0	1	1	\$44,400		
/ard	Kaitawa Street	0	0	1	1	0	1	\$108,000		
ayw	Atimuri	0	0	0	0	1	1	\$44,400		
Т	Old Haywards Road	0	1	0	1	0	1	\$769,500		
	Mount Cecil Road	0	0	2	2	3	5	\$349,200		
	Harris Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		
nshine Road - auatahanui oundabout	Moonshine Road	0	0	1	1	2	3	\$196,800		
	Mulhern Road	0	0	0	0	2	2	\$88,800		
	Murphys Road / Flightys Road	0	0	2	2	3	5	\$349,200		
	Belmont Road	0	0	1	1	0	1	\$108,000		
000	Bradey Road	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		
2	Pauatahanui RAB	0	0	3	3	13	16	\$856,140		
.⊥ e	Joseph Banks Drive	0	0	0	0	2	2	\$84,360		
aua- anu tgai rive	James Cook Drive	0	0	0	0	5	5	\$210,900		
Pos D	Spinnaker Drive	0	0	4	4	4	8	\$579,120		
۵Ŧ	Postgate Drive	0	2	3	5	8	13	\$1,441,470		
ostgate ive – SH	Oak Avenue	0	0	1	1	5	6	\$138,750		
	Bayview Rd / Seaview Rd	0	0	3	3	3	6	\$229,770		
ΞŌ	Paremata Crescent	0	0	0	0	6	6	\$93,240		
SH58 Corridor	All Intersection Crashes	0	5	23	28	67	95	\$7,846,650		

Table D-2: SH58 Corridor Intersection Crashes (2004-2008)



Table D-3: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Crashes (2004-2008)

Road Section	Mid-Block Section (from north to south)		Number of Crashes by Severity							
		Fatal	Seri- ous	Minor	Injury Crashes	Non- Injury	Total Crashes	Costs		
	Hebden Cres - McDougall Grove		2	1	3	5	8	\$1,869,000		
Ţ	McDougall Grove - Hugh Duncan St		0	1	1	3	4	\$241,200		
ds I	Hugh Duncan St - Kaitawa St	0	0	1	1	1	2	\$152,400		
war	Kaitawa St - Atimuri	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		
łayı	Old Haywards Rd - Mount Cecil Rd	0	0	5	5	9	14	\$939,600		
<u> </u>	Mount Cecil Road - Harris Road	0	0	3	3	10	13	\$768,000		
	Harris Rd - Moonshine Rd	1	1	0	2	4	6	\$4,747,100		
e Road - hanui about	Moonshine Road - Mulhern Road	0	1	2	3	5	8	\$1,207,500		
	Mulhern Road - Murphys Road / Flightys Road	0	0	3	3	6	9	\$590,400		
onshir auata Round	Murphys Road / Flighty Road - Belmont Road	0	0	0	0	1	1	\$44,400		
PON PON F	Belmont Road – Bradey Road	0	0	3	3	1	4	\$368,400		
-	Bradey Rd - Pauatahanui RAB	0	1	0	1	2	3	\$858,300		
te ⊢.	Pauatahanui Roundabout – Joseph Banks Drive	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		
aua ant	Joseph Banks Dr – James Cook Dr	0	1	1	2	15	17	\$1,470,600		
tah Pos	James Cook Dr - Spinnaker Dr	0	2	13	15	38	53	\$4,407,240		
	Spinnaker Drive – Postgate Drive	0	0	3	3	4	7	\$476,030		
÷	Postgate Drive - Oak Avenue	0	0	1	1	4	5	\$123,210		
Pos gate SH	Bayview Road / Seaview Road - Paremata Crescent	0	0	5	5	7	12	\$414,030		
SH58 Corridor	All Mid-Block Crashes	1	8	42	51	115	166	\$18.7 M		

The reported crashes at the intersections along the corridor length are presented graphically in Figure D-4 (injury crashes only) and Figure D-5 (all crashes), while the reported crashes at mid-block locations are presented in *Figure D-6* (injury crashes only) and *Figure D-7* (all crashes).

The intersection and mid-block crash costs are presented in Figure D-8 and Figure D-9 respectively.

Table D-2, Table D-3 and Figure D-4 to Figure D-9 below show that a large proportion of the reported crashes and crash costs along the SH58 Corridor study length have occurred at a relatively small number of key intersections and mid-block sections.

Date Printed: 3 August 2010





Figure D-4: SH58 Corridor Intersections - Injury Crashes (2004-2008)



Figure D-5: SH58 Corridor Intersections - All Crashes (2004-2008)





60 50 40 Number of Crashes Non-Injury Minor 30 Serious Fatal 20 10 0 Bay View Rd / Sea View Rd - Paramata CresRAB Mulhern Rd - Murphys Rd / Flightys Rd McDougall Grove -Hugh Duncan St Hugh Duncan St - Kaitawa St Old Haywards Rd - Mount Cecil Rd Mount Cecil Rd - Harris Rd Moonshine Rd - Mulhern Rd Belmont Rd - Bradey Rd Bradey Rd - Pauatahanui RAB Joseph Banks Dr - James Cook Dr Spinnaker Dr - Postgate Dr Hebden Cres- McDougall Grove MurphysRd / Flightys Rd - Belmont Pauatahanui RAB - Joseph Banks Dr James Cook Dr - Spinnaker Dr Postgate Dr - Oak Ave Kaitawa St - Atimuri Cres HarrisRd - Moonshine Road

Figure D-6: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Injury Crashes (2004-2008)

Figure D-7: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - All Crashes (2004-2008)





Figure D-8: SH58 Corridor Intersections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)



Figure D-9: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Crash Costs (2004-2008)



The mid-block injury crash rates are presented in *Figure D-10* below, along with typical injury crash rates (which have been calculated in accordance with NZTA's (formerly LTNZ's) *'Economic Evaluation Manual, Appendix A6.5*) for the differing mid-block highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length, namely:

• 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.5m lanes, 1.0m shoulders, though rolling/mountainous terrain and occasional passing lanes),

(typical for the section of SH58 between SH2 and Moonshine Road),

• 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 3.25m lanes, 2.0m shoulders),

(typical for the section of SH58 between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui Roundabout),

• 2-Lane Rural Highway (with 2.75m lanes, 0.5m shoulders),

(typical for the section of SH58 between Pauatahanui Roundabout and Postgate Drive), and

• 2-Lane Urban Arterial Highway, with Other Roadside Land-use. (typical for the section of SH58 between Postgate Drive and SH1).



Figure D-10: SH58 Corridor Mid-Block Sections - Injury Crash Rates (per 10⁸ veh-km)

Table D-2, **Table D-3** and **Figure D-4** to **Figure D-9** above show that a large proportion of the reported crashes and crash costs along the SH58 Corridor study length have occurred at a relatively small number of key intersections and mid-block sections.

Figure D-10 above shows that most of the mid-block sections have injury crash rates that are comparable with, or lower than, the typical crash rates for similar highway sections. The exceptions are the sections between Hebden Crescent and McDougall Grove, Mulhern Road and Murphy Road/Flightys Road, Belmont Road and Brady Road, and James Cook Drive and Spinnaker Drive which have high mid-block crash rates for the following reasons:



- Approximately ³/₄ of all crashes between Hebden Crescent and McDougall Grove occurred on wet roads. Also, ¹/₄ of all crashes on this section were "rear-end" crashes associated with the nearby intersection with SH2.
- The section of SH58 between Mulhern Road and Murphy Road/Flightys Road has had both "rear-end" crashes and "loss of control on straight" crashes. Approximately ½ of crashes along this section struck a roadside hazard.
- The section between Belmont Road and Brady Road has had few crashes but ³/₄ of the crashes resulted in injuries as there was a high number of "head on" crashes.
- Over 65% of crashes between James Cook Drive and Spinnaker Drive were "loss of control", and nearly 20% of crashes were "head on". These are likely due to the narrow road width and small shoulders with no little or no clear zones.

B.2.1 Discussion of Crash Numbers and Costs at Intersections

The traffic signal controlled intersection at SH2, including the adjacent priority controlled intersection with Hebden Crescent, has the highest crash cost compared to all other intersections along the corridor length. This is due to the very high proportion of serious injury crashes at these high speed traffic signals. The priority controlled Postgate Drive had a similar number of serious injury crashes, and more minor injury and non-injury crashes, but due to the lower speed environment has a lower crash cost. The Pauatahanui Roundabout had the highest number of crashes, but as the crashes resulted in low severity crashes, the intersection had a relatively low crash cost.

The three intersections noted above account for nearly 40% of the reported crashes and just over 40% of the injury crashes, but over 50% of the crash cost at intersections on the SH58 Corridor.

B.2.2 Discussion of Crash Numbers and Costs along Mid-Block Sections

The mid-block section between James Cook Drive and Spinnaker Drive has had a substantially higher number of reported crashes than any other mid-block section and has the second highest crash cost. The mid-block section between Harris Road and Moonshine Road had the highest crash cost due to a fatal crash which occurred on this section. The mid-block section between Hebden Crescent and McDougall Grove also had a high crash cost, due a high proportion of serious injury crashes.

The three mid-block sections noted above account for around 40% of the reported midblock crashes along the SH58 Corridor length, and about 60% of the reported crash costs.

B.3 Analysis of Crashes by Highway Section

As noted in *Table D-1* above, a total of 261 crashes were reported along the SH58 Corridor length during the latest 5-year period, from 2004 to 2008 inclusive. An analysis of the crash details and environmental factors has been undertaken by highway section.

The reported crashes between 2004 and 2008 for each of the main highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length are summarised in

Table D-4 to Table D-7 and Figure D-11 to Figure D-18 below.



Crash Details or Environmental Factors			Inter Cra	section ashes	Mid-Block Tot Crashes Cras			otal ashes
	Crossing / 1	Furning (Types H, J, K, L, M)	1	(5%)	2	(4%)	3	(4%)
rash Type	Head On (Types AB, B)			(9%)	5	(11%)	7	(10%)
	Hit Object (Types E)			(0%)	3	(6%)	3	(4%)
	Lost Contro	(Types AD, C, D)	10	(45%)	28	(60%)	38	(55%)
	Miscellaneo	US (Types Q)	0	(0%)	1	(2%)	1	(1%)
	Overtaking	(Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE)	4	(18%)	2	(4%)	6	(9%)
0	Pedestrian	(Types N, P)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	Cyclist (incl	uded in other types)	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Rear End (T	ypes F, GA-GD, GF, GO)	5	(23%)	6	(13%)	11	(16%)
	Weekday	AM Peak (06:00-08:59)	3	(14%)	6	(13%)	9	(13%)
ē	(Mon 06:00 - Fri 18:59)	Daytime (09:00-15:29)	6	(27%)	8	(17%)	14	(20%)
Πī	11110.33)	PM Peak (15:30-18:59)	4	(18%)	8	(17%)	12	(17%)
Ys	Weekend (Fri 19:00 - Mon 05:59)	Night-time (19:00-06:00)	4	(18%)	4	(9%)	8	(12%)
Cras		Morning (06:00-11:59)	0	(0%)	2	(4%)	2	(3%)
		Afternoon (12:00-18:59)	4	(18%)	11	(23%)	15	(22%)
		Night-time (19:00-06:00)	1	(5%)	8	(17%)	9	(13%)
2	Summer (1 [December - 28 or 29 February)	6	(27%)	17	(36%)	23	(33%)
tso	Autumn (1 M	8	(36%)	10	(21%)	18	(26%)	
Sea	Winter (1 Jur	4	(18%)	12	(26%)	16	(23%)	
	Spring (1 September – 30 November)		4	(18%)	8	(17%)	12	(17%)
	Bright Sun	9	(41%)	14	(30%)	23	(33%)	
ght	Overcast		9	(41%)	17	(36%)	26	(38%)
Ē	Twilight	1	(5%)	3	(6%)	4	(6%)	
	Dark		3	(14%)	13	(28%)	16	(23%)
σ	Dry		15	(68%)	27	(57%)	42	(61%)
toa	Wet		7	(32%)	19	(40%)	26	(38%)
∠	Ice or Snow		0	(0%)	1	(2%)	1	(1%)
<u> </u>	Fine		16	(73%)	33	(70%)	49	(71%)
the	Mist or Fog		2	(9%)	0	(0%)	2	(3%)
Vea	Light Rain		4	(18%)	9	(19%)	13	(19%)
S	Heavy Rain		0	(0%)	5	(11%)	5	(7%)
Total Number of Crashes (6.3km)			22	(100%)	47	(100%)	69	(100%)

Table D-4: SH58 Crashes between State Highway 2 and Moonshine Road (2004-2008)





Figure D-11: SH58 Crashes Between State Highway 2 and Moonshine Road - Crash Types



Figure D-12: SH58 Crashes Between State Highway 2 and Moonshine Road - Crash Times



Table D-5: SH58	Crashes between	n Moonshine Road a	nd Pauatahanui (2004-2008)
14516 5 51 51150	erasiies sectiee	I INTO OTISTITITE INOUM U	14 · 4444414141 (2000 · 2000)

Crash Details or Environmental Factors			Inter Cra	ersection Mid-Block Crashes Crashes			Total Crashes	
	Crossing / T	urning (Types H, J, K, L, M)	2	(7%)	2	(8%)	4	(8%)
Crash Type	Head On (Ty	0	(0%)	4	(16%)	4	(8%)	
	Hit Object (2	(7%)	2	(8%)	4	(8%)	
	Lost Control	(Types AD, C, D)	9	(33%)	10	(40%)	19	(36%)
	Miscellaneo	JS (Types Q)	1	(4%)	1	(4%)	2	(4%)
	Overtaking	(Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE)	4	(15%)	1	(4%)	5	(9%)
	Pedestrian (Types N, P)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	Cyclist (inclu	uded in other types)	0	-	1	-	1	-
	Rear End (Ty	/pes F, GA-GD, GF, GO)	9	(33%)	5	(20%)	14	(27%)
	Weekday	AM Peak (06:00-08:59)	6	(22%)	5	(20%)	11	(21%)
ne	(Mon 06:00 - Fri 18:59)	Daytime (09:00-15:29)	5	(19%)	2	(8%)	7	(13%)
i i		PM Peak (15:30-18:59)	8	(30%)	6	(24%)	14	(27%)
sh	Weekend (Fri 19:00 - Mon 05:59)	Night-time (19:00–06:00)	1	(4%)	6	(4%)	7	(13%)
Cra		Morning (06:00-11:59)	1	(4%)	1	(4%)	2	(4%)
		Afternoon (12:00-18:59)	3	(11%)	2	(8%)	5	(10%)
	Summer (1.5	Night-time (19:00-06:00)	3	(11%)	3	(12%)	6	(12%)
Season	Autump (1 March 21 March		6	(22%)	8	(32%)	14	(27%)
	Minter (1 hu	/	(26%)	4		11	(21%)	
	Wirtler (1 Jur	8	(30%)	6	(24%)	14	(27%)	
	Spring (1 September – 30 November)		6	(22%)	/	(28%)	13	(25%)
÷	Bright Sun	/	(26%)	/	(28%)	14	(27%)	
igh	Overcast		9	(33%)	9	(36%)	18	(35%)
	Twilight	4	(15%)	1	(4%)	5	(10%)	
	Dark		/	(26%)	8	(32%)	15	(29%)
ad	Dry		17	(63%)	23	(92%)	40	(77%)
Ro	wet		/	(26%)	2	(8%)	9	(17%)
	Ice or Snow		3	(11%)	0	(0%)	3	(6%)
er	Fine		21	(78%)	21	(84%)	42	(81%)
ath	Mist or Fog		0	(0%)	1	(4%)	1	(2%)
× ×	Light Rain		5	(19%)	2	(8%)	7	(13%)
	Heavy Rain		1	(4%)	1	(4%)	2	(4%)
Tota	al Number o	f Crashes (3.7km)	27	(100%)	25	(100%)	52	(100%)





Figure D-13: SH58 Crashes between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui - Crash Types







Table D-6: SH58 Crashes between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive (2004-2008)

Crash Details or Environmental Factors			Inter Cra	ntersection Mid-Block Crashes Crashes			Total Crashes	
	Crossing / T	urning (Types H, J, K, L, M)	5	(33%)	1	(1%)	6	(7%)
Crash Type	Head On (Types AB, B)		2	(13%)	20	(26%)	22	(24%)
	Hit Object (Гуреs E)	0	(0%)	1	(1%)	1	(1%)
	Lost Control	7	(47%)	46	(60%)	53	(58%)	
	Miscellaneo	JS (Types Q)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	Overtaking	(Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE)	0	(0%)	1	(1%)	1	(1%)
	Pedestrian (Types N, P)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	Cyclist (inclu	uded in other types)	0	-	0	-	0	-
	Rear End (Ty	/pes F, GA-GD, GF, GO)	1	(7%)	8	(10%)	9	(10%)
	Weekday	AM Peak (06:00-08:59)	2	(13%)	9	(12%)	11	(12%)
ne	(Mon 06:00 - Fri 18:59)	Daytime (09:00-15:29)	5	(33%)	16	(21%)	21	(23%)
L i	Weekend	PM Peak (15:30-18:59)	1	(7%)	9	(12%)	10	(11%)
sh		Night-time (19:00–06:00)	5	(33%)	15	(19%)	17	(18%)
Cras		Morning (06:00-11:59)	1	(7%)	6	(8%)	7	(8%)
	Mon 05:59)	Afternoon (12:00-18:59)	2	(13%)	10	(13%)	12	(13%)
	-	Night-time (19:00-06:00)	2	(13%)	12	(16%)	14	(15%)
5	Summer (1 E	December - 28 or 29 February)	4	(27%)	23	(30%)	27	(29%)
Seasol	Autumn (1 M	6	(40%)	15	(19%)	21	(23%)	
	Winter (1 Jun	3	(20%)	18	(23%)	21	(23%)	
	Spring (1 September – 30 November)		2	(13%)	21	(27%)	23	(25%)
	Bright Sun		2	(13%)	13	(17%)	15	(16%)
ght	Overcast	8	(53%)	35	(45%)	43	(47%)	
Li	Twilight	2	(13%)	4	(5%)	6	(7%)	
	Dark		3	(20%)	25	(32%)	28	(30%)
σ	Dry		10	(67%)	32	(42%)	42	(46%)
toa	Wet		5	(33%)	45	(58%)	50	(54%)
Ľ.	Ice or Snow		0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
<u> </u>	Fine		11	(73%)	39	(51%)	50	(54%)
the	Mist or Fog		0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
Vea	Light Rain		4	(27%)	28	(36%)	32	(35%)
5	Heavy Rain		0	(0%)	10	(16%)	10	(11%)
Tota	al Number o	f Crashes (3.6km)	15	(100%)	77	(100%)	92	(100%)





Figure D-15: SH58 Crashes between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive - Crash Types







Table D-7: SH58 Crashes between Postgate Drive and Paremata (2004-2008)

Crash Details or Environmental Factors			Inter Cra	section Ishes	Mid Cra	-Block ashes	Total Crashes	
	Crossing / T	urning (Types H, J, K, L, M)	13	(42%)	0	(0%)	13	(27%)
Crash Type	Head On (Types AB, B)			(23%)	6	(35%)	13	(27%)
	Hit Object (0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	
	Lost Control	(Types AD, C, D)	5	(16%)	3	(18%)	8	(17%)
	Miscellaneo	us (Types Q)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	Overtaking	(Types AA, AC, AE-AO, GE)	3	(10%)	1	(6%)	4	(8%)
	Pedestrian (Types N, P)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	Cyclist (inclu	uded in other types)	0	-	1	-	1	-
	Rear End (Ty	vpes F, GA-GD, GF, GO)	3	(10%)	7	(41%)	10	(21%)
	Weekday	AM Peak (06:00-08:59)	5	(16%)	3	(18%)	8	(17%)
ие	(Mon 06:00 - Fri 18:59)	Daytime (09:00-15:29)	5	(16%)	2	(12%)	7	(15%)
Ti		PM Peak (15:30-18:59)	8	(26%)	1	(6%)	9	(19%)
sh	Weekend (Fri 19:00 - Mon 05:59)	Night-time (19:00–06:00)	2	(6%)	4	(24%)	6	(13%)
Cras		Morning (06:00-11:59)	2	(6%)	0	(0%)	2	(4%)
		Afternoon (12:00-18:59)	6	(19%)	2	(12%)	8	(17%)
		Night-time (19:00-06:00)	3	(10%)	5	(29%)	8	(17%)
5	Summer (1 E	December – 28 or 29 February)	11	(35%)	2	(12%)	13	(27%)
Seasol	Autumn (1 M	7	(23%)	4	(24%)	11	(23%)	
	Winter (1 Jun	3	(10%)	5	(29%)	8	(17%)	
	Spring (1 September – 30 November)		10	(32%)	6	(35%)	16	(33%)
	Bright Sun		7	(23%)	6	(35%)	13	(27%)
ght	Overcast		14	(45%)	3	(18%)	17	(35%)
Ľ	Twilight	2	(6%)	0	(0%)	2	(4%)	
	Dark		8	(26%)	8	(47%)	16	(33%)
σ	Dry		21	(68%)	14	(82%)	35	(73%)
toa	Wet		10	(32%)	3	(18%)	13	(27%)
Ľ.	Ice or Snow		0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
<u> </u>	Fine		23	(74%)	14	(82%)	37	(77%)
the	Mist or Fog		0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
Vea	Light Rain		5	(16%)	2	(12%)	7	(15%)
5	Heavy Rain		3	(10%)	1	(6%)	4	(8%)
Tota	al Number o	f Crashes (1.5km)	34	(100%)	17	(100%)	48	(100%)





Figure D-17: SH58 Crashes between Postgate Drive and Paremata - Crash Types



Figure D-18: SH58 Crashes Between Postgate Drive and Paremata - Crash Times



B.3.1 Discussion of Crashes between SH2 and Moonshine Road

Table D-4, *Figure D-11* and *Figure D-12* illustrate that the section of SH58 between SH2 (Western Hutt Road) and Moonshine Road has the following crash characteristics:

- A very high proportion of 'loss of control' crashes (55%). This section of SH58 travels through a section of highway with both horizontal and vertical curve combinations which could have contributed to this high proportion.
- Smaller proportions of 'head-on', 'overtaking' and 'rear-end' crashes (9% 16%); other crash types did not significantly feature on this section of highway.
- A relatively high proportion of crashes during the weekend afternoon period (22%), which is higher than any other section of SH58. Also a higher proportion of weekday inter-peak crashes suggests that the crashes on this section of road are not necessarily due to high traffic volumes.
- Typical proportions of winter-time, night-time, wet road and wet weather crashes indicates that environmental factors are unlikely to play a more significant role in crashes along this section that the other highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length.

B.3.2 Discussion of Crashes between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui

Table D-5, *Figure D-13* and *Figure D-14* illustrate that the section of SH58 between Moonshine Road and Pauatahanui has the following crash characteristics:

- The highest proportion of 'rear-end' crashes (27%), which are likely to be related to the vehicles turning into and out of industrial accessways in this high speed area.
- 'Crossing/turning', 'head-on', 'hit object' and 'overtaking' had similar number of crashes; between 8% and 10%.
- A high proportion of 'loss of control' crashes (36%) which may be related to the curvilinear nature of the highway though this section, but a significant number also occurred at the intersections.
- A high proportion of crashes during the weekday peak periods, which is higher than other sections.
- Typical proportions of winter-time, night-time, wet road and wet weather crashes indicates that environmental factors are unlikely to play a more significant role in crashes along this section that the other highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length.

B.3.3 Discussion of Crashes between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive

Table D-6, *Figure D-15* and *Figure D-16* illustrate that the section of SH58 between Pauatahanui and Postgate Drive has the following crash characteristics:

• A very high proportion of 'loss of control' crashes (58%) due to the narrow windy highway around the inlet which has very narrow shoulders, leaving little space for vehicles to recover should they lose control.



- The narrow road also shows its influence in the significant proportion of head-on crashes (24%).
- A high proportion of wet road crashes (54%) indicates that wet conditions do have an influence in this area.

B.3.4 Discussion of Crashes between Postgate Drive and Paremata (SH1)

Table D-7, *Figure D-17* and *Figure D-18* illustrate that the section of SH58 between Postgate Drive and Paremata Roundabout (SH1) has the following crash characteristics:

- A higher number of crossing/turning crashes (27%) and which reflects the urban nature of this section
- A high proportion of rear-end crashes (21%) also reflects the urban nature of the section and the fact that the highway is approaching capacity.
- No crashes involving pedestrians suggests that pedestrians manage their risk when walking along the highway through the urban area
- Typical proportions of winter-time, night-time, wet road and wet weather crashes indicates that environmental factors are unlikely to play a more significant role in crashes along this section that the other highway sections along the SH58 Corridor length.